FLORIDA TAXATION AND BUDGET REFORM COMMISSION

Volume 2 Page 107 - 220

IN RE: Committee Meeting

DATE: April 25, 2008

TIME: Commenced at 10:44 a.m.

Concluded at 1:24 p.m.

LOCATION: Knott Bldg., Rm. 412

Tallahassee, FL

REPORTED BY: LISA D. FREEZE, RPR

Notary Public

2894 REMINGTON GREEN LANE TALLAHASSEE, FL 32308 (850)878-2221

108

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

Hoyt "Barney" Barnett Martha W. Barnett Allan Bense R. Mark Bostick Talbot "Sandy" D'Alemberte Daniel Gelber Mike Haridopolos Mike Hogan Julia Johnson Bruce Kyle Carlos Lacasa Patricia Levesaue Richard Corcoran Gwen Margolis Roberto "Bobby" Martinez Jacintha Mathis John M. McKay Robert "Bob" McKee Lesley J. "Les" Miller, Jr. Randy Miller Jade Thomas Moore Nancy J. Riley Darryl E. Rouson David Rivera James "Jim" A. Scott Susan Story William Gregory "Greg" Turbeville Kenneth "Ken" Wilkinson Brian Yablonski

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Members, let's get
4	started. We are now on committee substitute for
5	Constitutional Proposal No. 26. Commissioner
6	Turbeville, you're recognized to explain your
7	proposal.
8	MR. TURBEVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	The next proposal is Constitutional Proposal
10	No. 26. It's been described in a lot of different
11	ways. It is a straightforward proposal. It's
12	simple in its application. But sometimes the most
13	straightforward proposals that this Commission has
14	looked at have had the most powerful effects for
15	transparency to process and taxpayer
16	accountability. And I think this proposal will do

17 just that.

18	The last time this Commission met, they
19	proposed a very simple proposal, one that would
20	require a 72-hour waiting period for the budget
21	process. And that process has been adhered to.
22	It's provided taxpayers in the state a lot of
23	transparency. They're able to see the budget,
24	the state budget, and know that what's in
25	the budget before the members vote on it. It's

a very complex document. At the same time there's taxpayer accountability. There's a time and a period when members of the Legislature as well as the public can debate the pros and cons of a certain piece of legislation.

And I would suggest to you that the budgets in local school districts in the 67 school districts are equally complicated, and there's a lack of transparency in that process.

A few weeks ago when this proposal was originally in place, before us on the first agenda, we also received Tough Choices, which

is a quote that I think most of us have looked at. This is an updated version. And there was one line on page 12 that I think really kind of goes to the heart of this proposal, and it states, quote: "Some school districts are so big that the individual parent may not feel he or she has much of a potential input."

And I think this proposal will provide that transparency and accountability that's needed. It requires that 65 percent of operational school dollars will be spent in the classroom. That still provides 35 percent for

administration and other important things that the school district does. But we're going to focus the money on the classroom, 65 percent. So two-thirds of every dollar in education will be spent in the classroom.

And, just to lay out some of the proposed details, the Legislature would have to enact the details of this. Based upon previous testimony in committees, we did provide some exceptions and some guidance on exceptions, two

important exceptions, transportation services and also food services, because those issues are not really at the discretion of the school district. Those areas are really mandated by the school district. And so we -- we offered or suggested to the Legislature that those should not be included in the formula that's used.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

But some of the things that are included, teaching personnel, student activities, McKay scholarships, student and instructional support, teacher training, and curriculum development. All these things that are vital to the actual classroom itself would be included.

112

1 So that's the main proposal, and I'd be 2 happy to take any questions.

3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Turbeville.

> MR. TURBEVILLE: Chairman, also at the last committee meeting there were two amendments to that proposal, and if those on record, if there

- 8 are, those are to be withdrawn and not considered.
- 9 I offered both of those amendments. So just for
- 10 clerical purposes, those -- and technical
- 11 purposes, those amendments will not be offered
- today and are withdrawn.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner
- 14 Turbeville having explained his proposal, let's
- 15 move to the audience participation. First to
- speak is Mr. Bill Montford. Mr. Montford,
- welcome.
- MR. MONTFORD: Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BENSE: We've heard from you a lot,
- 20 and we appreciate it.
- 21 MR. MONTFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
- thank you for the opportunity to be heard today.
- I thought it was on.
- Thank you for the opportunity to be heard.
- 25 Thank you for the -- it's not working.

- 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There's a green light
- 2 there.
- 3 MR. MONTFORD: It's on. Green light is on.
- 4 How about if I sing?

