**The Constitution Revision Commission**

# COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

**JUDICIAL**
**Commissioner Schifino, Chair**
**Commissioner Gamez, Vice Chair**

**MEETING DATE:** Thursday, November 2, 2017
**TIME:** 9:00 a.m.—12:00 noon
**PLACE:** 301 Senate Office Building, Tallahassee, Florida

**MEMBERS:** Commissioner Schifino, Chair; Commissioner Gamez, Vice Chair; Commissioners Bondi, Cerio, Coxe, Joyner, Lee, Martinez, and Timmann

| TAB | PROPOSAL NO. and INTRODUCER | PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION and COMMITTEE ACTIONS | COMMITTEE ACTION |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Presentation on the Chevron Doctrine by Judge Frank A. Shepherd | | Presented |
| 2 | Presentation on Courthouse Technology and the Cross-Assignment of County Judges by Judge Jonathan E. Sjostrom | | Presented |
| 3 | **P 6**<br>Martinez | JUDICIARY, creates s. 21; Section 21 of Article V of the State Constitution to require a state court or an administrative law judge to interpret a state statute or rule de novo in litigation between an administrative agency and a private party and not merely defer to the administrative agency's interpretation.<br><br>JU 11/02/2017 Favorable<br>EX | Favorable<br>Yeas 7 Nays 0 |
| 4 | Presentation on the Judicial Nominating Commissions by Barry Richard | | Presented |

# Deference in Florida Courts and Administrative Agencies

Frank A. Shepherd

# Proposal 6

Judicial interpretation of statutes and rules. In interpreting a state statute or rule, a state court or an administrative law judge may not defer to an administrative agency's interpretation of such statute or rule, and must instead interpret such statute or rule de novo.

# Where Issues of Deference Arise:

1. Before judges at all levels of our state courts

2. Before judges who serve in the Florida Department of Administrative Hearings ("DOAH")

FDOT


FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FDC
EST. 1868

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AND CONSUMER SERVICES
EST. 1868


Florida
HEALTH

“**The administrative construction of a statute by the agency** charged with its administration is entitled to great weight [and **will not be overturned] unless clearly erroneous**.”

Dep't of Ins. v. Se. Volusia Hosp. Dist., 438 So. 2d 815, 820 (Fla. 1983) (emphasis added).

# Burden of Proof

Beyond a Reasonable Doubt

**Clearly Erroneous**

Clear and Convincing

Preponderance of the Evidence

# Due Process

“It is emphatically the province and duty of the judicial department to say what the law is.”

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137, 177 (1803)

# Due Process

It ordinarily would be outrageous for a judge in a case to defer to the views of one of the parties. And it ordinarily would be inconceivable for judges to do this regularly by announcing ahead of time a rule under which judges should defer to the interpretation of one of the parties in their cases, let alone the most powerful of parties, the government. Nonetheless, this is what the judges have done. It therefore is necessary to confront the reality that when judges defer to the executive's view of the law, they display systematic bias toward one of the parties.

Philip Hamburger, Chevron Bias, 84 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 1187, 1212 (2016)

# Due Process

**No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law . . . .**

Art. I, §9, Fla. Const.

# Separation of Powers

The powers of the state government shall be divided into legislative, executive and judicial branches. No person belonging to one branch shall exercise any powers appertaining to either of the other branches **unless expressly provided herein.**

Art. II, § 3, Fla. Const.

# Separation of Powers

1. In 1980, the people added an express privacy provision to the Florida Constitution.

2. Before that, an Access to Courts provision.

# Separation of Powers

"Under the express separation of powers provision in our state constitution, 'the judiciary is a coequal branch of the Florida government vested with the sole authority to exercise the judicial power[.]'"

Bush v. Schiavo, 885 So.2d 321, 330 (Fla. 2004) (emphasis added).

# The Chevron Doctrine

1. A statute is silent or ambiguous with respect to the precise question facing the agency, and

2. If so, then the court defers to any permissible/reasonable agency interpretation.

Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 467 U.S. 837 (1984)

# The Chevron Doctrine

*[D]e novo* judicial review of the law's meaning would limit the ability of an agency to alter and amend existing law.