5	(Laughter.)
6	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Hold on just a second. Do
7	we need to get this perhaps worked on before we
8	get him? Go ahead, Mr. Montford.
9	MR. MONTFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
10	thank you for the opportunity to be heard today.
11	I'm a little concerned about what being here
12	reminds me of the by the way, I'm representing
13	the Florida Association of District School
14	Superintendents, as well as Dr. Wayne Blanton with
15	the Florida School Board Association.
16	Our association, the superintendents'
17	association, several years ago came out in
18	opposition to the class size reduction
19	amendment. That was risky. We took a lot of
20	criticism. Superintendents were accused of not
21	being concerned with classes and so on, when in
22	fact I don't think there's anyone in the state
23	at that time that was more concerned with class
24	size than superintendents.
25	We felt, though, that the amendment as

consequences, which we believe have come to
fruition in this last session, but which is
being -- last couple years, which is being
addressed by the Florida Legislature during
this session.

I'm reluctant because we do not want -school boards members and superintendents -- we
do not want anyone to feel that we are opposed
to spending at least 65 percent of our funding
in the classroom. That's a legitimate request.
It's a legitimate expectation. However, we do
believe that it sends the wrong message, and
that is, you're assuming, or the public will
assume, that we're not spending 65 percent in
the classroom today.

In your staff analysis that you've received, it references the National Center for Education --

20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're on.

21 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Let there be sound.

MR. MONTFORD: Here in your staff information it's references National Center for Education statistics from the year, I believe it was 2'02,

information than that. It's certainly not in conflict with your staff report, except for the numbers.

The report that we have from 2'05 lists that in Florida we have 65.2 percent is spent in instruction and instructional related, and we can -- this is -- my intent is not to discuss the difference between 65 and 58 and so on. My concern is how the data is used and what goes into the 65 percent.

Clearly, the devil is in the definition.

Because even with this particular definition,

what is not included in the 58 or the 65,

whichever -- excuse me -- whichever year or

number you'd like to use, what is not included

in that are -- is attendance, social work,

guidance, health, psychological services,

speech pathology, audiology, and other similar

student support services. That causes us great

concern, because if you're a parent whose child

is receiving audiology services, I believe that

we would say -- that parent would say, that is part of the instructional classroom services.

Again, our intent is to make sure that we -- we want to underscore the importance of

whatever the definition may be. And I guess what I'm doing is first and foremost in making sure that if this passes out of here and it passes in the fall, that we want to be involved in the legislation determining the definition of what the classroom instruction is. That is of great concern to us.

Commissioner Turbeville I think mentioned very well too that there are decisions made by the Legislature that will have an impact on us, whether or not it will be included in the 65 percent. Through the wisdom of the Legislature they have funded safe schools, which is, those funds are used for services such as resource officers program, supplemental academic instruction -- that's before and after school programs, the reading allocation, which has worked very well.

19	But under the current definition as we
20	understand it, that would not be included in
21	the 65 percent. So it gives us great concern
22	that we need to make absolutely sure we
23	understand what we're saying when we're talking
24	about classroom expenditures.
25	If you read the papers today throughout
	117
1	Florida, I think what you would see, at least
2	the ones I read, was at issue is whether or not
3	you spend 65 percent in the classroom and the
4	remainder on the administration. So the
5	message that's out there is, if you're not in
6	the 65 percent, it's, quote, administration. I
7	appreciate Commissioner Turbeville's
8	clarification of that just a few minutes ago.
9	But again, we have grave concerns about
10	this passing, the message it is sending, and
11	also what will be included in calculating that
12	65 percent. Mr. Chairman
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Scott, you're
14	recognized for a question of the presenter.
15	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: With some trepidation,

because I know you're much more expert than me on
this, but I will tell you that this has been a
concern of mine since the first time I ran for
office. We wanted to get more money for
education, especially in south Florida, and a lot
of people down there know that I spent a career
trying to do that. But it was for teaching and
classroom, not administration.

Let me just ask you, you refer to these special programs. This would be -- under this

proposal, is it true that this would be up to the Legislature to define what would be counted as classroom instruction?

MR. MONTFORD: That's my understanding.

VICE CHAIR SCOTT: And are you aware that they have continually shown great deference and consideration to special programs, including just recently this week they're talking more about autism and other special needs? So don't you think they'd be pretty sensitive to what you and the other people in education would say to them when they try to define this?

13	MR. MONTFORD: I would I would I would
14	hope that they would be sensitive, and in most
15	cases they are, absolutely. But again, I would be
16	remiss if I didn't come today and raise this
17	concern.
18	And while you're asking me, let me slide
19	into something else that I didn't say if you
20	don't mind. Thank you.
21	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: And I don't have a judge
22	to rule you out of order. Go ahead.
23	MR. MONTFORD: Thank you. In these numbers,
24	I neglected to say that in the 2'05, 2'06 school
25	year it was 9.8 percent in administration, which
	119
1	is less than the national average. And I'm not
2	here defending and supporting administrators.
3	What I'm here for is really supporting the
4	classroom, and to making absolutely sure that
5	we that everything that supports that classroom
6	has been counted in the 65 percent.
7	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Right. And the final
8	question, I really in recent times, there's
9	always a continuing statement and in things that

10	we considered to keep education funding harmless,
11	whatever. Lately, I haven't heard that from
12	someone out talking about it in the state about
13	trying to make sure that the money goes to
14	classrooms. I wonder if there's anything wrong
15	with getting that message out there to people that
16	we are cognizant of requiring that money go for
17	instruction, not for administration.
18	MR. MONTFORD: I think you would find great
19	support among superintendents and school board
20	members to get the facts and get the truth out. I
21	think that would be great. We would gladly join
22	in that effort.
23	Again, because once we talk about a
24	requirement a constitutional requirement to
25	provide 65 percent in the classroom, that
	120
1	clearly sends a message, that 35 percent is
2	going to administration, which in fact is less
3	than 10 percent, according to the national
4	news. A clarification is what we're really
5	looking for.

(Brief interruption.)

7 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I mean, you brought along 8 your own props? 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. MONTFORD: Yes, I did. Background music. 11 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Thank you very much. 12 MR. MONTFORD: Thank you. 13 (Continued interruption.) 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: What in the world? Somebody 15 has us on the telephone. VICE CHAIR SCOTT: We want the record to 16 17 reflect that that's not my law firm advertisement. 18 (Laughter.) 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This time. 20 MR. MONTFORD: That's part of our 21 administrative costs. 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Why don't we unhook the 23 telephone? 24 MR. GELBER: Since I couldn't read the bill, 25 I thought this would be just as good. 121 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Can we instruct staff to 1 just unhook the telephone? Those who have called 2 3 in will just call again.

4	(Continued interruption.)
5	MS. BARNETT: I want to take advantage of
6	this musical interlude, since
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Hang on for about one
8	minute, Commissioner. I think we can get her
9	done.
10	MS. BARNETT: I just want to take advantage
11	of the musical interlude, and I apologize to the
12	members of the Commission. I have got to leave.
13	I told Susan last week when we scheduled this
14	meeting for today, as opposed to putting it on my
15	calendar, that I had a long-standing commitment
16	out of town; and I didn't want you-all to think I
17	was leaving because I got mad and packed my bags
18	and went home about the last vote or anything.
19	But I did want to apologize to each of you
20	and thank you for the experience we've had
21	today and throughout this process.
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Commissioner.
23	You are a very bright person.
24	(Applause.)
25	(Continued interruption.)

- 1 We really can't conduct business in this
- 2 environment.
- 3 All right. Here we are.
- 4 Okay. Mr. Montford, you were in the
- 5 middle of speaking, or were you done? I've
- 6 forgotten.
- 7 MR. MONTFORD: I think I'm done.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're done?
- 9 MR. MONTFORD: Thank you, sir.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Mr. Montford.
- 11 Next up is Maureen Dinnen, Broward
- 12 County -- Broward School Board vice chairman.
- Ms. Dinnen, welcome.
- 14 MS. DINNEN: And I will not be singing.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Or dancing, huh?
- MS. DINNEN: Or dancing, yeah. Those days
- are over.
- 19 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Thank
- 20 you, members of the Commission.
- I have similar concerns on the 65 percent
- 22 rule that were expressed by Mr. Montford, and
- that is that the message that it's sending is

24 that school boards like Broward School Board 25 are not being accountable. If you take a look 1 at our expenditure on administrative costs, it 2 is less than 5 percent. 3 And also we have quite a sense in public 4 hearings. If you've ever been to a hearing in 5 Broward County, nobody is shy, and they have 6 extensive questions about exactly how we spend 7 our money and exactly what we do. So we have 8 as much transparency as you would want to see. 9 Broward County is a county I'm very proud 10 of. For example, it is the largest fully accredited county in the -- of all the big 11 12 counties in the United States, which means a 13 troop of people, some 1500, came down and 14 drilled down in our county in the construction 15 department as well as the curriculum department 16 as well as the finance department. That's an

123

We are also home to the largest number of national board teachers in America, of any school district. And we are up for the

unusual kind of accreditation.

17

18

19

21	competition for the Broad Award Brode
22	[phonetic], I'm sorry I'm mispronouncing
23	that. The Broad Award is a prestigious award
24	given to the top five actually they will
25	choose among the top five school districts in

America of the large 100 school districts. So we're a very substantial school district.