- It would avoid the due process and equal protection problems of the kind documented in our decisions.
- It would promote reliance interests by allowing citizens to organize their affairs with some assurance that the rug will not be pulled from under them tomorrow, the next day, or after the next election.
- And an agency's recourse for a judicial declaration of the law's meaning that it dislikes would be precisely the recourse the Constitution prescribes—an appeal to higher judicial authority or a new law enacted consistent with bicameralism and presentment.

We managed to live with the administrative state before *Chevron*. We could do it again. Put simply, it seems to me that in a world without *Chevron* very little would change—except perhaps the most important things.

Gutierrez-Brizuela v. Lynch, 834 F.3d 1142, 1158 (10th Cir. 2016) (Gorsuch, concurring).

Judge Frank A. Shepherd
Third District Court of Appeal
2003-2017

- Housing Opportunities Project, etc. et al v. SPV Realty, LC., 212 So. 3d 419 (Fla. 3d DCA 2016)

- Pedraza v. Reemployment Assistance Appeals Commission, 208 So. 3d 1253 (Fla. 3d DCA 2017) (Quoting Justice Marshall in Marbury v. Madison, "It is emphatically the province and duty of the judicial department to say what the law is.")

# Proposal 6

Judicial interpretation of statutes and rules. In interpreting a state statute or rule, a state court or an administrative law judge may not defer to an administrative agency's interpretation of such statute or rule, and must instead interpret such statute or rule de novo.

**CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION**

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

November 2, 2017
*Meeting Date*

6
*Proposal Number (if applicable)*

*Amendment Barcode (if applicable)*

***Topic** Judicial Defreeze

***Name** Frank A. Shepherd

Address 5750 Turia St. # 206
*Street*
Coral Gables, FL 33146
*City* *State* *Zip*

Phone 305-610-6255

Email fashepherd@comcast.net

***Speaking**: ☑ For ☐ Against ☐ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, who? _____

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☐ Yes ☑ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.***

***Required**

FLORIDA COURTS

Accessible • Fair • Effective • Responsive • Accountable

# Court Workload, Technology, and Records

Judicial Committee, Constitution Revision Commission

November 2, 2017

# Presentation Outline

- Managing Court Workload
  - Chief Judge's Role
  - Circuit and County Judges' Role
- Technology to Manage and Adjudicate
- Accessing Court Records and Data

# Florida's 20 Judicial Circuits



# Challenges for Multi-County Circuit

- 6 Counties
- 13 Courthouses
- 16 Circuit Judges
- 10 County Judges



# Managing Court Workload

- Chief Judge's Role
- Circuit and County Judges' Role

# Chief Judge's Role in Workload Management

- 26 Judges – 16 Circuit and 10 County

# Chief Judge

- Administrative supervision of circuit and county courts
  - Article V, Section 2
- Judge may serve temporarily in any court in the circuit
  - Rule of Judicial Administration 2.215

# Administrative Judges

Family

Felony

Civil

County

# Resources Available to Chief Judge

- Temporary judge assignments
- Senior Judges
- Magistrates and Hearing Officers
- Specialized case management initiatives
  - Mortgage foreclosure case managers
  - Family court case managers

# Role of County Judges

- At least one in each county
- Jurisdiction:
  - Misdemeanors
  - Traffic
  - Small claims (≤ $5,000)
  - Civil cases ≤ $15,000
- Some circuit cases in same county

# Technology to Manage and Adjudicate

# Technology Solutions

Case Management: Court Application Processing System (CAPS)

# Domestic Violence Injunction File and Petition in Smartbench



# Domestic Violence Injunction File and Petition in Benchmark (Leon County Only)



# Dependency Docket for 11/7/17 – Smartbench

**Daily Docket**

11/7/2017 [All Locations] SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E