And the reason that I'm concerned about the 65 percent is that when this was discussed in the Legislature, there were a number of things that were included in the category administrative, and they were things like school psychologists; they were things like guidance services; they were things like certain people that have technology; they were things like reading coaches.

Now, you have conversation, you have language, which accompanies the amendment, which talks about transportation, which talks about food services as a -- I guess, a kind of directive to the Legislature. But the other

17 services are the modern classroom.

When I started teaching 35 years ago, it was the teacher in the classroom, and a lot of people have that concept in their head these days, but today it's not like that. If I were to go back in that middle school classroom and start teaching again, I would have to have all kinds of social services, because those kids

walk in the door with significantly different problems. So those things are a concern of mine.

Now, I know that the Legislature, we have said we should trust the Legislature to take care of those concerns, but they didn't when this particular proposal was brought up. The proposal was not amended. Those things were not taken out of it. So that's the basis of my concern here, that we're doing something, we're giving the people an idea of something that is going to be good for public education, where I spent my life; and in actuality, we're causing some real conflicts about administrative versus

15 instructional costs. 16 Nobody would be against putting the 17 majority of money in the classroom, especially not one who has stood in the classroom for 35 18 19 years. So I do think that we have to be very 20 careful, and that's why I'm opposing this, as my board does, because they are so concerned. 21 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Ms. Dinnen. Any 24 questions, members? 25 (No response.) 126 1 Thank you again, Ms. Dinnen. 2 MS. DINNEN: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Kevin Watson. Mr. Watson, 4 welcome. 5 MR. WATSON: Good morning. I'm Kevin Watson. 6 I'm with the schoolteachers. I guess you guys 7 have had enough of Ron Meyer so he decided I would draw the short straw and do this one. 8 9 I rise in opposition, and I do so because 10 I'm a little confused. And I hope to spend a

couple of different points that Mr. Montford

and Ms. Dinnen have made. I'd like to make sure that we know exactly what we -- what we have here.

It says that the committee substitute will seek to amend the constitution to require that 65 percent of school funding received shall be spent on classroom instruction rather than administration, and that -- further it goes on to say the Legislature will define classroom instruction and administration in statute and address the differences. I'm not sure if that means they're going to account for it or just going to address differences for administration differences for transportation and food

services across all 67 school districts.

That's all the proposal says. But we're not sure what it really means.

And I'd like to begin by telling you that the schoolteachers, the FEA, the people that I represent, believe and that data supports that the best public schools rely on all members of our school community. Our teachers, our support professionals, our principals, our administrators, our parents, our students, our elected officials and others, making sure that they all do their part.

And we believe that when a school community works hard as a team toward very high goals, and when they are supported with the necessary resources, there is no limit to what our public schools can do. It's just a great thing.

This proposal, however, would have you believe that schools can be improved, perhaps without that team, that we might have to shuffle money around, that this one size fits all number for 67 school districts would be adaptable to every local need. We're not convinced that's true.

The staff analysis says that a national organization -- I think it's called First Class Schools -- seeks to enact this legislation or pass ballot initiatives in every state mandating 65 percent of their dollars be spent

- 6 in the classroom. But it's an undefined term.
- 7 And it -- as they define it in their national
- 8 papers, it's very narrow in scope, very narrow.
- 9 Wouldn't include those things we just talked
- 10 about.
- And it looks clear that the Florida model
 will require the Legislature to do the same
 thing, but at this point, no one has any idea
- 14 what would be included in that definition.
- 15 I would tell you that First Class
- 16 Education claims, falsely, that such a
- 17 requirement will reduce school waste while
- improving student achievement. The group also
- 19 claims, falsely, that the measure would
- increase money for schools without requiring an
- 21 overall increase in spending or taxes.
- 22 And I'd like to offer a couple reasons why
- I don't think this is true, for your
- 24 consideration. You might think intuitively the
- 25 65 number would eliminate school waste. But

- 1 any reasonable definition of most of the
- 2 so-called waste is anything but.

Sixty-five could force cuts in essential school support services that students and teachers rely on. And I can give you one example. For the last couple of years this Legislature's been wrestling with making sure that teachers spend more time on task, and one of the ways they did that was to reduce paperwork. We have volunteers but we also have school staff that help teachers with that paperwork. That is clearly not going to be in the classroom expenditure, by any definition that First Class calls, and I don't know if it will be the Legislature's definition that they would do that.