| Time | Hearing | User | Case Number | First Party | First Party Attorney | Second Party Attorney | Remark |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9:00 AM 4 items | | | | | | | |
| 9:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2007 DP 000008 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | | JR AS TO ANTONIO |
| 9:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2009 DP 000105 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | SHEPARD, JENNIE ATTORNEY | | |
| 9:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2015 DP 000053 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | | |
| 9:00 AM | ARRAIGNMENT | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000094 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | AR AS TO FATHER GRASTY |
| 9:30 AM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 9:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2014 DP 000072 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | DONOVAN, MIKE ATTORNEY | |
| 9:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000020 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 9:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000030 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 10:00 AM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 10:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2013 DP 000015 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | ATKINS, MARY ATTORNEY | | |
| 10:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2013 DP 000073 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | DUNTON, KIRSTEN ATTORNEY | |
| 10:00 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2015 DP 000048 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 10:30 AM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 10:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2011 DP 000158 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | | |
| 10:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2014 DP 000023 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 10:30 AM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000036 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | DONOVAN, MIKE ATTORNEY | |
| 11:00 AM 4 items | | | | | | | |
| 11:00 AM | ARRAIGNMENT | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000087 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | AR AS TO CHILD EMMA - FATHER ORDERED ... |
| 11:00 AM | ARRAIGNMENT | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000110 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 11:00 AM | ARRAIGNMENT | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000112 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 11:00 AM | ARRAIGNMENT | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000113 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | JOHNSON, STEPHANIE ATTORNEY | |
| 1:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 1:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000102 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | HELFAND, LEONARD ATTORNEY | |
| 1:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000008 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 1:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000009 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 2:00 PM 2 items | | | | | | | |
| 2:00 PM | ADVISORY HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2016 DP 000046 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | | |
| 2:00 PM | ADVISORY HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000100 | KUTCHINSKI, MARC JUVENILEDEPENDENCY... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | ADV - MOHTER ORDERED TO APPEAR |
| 2:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 2:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2000 DP 000111 | | BARBER, SUSAN ATTORNEY | | |
| 2:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2015 DP 000076 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | | |
| 2:30 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000011 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | |
| 3:00 PM 3 items | | | | | | | |
| 3:00 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2010 DP 000203 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | PERINE, ANNE ATTORNEY | | |
| 3:00 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2012 DP 000103 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | PRO SE, ATTORNEY | JOHNSON, STEPHANIE ATTORNEY | |
| 3:00 PM | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | 2017 DP 000062 | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENIL... | | | |
| 3:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | | |

# OpenCourt Digital Court Reporting

http://opencourt.leoncountyfl.gov/opencourt/index.php?startdate=10%2F24%2F2017&

OpenCourt

Application Registration (... Download MultiSIM BLUE ... Free Blank Calendar Temp... Support Even When You ...

OpenCourt v1.2.3

Recording Control | Administer | Upload Docket | Extracted Files | Manage CD | Preferences

Date

10/24/2017

October 2017

| Su | Mo | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | |

Search

Date Range

Install OpenCourt Player Software (running v1.0.0)

## Recordings for Tuesday, October 24th, 2017

28 results found

| Date | Time | Duration | Location | Room | Presiding |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | All | All | All |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:02 am - 11:15 am | 3:12:19 | Leon | CR-2B | WHEELER, ROBERT |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:03 am - 09:37 am | 1:33:38 | Leon | CR-3A | HANKINSON, JAMES |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:04 am - 01:13 pm | 5:09:33 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:04 am - 12:01 pm | 3:56:39 | Leon | CR-1A | WALL, AMANDA |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:05 am - 10:47 am | 2:42:53 | Leon | CR-1B | CARROLL, KEVIN |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:06 am - 12:24 pm | 4:17:35 | Leon | CR-3D | FITZPATRICK, MARTIN |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:19 am - 10:10 am | 1:51:07 | Leon | CR-2A | EVERETT,STEPHEN |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:20 am - 10:21 am | 2:00:56 | Leon | CR-2D | AIKENS, AUGUSTUS |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:21 am - 11:58 am | 3:37:47 | Leon | CR-3E | LONG, ROBERT |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:32 am - 11:35 am | 3:02:44 | Leon | CR-3B | DEMPSEY, ANGELA |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:44 am - 11:25 am | 2:40:37 | Leon | CR-1C | RUFF, MIKE |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:44 am - 08:51 am | 06:09 | Wakulla | Circuit | |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:51 am - 10:27 am | 1:36:09 | Jefferson | CR-1 | CALOCA-JOHNSON, DAWN |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:57 am - 10:30 am | 1:33:13 | Leon | HR-213 | PEDROSO, ACE |
| 10/24/2017 | 09:27 am - 12:06 pm | 2:39:13 | Wakulla | Circuit | COOPER, JOHN |
| 10/24/2017 | 10:43 am - 11:43 am | 1:00:04 | Wakulla | FirstApp | WALKER, JILL |

http://opencourt.leoncountyfl.gov/?ffile=2017-10-24~08-04-13~Leon~CR-3H.m4v - Player...

Playback Speed: 100%

-30m | -5m | -30s | -10s | 10:24:44am | +10s | +30s | +5m | +30m



# OpenCourt Digital Court Reporting
# Judge Sjostrom in Court 10/2017

## Recordings between Sunday, October 1st, 2017 and Monday, October 30th, 2017

31 results found

| Date | Time | Duration | Location | Room | Presiding | Event Type |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | All | All | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | All |
| 10/02/2017 | 08:03 am - 10:18 am | 2:14:59 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/02/2017 | 12:02 pm - 02:28 pm | 2:25:46 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/03/2017 | 08:06 am - 11:27 am | 3:21:20 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/03/2017 | 12:45 pm - 01:53 pm | 1:08:15 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/04/2017 | 08:08 am - 09:43 am | 1:35:40 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/04/2017 | 01:30 pm - 03:23 pm | 1:52:52 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/05/2017 | 08:00 am - 11:18 am | 3:17:58 | Leon | CR-1B | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/06/2017 | 08:04 am - 09:32 am | 1:28:49 | Leon | CR-3E | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/06/2017 | 08:14 am - 08:55 am | 41:35 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/06/2017 | 12:44 pm - 02:33 pm | 1:49:24 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/09/2017 | 08:00 am - 10:40 am | 2:39:53 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/10/2017 | 08:06 am - 12:50 pm | 4:44:08 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/10/2017 | 12:57 pm - 05:15 pm | 4:17:34 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/11/2017 | 07:59 am - 09:33 am | 1:34:07 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/11/2017 | 01:00 pm - 04:18 pm | 3:18:11 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/12/2017 | 12:49 pm - 03:55 pm | 3:06:30 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/16/2017 | 09:30 am - 09:32 am | 02:40 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/17/2017 | 08:12 am - 09:30 am | 1:17:56 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/17/2017 | 12:41 pm - 03:15 pm | 2:34:18 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/18/2017 | 02:11 pm - 03:20 pm | 1:08:53 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/19/2017 | 08:00 am - 10:26 am | 2:26:33 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/19/2017 | 12:44 pm - 02:36 pm | 1:52:19 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/23/2017 | 08:01 am - 11:41 am | 3:40:44 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/24/2017 | 08:04 am - 01:13 pm | 5:09:33 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/24/2017 | 01:15 pm - 06:07 pm | 4:52:45 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/25/2017 | 08:01 am - 09:49 am | 1:47:31 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/25/2017 | 12:55 pm - 03:29 pm | 2:34:11 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/26/2017 | 08:04 am - 11:15 am | 3:10:37 | Leon | CR-1B | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/26/2017 | 01:05 pm - 03:38 pm | 2:32:58 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/27/2017 | 07:59 am - 10:20 am | 2:21:28 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |
| 10/30/2017 | 08:36 am - 08:52 am | 15:19 | Leon | CR-3H | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN | |

# Technology Solutions

## Remote Interpreting

# Technology Challenges

- What is court technology?
- Constitution: counties fund "communications services"
- Statutes: "communications services" include tech equipment and staffing

# Technology Challenges: Funding

- Counties = $2 recording fee
- $2 fee funds courts, state attorneys, public defenders, and regional counsel
- Liberty County's $2 = $6,646 FY 2015-16

# Technology Challenges: Maintenance



# Technology Challenges: Staffing

- Cannot cross county lines
- Inter-county funding



# Accessing Court Records and Data

# Fundamental Data Principles

- Relevant, responsive, and accurate information
- Adjudicate efficiently based on complete, accurate information
- Local and state usability – Case Management and Statewide Reporting
- Efficient use of court resources

# Challenges for Multi-County Circuit

- 6 Clerks of Court
  - With more than 6 court record databases
  - Inconsistent data definitions and conventions



# Clerk and Court Roles

- Clerk receives and maintains records
- Clerk performs ministerial function
- Court manages and adjudicates cases
- Court provides direction and control