But thinking about some of the other
examples that First Class Education would cut,
they termed essential school services that
support -- that support and sustain the
classroom instruction, including libraries,
counseling, teacher training, school health
care, custodial work, maintenance, and
security, all of those are not included in the
First Class Education's definition of classroom

1	expenditure. And we have a significant body of
2	research that confirms that these
3	outside-the-classroom expenditures helps us do
4	that work in the classroom.
5	And let me be clear. I say perhaps,
6	because again, we don't know if that will be in
7	the Florida definition.
8	I want to tell you, like the other two
9	speakers, that I'm in strong agreement with
10	Commissioner Turbeville. I have two FTEs in
11	Florida's public schools here in Leon County,
12	both one 12 and one 9. I think that we
13	ought to eliminate real waste, Commissioner
14	Turbeville. I really believe that. And I
15	think that we should be encouraging our school
16	districts to spend dollars efficiently.
17	But I'm not convinced that an arbitrary
18	number, one thought up in 2003, 2004 meets that
19	goal. And I'm not sure that it will meet that
20	goal 20 years from now, and that's what this
21	body is here to consider.

You might believe that 65 percent

23 initiative will improve student achievement, 24 and I'm not going to read all of this, but let 25 me just tell you that there has been a lot of 131 analysis with this. Standard reports division 1 2 looked at this, and what they found was the 3 districts that spend less than 65 percent in 4 the classroom do quite well on state tests, and 5 that there are others that exceed the 6 65 percent solution and do very poorly. 7 The bottom line was: There was very 8 little correlation. And I did the same thing 9 that Bill Montford did. I went and looked at 10 the national data, and then I tried to tie it 11 to the state data by what's known as the red 12 book, the 5,000 and 6,000 level expenditures. 13 The top 20 schools in the state and how they do 14 their expenditures, there were nine As, eight 15 Bs, two Cs, and one D. There is no correlation, and Standard & Poor's bore that 16 17 out. Moving on quickly, Chairman. Let me just 18 19 say that the report makes clear that the

20	findings do not suggest that money doesn't	
21	matter in the classrooms, but rather that the	
22	percentage allocated to instruction may need to	
23	vary from one school district to another for	
24	very legitimate reasons.	
25	For example, some schools may need to	
	1	.32
1	spend a higher percentage of their budgets on	
2	outside classroom needs, like nutrition and	
3	counseling and teacher training and	
4	transportation and maintenance, to ensure that	
5	students come to class ready to learn.	
6	Moving on, let me just say that perhaps	
7	the proposal seems to offer the Legislature	
8	enough flexibility to gauge the vast difference	
9	in the schools, because we're going to allow	
10	the Legislature to come up with these	
11	definitions. But I'm not sure that you can	
12	define your way out of a floor like 65 percent	
13	across all 67 school districts. Again, they	
14	have vastly different populations, needs, and	
15	out-of-classroom expenses.	

For example, large, sparsely populated

17	rural districts often have higher overall	
18	costs. Small districts at times can't benefit	
19	from economies of scale, and small districts	
20	often have higher administrative costs simply	
21	because have they have fewer students.	
22	Districts that serve large numbers of poor	
23	children often provide more supplemental	
24	services. And older districts, for example,	
25	Duval County, which have much older school	
		133
1	buildings have higher maintenance costs.	
2	How do we account through 67 school	
3	districts for all of these potential	
4	definitions?	
5	And finally, I think you might want to	
6	believe, if you like the number 65, that it's	
7	going to increase school resources without	
8	requiring an increase in school taxes.	
9	And I'll just tell you that that just	
10	cannot be true. The measure will do nothing to	
11	increase school resources. It simply shifts	
12	where a finite and I think that you've heard	
13	today a generally inadequate amount of	

14 education dollars are spent.

And, Commissioner Scott, I hope this goes to the heart of what your question is, is do we trust the Legislature. Absolutely. But what you're considering today is a proposal, again, thought up five or six years ago that might have been a good idea then, but you want to put it in the constitution and you want it to operate for the next 20. And it's unsupported by any empirical data that it would improve performance across 67 districts, and it just says we're going to have to shuffle money

134

around.

2 And specifically to the point was this.

3 But we don't know if it's true because we don't

4 know what the definitions are. Do we trust the

5 Legislature? Perhaps, yes. But the bigger

6 point would be, you're going to be asking

7 voters in November to vote on this on a notion

8 of an arbitrary number, and they're not going

9 to know what the definitions are either.