# Case Management

- Court technology includes CAPS judicial viewers
- Docket management



1/10/2017 [All Locations] [Current User] Search

| | Time | Case Num... | First Party | Secon... | Hearing | Case Category |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 9:00 AM | 2007 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | JOHN... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:00 AM | 2012 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | HARRI... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:00 AM | 2014 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | BROW... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:00 AM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | BROW... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | CLAR... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| 9:30 AM 5 items | | | | | | |
| | 9:30 AM | 2011 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | MURP... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:30 AM | 2013 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | HARRI... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:30 AM | 2013 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | DAY, ... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:30 AM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | LEWIS... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 9:30 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | BLACK... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| 10:00 AM 4 items | | | | | | |
| | 10:00 AM | 2014 DP ... | DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVEN... | WAGE... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 10:00 AM | 2014 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | CHAR... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 10:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | LAYT... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 10:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | SCOT... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| 10:30 AM 2 items | | | | | | |
| | 10:30 AM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | HUTC... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 10:30 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | DAVIS... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| 11:00 AM 4 items | | | | | | |
| | 11:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | HARRI... | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| | 11:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | SMITH... | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| | 11:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMLIES, JUVENI... | LEON,... | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| | 11:00 AM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | GARY,... | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| 1:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | |
| | 1:30 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | THOR... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 1:30 PM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | JAME... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 1:30 PM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | MYRIC... | ADVISORY HEARING | |
| 2:00 PM 2 items | | | | | | |
| | 2:00 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | FORB... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| | 2:00 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | MCCR... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |
| 2:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | |
| | 2:30 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVEN... | SIMMI... | STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| | 2:30 PM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | AVER... | STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| | 2:30 PM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | JACKS... | STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| 3:00 PM 2 items | | | | | | |
| | 3:00 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | WEAK... | ADVISORY HEARING | |
| | 3:00 PM | 2015 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | CAUD... | ADVISORY HEARING | |
| 3:30 PM 3 items | | | | | | |
| | 3:30 PM | 2008 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILEDEPE... | BROW... | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| | 3:30 PM | 2016 DP ... | DEPT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, JUVENILE DEPE... | CEAS... | JUDICIAL REVIEW HEARING | |

Add Glance... Refresh Save *

# Caseload Management

## CRIMINAL CASE INVENTORY REPORT

*From* 03/05/2017 *through* 03/11/2017

*Run Date* MAR-16-2017 11:39:37

| Division | | New Cases | Reopened Cases: VOPs | Reopened Cases: Post Conviction | Reopened Cases: Other | Reopened Cases: Total | Grand Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A | Prior Pending | 360 | 100 | 38 | 58 | 196 | 556 |
| | + New Filings | 26 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 52 |
| | + FTA rearrest/contempt | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | + Transfer from another division | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | - Disposed | 34 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 35 | 69 |
| | - FTA issued | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | - Transfer to another division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | New Pending | 353 | 92 | 31 | 65 | 188 | 541 |
| B | Prior Pending | 487 | 109 | 26 | 24 | 159 | 646 |
| | + New Filings | 27 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 21 | 48 |
| | + FTA rearrest/contempt | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | + Transfer from another division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | - Disposed | 18 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 35 |
| | - FTA issued | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | - Transfer to another division | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | New Pending | 497 | 112 | 23 | 27 | 162 | 659 |
| C | Prior Pending | 503 | 118 | 55 | 64 | 237 | 740 |
| | + New Filings | 24 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 24 | 48 |
| | + FTA rearrest/contempt | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | + Transfer from another division | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | - Disposed | 12 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 25 |
| | - FTA issued | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | - Transfer to another division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | New Pending | 517 | 127 | 60 | 62 | 249 | 766 |
| D | Prior Pending | 464 | 119 | 61 | 37 | 217 | 681 |
| | + New Filings | 23 | 12 | 78 | 7 | 97 | 120 |
| | + FTA rearrest/contempt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | + Transfer from another division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | - Disposed | 29 | 16 | 51 | 6 | 73 | 102 |
| | - FTA issued | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | - Transfer to another division | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | New Pending | 458 | 115 | 87 | 38 | 240 | 698 |
| E | Prior Pending | 13 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 45 |
| | + New Filings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | + FTA rearrest/contempt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | + Transfer from another division | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | - Disposed | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| | - FTA issued | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | - Transfer to another division | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | New Pending | 11 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 41 |