And I think that's the point here, so we

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 would urge a no vote. 12 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Mr. Watson. 13 Questions? Are there any questions? Commissioner 14 Levesque for a question. 15 MS. LEVESQUE: Hey, Kevin, how are you? 16 MR. WATSON: About half. 17 MS. LEVESQUE: Do you believe there is a 18 minimum percentage that should go into classroom 19 instruction, that there is a minimum, some level? 20 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. Watson, you're 21 recognized. 22 MR. WATSON: I would really have to think 23 about that. I think the natural answer to your 24 question is sure. I just don't know what it is. 25 And I certainly don't know what it would include, 135 1 I mean ... 2 MS. LEVESQUE: Chair, follow-up? 3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized. MS. LEVESQUE: Do you believe that there is a 4 5 maximum that should be spent on administration, 6 percentage --

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. Watson, you're

- 8 recognized.
- 9 MS. LEVESQUE: -- that could vary by
- 10 district, but do you think there is a maximum for
- 11 administration?
- MR. WATSON: Sure.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Follow-up, Mr. Chairman?
- 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Based on the language that's
- in the proposal that says the Legislature may
- 17 address differences in administration expenditures
- 18 by district for necessary services, is it your --
- is it your argument standing up there that the
- 20 Legislature can or cannot differentiate between
- 21 rural and sparse districts and urban districts?
- MR. WATSON: Oh, I think they could. I think
- 23 my point was that I would love to know what those
- 24 differences are. I sit on the school advisory
- council. I'd love to know now what I'm voting on.
 - 136
- 1 I'd love to know now what I'm putting in my
- 2 Florida constitution. I'd love to know now what
- 3 that minimum and maximum are. And I think the
- 4 Florida voters would too.

- 5 MS. LEVESQUE: Another follow-up,
- 6 Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized.
- 8 MS. LEVESQUE: I think a lot of the proposals
- 9 that we had in front of us that we've already
- 10 passed on conservation easements, on working
- 11 waterfronts and all kinds of things, the
- 12 Legislature gets to define and narrow and outline
- what limitations and restrictions and things are.
- So not everything that -- I mean, that's the whole
- purpose of having the Legislature. You don't
- define those specifics in the constitution.
- 17 So I just want to clarify that it is your
- 18 position that there can be a minimum that
- should be spent in the classroom; there can be
- 20 a maximum that should be spent on
- 21 administration and that the Legislature does
- 22 have the capability of differentiating among
- 23 differences in districts.

- 24 MR. WATSON: If that's true, then why
- 25 wouldn't we do this in the statute? Why wouldn't

handcuff our Legislature and our school districts and our school advisory councils in the constitution for the next 20 years with an amount, with a number that has no empirical data, that's not tied to anything that -- that may or may not account to something that I know you care deeply about, individualized education.

And I can give you another example. I
think you and I both care deeply about virtual
education. My son's taking one of those
courses. Right now, under the First Class
Education provisions, they wouldn't count -they would count the teacher teaching it, but
all of the technology support and the computers
wouldn't be counted. And so do we ask the
Legislature, you can have all the flexibility
you want, but you got to start at 65 percent.

And I guess what ends up happening here is not that there's not a minimum or a maximum. It's a line that I've heard before here. It's the old Henry Ford thing. Can we get one of those great new cars in any color? He says yeah, so long as it's black.

1	things, but we're handcuffing it a little bit.
2	And we'll do the same with our school districts
3	by choosing this number, and the other thing I
4	would tell you is that it's a unlike some of
5	the other proposals you've seen, we've seen a
6	lot of maximums, like maximum class size or
7	maximums here. This is a floor, and I worry, I
8	guess because I differ from Mr. Montford a
9	little bit, that they'll just move to the floor
10	in tight times, and we're in one of those
11	times.
12	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner
13	Turbeville for a question.
14	MR. TURBEVILLE: Speaking of prescripted
15	numbers in the constitution, did the teachers'
16	union or the Florida Education Association support
17	the rigid class size proposal that's now in our
18	constitution?
19	MR. WATSON: I did, and we did. And again, 1
20	think they're vastly different proposals. This
21	says we're going to have a floor, and that was a

22	ceiling. And generally school districts will move
23	from to each of those, and I think that's the
24	difference between the two proposals.
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further questions of the
	139
1	presenter?
2	(No response.)
3	Thank you, Mr. Watson.
4	Next is Doug Martin. Welcome, Mr. Martin.
5	MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
6	I'm going to be very brief because the
7	previous speakers have covered nearly all the
8	points I wanted to make, but I represent school
9	bus drivers, cafeteria workers
10	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. Martin, why don't you
11	move that mic right in front of you? Thank you.
12	MR. MARTIN: I'm Doug Martin. I'm here today
13	on behalf of AFSCME, which represents school bus
14	drivers, cafeteria workers, custodians in Duval,
15	Manatee, Martin, Miami-Dade, Polk, Volusia, and
16	Washington counties. And those counties
17	illustrate the great broadness of Florida, because
18	Miami-Dade has more than 400,000 students, while