*REP4033* Page 1 of 2 Data for report is updated every Wednesday at 12:01 AM

## PENDING CAPITAL MURDER CASES - SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

March 3, 2017

| County | Judge | First Name | Last Name | Case Number | State Attorney | Defense Counsel | Date Last Filed |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Franklin | no cases to report | | | | | | |
| Gadsden | Hobbs | DeKendrick | Ford | 12-304CFA | Beville | Been | 4/23/2015 |
| Gadsden | Hobbs | Gregory | Sapp | 15-192CFA | Beville | Cummings | 4/22/2015 |
| Gadsden | Hobbs | Dominic | Cofield | 16-61CFA | Morris | Moody | 2/26/2016 |
| Jefferson | no cases to report | | | | | | |
| Leon | Wheeler | Roderick | Jarmon | 2013CF2752A | Hutchins | | 8/28/2013 |
| Leon | Wheeler | Walter | Rayborn | 2015CF02120A | | Prince | 7/8/2015 |
| Leon | Wheeler | Leon | Woodberry | 2016CF1142A | Hutchins | Been | 4/17/2016 |
| Leon | Wheeler | Steve | Lincoln | 2016CF2519 | Mood | Garcia | 9/16/2016 |
| Leon | Dempsey | Cameron | Evans | 2016CF3419A | Vollrath-Bueno | Eagen | 3/29/2016 |
| Leon | Dempsey | Marco | Perez | 2016CF109 | Vollrath-Bueno | Been | 1/11/2016 |
| Leon | Dempsey | Isaiah | Robinson | 2015CF3874 | Norris | Counsel Office | 12/21/2015 |
| Leon | Dempsey | Amber | Ward | 2014CF1326A | Vollrath-Bueno | Garcia | 5/16/2014 |
| Leon | Dempsey | Kenya | Williams | 2015CF932 | Norris | Taylor | 3/22/2015 |
| Leon | Hankinson | Sigfredo | Garcia | 2016CF1581A | Coppleman | Akbar | 6/1/2016 |
| Leon | Hankinson | Henry | Segura | 2011CF02751A | Fuchs | Hobbs | 10/12/2011 |
| Leon | Hankinson | Katherine | Magbanua | 2016CF3036A | Coppleman | Kawass | 10/10/2016 |
| Leon | Fitzpatrick | Dujuan | Barnes | 2016CF1369A | Coppleman | Been | 5/7/2016 |
| Leon | Fitzpatrick | Deondrea | Hudson | 2014CF3886A | Ellis | Knowles | 1/5/2015 |
| Leon | Fitzpatrick | Antonyo | Woods | 2015CF1525A | Coppleman | Been | 5/15/2015 |
| Leon | Fitzpatrick | Deandre | Wiggins | 2016CF1949 | Ellis | Counsel Office | 6/30/2016 |
| Leon | Fitzpatrick | Adolphus | Pace | 2016CF2129 | Coppleman | Garcia | 7/23/2016 |
| Liberty | no cases to report | | | | | | |
| Wakulla | Shelfer | Christy | Peacock | 15-8CF | Harrison | Garcia | 1/22/2015 |
| Wakulla | Shelfer | Walt | Porter | 16-24CF | Miller | Been | 1/22/2016 |

# Caseload Management Reports List Benchmark (Leon County Only)



# Sjostrom's Monthly Dependency Activity Benchmark (Leon County Only)

## Monthly Statistics Results

<-- Back to Case Lists

**Parameters**

CourtTypeID: 5 JudgeID: 77017337 AllJudges: false BeginDate: 10/01/2017 EndDate: 10/31/2017

Schedule Event | Request File(s)

4 Records Found
Export Result

| | SELECT ALL | JUDGE | DESCRIPTION | PRIORPENDING | NEW | DISPOSED | NEWPENDING | PRIORPENDINGREOPEN | NEWREOPEN | DISPOSEDREOPEN | PENDINGREOPEN |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | ☐ | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | CHAPTER 39 ADOPTION | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | ☐ | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | CHILD NEGLECT | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 15 | -8 |
| 3 | ☐ | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | CINS/FINS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | ☐ | SJOSTROM, JONATHAN E | DEPENDENCY | 17 | 10 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 54 | 110 | -56 |

# Statewide Reporting and Performance



Existing



Planned

# Statewide Uniform Trial Court Caseload Reporting System



FLORIDA COURTS

Accessible • Fair • Effective • Responsive • Accountable

# Questions?

**Office of State Courts Administrator**
**500 South Duval Street**
**Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1900**
**850-922-5081; osca@flcourts.org**

# CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

11/2/17 Judicial Cmte.
*Meeting Date*

*Proposal Number (if applicable)*

*Amendment Barcode (if applicable)*

***Topic** Court workload and technology -- committee requested presentation

***Name** Jonathan E. Sjostrom, Chief Judge, Second Judicial Circuit

Address 301 South Monroe Street
*Street*

Phone 850-606-4321

Tallahassee | FL | 32301
*City* | *State* | *Zip*

Email

***Speaking:** ☐ For ☐ Against ☑ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☑ Yes ☐ No

If yes, who? State Courts System

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☑ Yes ☐ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.***

***Required**

# CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

NOV 2, 2017
*Meeting Date*

*Proposal Number (if applicable)*

*Amendment Barcode (if applicable)*

***Topic** COURT TECHNOLOGY

***Name** JD PEACOCK, CLERK OF COURTS OKALOOSA COUNTY

Address 1940 LEWIS TURNER BLVD FT. WALTON BEACH, FL
*Street*

Phone

FL 32575
*City* *State* *Zip*

Email

***Speaking:** ☐ For ☐ Against ☑ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☑ Yes ☐ No

If yes, who? FLORIDA ASSOC. OF COURT CLERKS & COMPTROLLERS

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☑ Yes ☐ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.***

***Required**

**CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION**

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

11-2-17
*Meeting Date*

*Proposal Number (if applicable)*

*Amendment Barcode (if applicable)*

***Topic** COURT TECHNOLOGY

***Name** TARA GREEN, CLERK OF COURT CLAY COUNTY

Address 1002 ARTHUR MOORE DR
*Street*
GREEN COVE SPR FL 32043
*City* *State* *Zip*

Phone 9842696369

Email greent@clayclerk.com

***Speaking:** ☐ For ☐ Against ☑ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, who? _____

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☑ Yes ☐ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.***

***Required**

**Constitution Revision Commission**
**Judicial Committee**
**Proposal Analysis**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the proposal as of the latest date listed below.)

Proposal #: P 6

Relating to: JUDICIARY, creates s. 21

Introducer(s): Commissioners Martinez and Solari

Article/Section affected:

Date: October 30, 2017

| | REFERENCE | ACTION |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | JU | **Pre-meeting** |
| 2. | EX | |

## I. SUMMARY:

The proposal creates Section 21 in Article V of the Florida Constitution. The proposal requires any state court or administrative law judge to interpret a state statute or rule de novo (anew, without reference to any previous legal conclusion), independent of an agency's interpretation, in any litigation proceedings between a private party and an administrative agency.

## II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

### A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Currently, state courts and administrative judges within Florida generally defer to an administrative agency's interpretation of a statute or rule. When judicial review is undertaken by the courts, the Florida Supreme Court has shown a substantial deference to an agency's interpretation. The court has stated "(Commission orders) come to this court clothed with a presumption of validity."[i] The court has further clarified their position by stating that an agency interpretation will be upheld if it meets three key factors:

1) it complies with the essential requirements of law,
2) it is based on competent substantial evidence, and
3) it upholds the legislative intent of the statute or rule.[ii]

It should be noted that when an agency is interpreting a statute through rule making, if an agency is exceeding its rule make authority authorized by statute the agency does not get deference. An agency statement of general applicability that "implements, interprets, or prescribes law or policy or describes the procedure or practice requirements of an

agency" is a rule and must be adopted through rulemaking and published in the Florida Administrative Code.[iii] If an agency interpretation of a statute amounts to a rule, based on the previous definition, but has not been adopted through rulemaking, then an administrative law judge (ALJ) and an agency cannot base agency action on that rule. Section 120.57(1)(e), F.S., states that "[a]n agency or an administrative law judge may not base agency action that determines the substantial interests of a party on an unadopted rule or a rule that is an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority."