19	Washington County has fewer than a thousand. And
20	to have one size fits all, one number that you can
21	put on both of those districts and expect that to
22	be meaningful is very difficult to do.
23	The FEFP I think at this point is more
24	than 50 pages. And it takes into account
25	things like rural, you know, the rural
	140
1	character, transportation, school books, many
2	other things like that, because the funding of
3	schools is so complicated. This 65 percent
4	solution by First Class Schools is funded
5	primarily by the founder of Overstock.com.
6	This is his pet project. This is not something
7	that's coming from Florida; this is not
8	something that necessarily makes sense for
9	Florida.
10	And we would ask on behalf of the people
11	who work in your schools that you not further
12	handcuff the Florida public schools with this
13	idea that's coming from out of state. So we
14	would ask you to please not do this to our

public school system. Leave these matters in

- the capable hands of the Florida Legislature.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And the final speaker --
- it's absolutely appropriate -- is Pat McConnell.
- I mean, it couldn't be more perfect.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- MR. MCCONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. McConnell has spoken to
- 24 us a couple times before.
- MR. MCCONNELL: Not as many as you would

- 1 imply.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Oh, I'm kidding. I'm
- 3 kidding. we appreciate your activism,
- 4 Mr. McConnell.
- 5 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Do you have any diagrams?
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: He does.
- 7 MR. MCCONNELL: But these are old ones that
- 8 I've had in my briefcase for a long time.
- 9 I am Pat McConnell, and I am deeply
- 10 concerned about our children and the world that
- they're going to live in for their education
- and they're going to compete in.

I am blessed and cursed with understanding
numbers. I have built, operated, and sold a
number of different businesses, every one of
them from the ground up. Cable television,
cable television construction, wireless, cable
Internet, real estate development. I
understand numbers. There are a lot of
things I developed a lot of business plans
that I never executed on. Why? Because when I
looked at the numbers, the numbers just didn't
make sense.

So when I looked -- and the TBRC started work, I thought, man, this is an opportunity to

get at the largest expenditure of our state

budget, education. So I did a little analysis,

and fortunately -- well, after you peel back

the layer of the onion, you can start to get to

some real interesting numbers. And you-all

have seen most of these, but only eight -- in

Manatee County, only 18 to 25 percent of the

dollars end up for teachers. Now, the balance
is overhead. Is that all administration? Of

course not. But there's a lot of
administration.
I would suggest that by -- with what

you've seen with Amendment 2 -- with CP2, and with what's going on in the -- in the taxes in the state, people are going to have to start looking at where is the money and where does the money go. If you look at the back, this is what happens. You've got all this bureaucracy, all this bureaucracy. And what I think will happen with this, it will focus people back on how -- what have we built in this bureaucracy, where does the money need to be spent. It will cause us to perhaps reinvent the school system.

This is a pro child -- this is a pro -
CP26 is pro children. It's pro teachers. It's

1 pro taxpayers. It's anti bureaucracy.

Now, one last thing is when the -- our county got noticed that they had fewer dollars, and they were going to have to make some cuts, did they -- did they propose cutting

6 administration? No. They said, we're going to

```
7
         cut 400 teachers. Well, excuse me. You're
8
         going to cut teachers? You're not going to cut
9
         any administration? Doesn't make any sense.
              This will focus -- this will focus us back
10
11
         on how the dollars are spent. Is it perfect?
12
         No. But is it a start? Yes. I beg you to
13
         vote for CP26. Thank you.
14
              CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Mr. McConnell.
15
              MR. MCCONNELL: My last appearance.
              CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay.
16
17
              (Applause.)
18
              That was a positive.
19
              MS. MATHIS: We're going to miss you.
              CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. We've gone through
20
         public testimony. I think that Commissioner McKay
21
22
         has passed out an amendment. Is there anyone that
23
         does not have a copy of the amendment?
24
              (No response.)
25
              So we'll move to the amendatory process.
```

- 1 Commissioner McKay, you're recognized to
- 2 explain your amendment.
- 3 MR. MCKAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman --

4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Amendment No. 1.
5	MR. MCKAY: Commissioners, as I was reading
6	Commissioner Turbeville's amendment, it seems
7	to or it could possibly be read so that in the
8	35 percent that would be remaining, a capital
9	outlay would have to be included, which could be
10	quite problematic given the class size amendment
11	and all of the money excuse me that is going
12	into construction of schools right now.
13	And while Commissioner Turbeville said
14	that that was not the intent, he didn't think
15	that would occur. In an abundance of caution,
16	I thought we ought to make sure we exclude
17	capital outlay.
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Are there questions
19	of the sponsor of the amendment?
20	(No response.)
21	Debate? Commissioner Turbeville, you're
22	recognized in debate.
23	MR. TURBEVILLE: Thank you, Chairman. I
24	completely agree with Commissioner McKay's intent.
25	And the discussions throughout the committee