More recently the court has shown even greater deference to an agency's interpretation stating, "an agency's interpretation of a statute it is charged with enforcing is entitled great deference and will be approved by this court if it is not clearly erroneous"[iv]. One exception provides that an agency's interpretation of a statute it administers will not receive deference unless the agency is relying on its particular expertise on the subject matter of the statute in question.[v] Another exception to the deference doctrine arises when there is a dispute over how to interpret statute that imposes discipline on a person or entity. In those situations, the case law provides that the statute imposing discipline shall be strictly construed against the agency.[vi] Additionally, courts in Florida do not show deference to agency interpretation of a taxation statute with a disciplinary or penalty provision.[vii] The ALJs at the Division of Administrative Hearings (DOAH) have generally followed the precedent established by appellate case law.[viii]

### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This proposal eliminates the deference of the courts to an agency's interpretation and requires the courts to examine and determine, on their, own whether specific interpretations by the agency comply with the statute or rule in question. Deference shown to agency interpretations of state statute or rule would no longer apply in any situation.

### C. FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact is indeterminant at this juncture.

## III. Additional Information:

### A. Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the current version and the prior version of the proposal.)

None.

### B. Amendments:

None.

### C. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

**D.** Related Issues:

None.

[i] Tallahassee v. Mann, 411 So. 2d 162 (Fla. 1982)
[ii] Fla. Cable TV Ass'n v. Deason, 635 So. 2d 14 (Fla. 1994)
[iii] §120.52(8), Florida Statutes
[iv] Fla. Interexchange Carriers Ass'n v. Clark, 678 So. 2d 1267 (Fla. 1996)
[v] Bd. of Trustees of the Northwest Fla. Community Hosp. v. Dep't of Mgmt. Serv., 651 So. 2d 170, (Fla. 1st DCA 1995)
[vi] Jonas v. Dep't of Bus. & Prof'l Regulation, 746 So. 2d 1261 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2000)
[vii] Gulf American Land Corp. v. Green, 149 So. 2d 396 (Fla. 1st DCA 1962)
[viii] Administrative Law Section Analysis on Prop 6, pg 3, 2017 (on file with Commission staff)

**By** Commissioner Martinez

martinezr-00003B-17 20176__

A proposal to create
Section 21 of Article V of the State Constitution to require a state court or an administrative law judge to interpret a state statute or rule de novo in litigation between an administrative agency and a private party and not merely defer to the administrative agency's interpretation.

Be It Proposed by the Constitution Revision Commission of Florida:

Section 21 is added to Article V of the State Constitution to read:

ARTICLE V
JUDICIARY

SECTION 21. Judicial interpretation of statutes and rules.—In interpreting a state statute or rule, a state court or an administrative law judge may not defer to an administrative agency's interpretation of such statute or rule, and must instead interpret such statute or rule de novo.



**CODING:** Words ~~stricken~~ are deletions; words underlined are additions.

**CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION**

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

Meeting Date _____ Proposal Number (if applicable) _____

Amendment Barcode (if applicable) _____

***Topic** P 6

***Name** Bob Solari

Address 730 Painted Bunting Ln Phone 772-226-1458
*Street*

Vero Beach FL
*City* *State* *Zip*

Email _____

***Speaking:** ☑ For ☐ Against ☐ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, who? _____

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☐ Yes ☐ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.*** ****Required***

**CONSTITUTION REVISION COMMISSION**

# APPEARANCE RECORD

**(Deliver completed form to Commission staff)**

11/2/17
*Meeting Date*

*Proposal Number (if applicable)*

*Amendment Barcode (if applicable)*

***Topic** JMCs

***Name** Barry Richard

Address 101 E. College Ave
*Street*

Tlh FL 32301
*City* *State* *Zip*

Phone 850-222-6891

Email richardb@gtlaw.com

***Speaking**: ☐ For ☐ Against ☑ Information Only

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
*(The Chair will read this information into the record.)*

Are you representing someone other than yourself? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, who? _____

Are you a registered lobbyist? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Are you an elected official or judge? ☐ Yes ☑ No

*While the Commission encourages public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.*

***Information submitted on this form is public record.***

***Required**