- 1 hearings that we've had on this proposal have been
- 2 about operational expenditures and not capital
- 3 outlay. This is about the discretionary dollars
- 4 and certainly capital outlay is not. So I think
- 5 it's a friendly amendment, and it clarifies the
- 6 intent.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any further debate?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 Are there objections to the amendment?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 Seeing none, show the amendment adopted.
- 12 That's our last amendment. Let's move to
- the question phase on this proposal. Are there
- 14 questions of the sponsor of the proposal as
- amended?
- MR. GELBER: Question.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Gelber, you're
- 18 recognized.
- 19 MR. GELBER: Thank you. To the sponsor of
- the amendment, I'd just -- I'd like to know, does
- 21 this require a statewide average of 65 percent or
- 22 each school district or each school? Because it
- 23 says 65 percent of the funding received by school

24	districts.
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized,
	146
1	Commissioner Turbeville.
2	MR. TURBEVILLE: The intent of the
3	legislation is that each school district would in
4	fact spend a minimum of 65 percent of operational
5	dollars in the classroom, so it's each district.
6	MR. GELBER: It's not a to understand,
7	it's not a statewide average. Can county school
8	districts on their own do this if they want to,
9	and can the Legislature do this without this
10	constitutional amendment?
11	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville,
12	you're recognized.
13	MR. TURBEVILLE: Commissioner Gelber, many of
14	the school districts are currently meeting this
15	minimum threshold. As a matter of fact, if you
16	look at the year 2007, every school district that
17	was high performing, that got a grade of A, was
18	spending at least 70 percent, so some of them are
19	exceeding this. And all of the high-performing

school districts are exceeding this, so I hope

21	this would, more than anything, provide
22	transparency and taxpayer accountability so that
23	they know the 65 percent is spent and it's a
24	requirement, a minimum requirement.
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further questions?
	147
1	Commissioner D'Alemberte, you're recognized.
2	MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Can you identify a school
3	district in Florida that you think presents a
4	special problem?
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville,
6	you're recognized.
7	MR. TURBEVILLE: Commissioner D'Alemberte, I
8	think this proposal tries to handle the
9	differences in districts. We do have, as the
10	Tough Choices report presented, there's a
11	perception in the state that some school districts
12	are so large that there's not enough transparency,
13	not enough accountability; and we've seen in fact
14	in previous years sales tax referenda be defeated
15	because voters don't believe that the money is
16	actually going to go to the classroom. They
17	believe it's going to go to the bureaucracy.

18	MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized for a
20	follow-up.
21	MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Is there a single district
22	that you would identify as a particular problem?
23	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville,
24	you're recognized.
25	MR. TURBEVILLE: In all the education debates
	148
1	that we've had and even on the previous proposal,
2	there were school districts that I thought
3	presented problems and that weren't and I did
4	not in those debates identify school districts,
5	out of courtesy; and I just don't think it's
6	appropriate to single out any one school district,
7	when the Legislature is going to have the
8	opportunity to define what is in this formula.
9	And they will, in and of itself, shine the
10	light on any problem districts. So I don't
11	purport to be an expert in each individual
12	district, but I think there's there's plenty
13	of discussion, and as we've seen today, plenty
14	of discussion that more accountability is

15	needed.
16	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Rouson, you're
17	recognized for a question.
18	MR. ROUSON: Yes. Commissioner Turbeville,
19	what is the magic behind 65 percent? Why that
20	number as opposed to 70 percent, as opposed to
21	60 percent?
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. Turbeville, you're
23	recognized.
24	MR. TURBEVILLE: Well, the magic is that it's
25	two-thirds of every dollar, and 65 is probably
	149
1	easier than 66. But you mentioned a higher
2	number, and in fact when Governor Crist ran for
3	governor in 2006, he proposed this proposal. And
4	in the out years he thought that 70 percent would
5	be a better number, but starting out at 65, it
6	sets the floor. It allows the Legislature, if
7	they want, to set a higher number.
8	But I think the number is one that's been
9	used around the states, and it seems like a
10	reasonable number. When you look at national
11	studies, 65 percent is achievable, and it's

12	reachable. And I don't think there's any
13	school district in Florida that can't meet that
14	threshold.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're recognized for a
16	follow-up.
17	MR. ROUSON: What do you expect to
18	accomplish? Just help me. I mean, I'm looking at
19	some information here that says that there's no
20	correlation between better student achievement and
21	65 percent being spent in the classroom. I mean,
22	are you aware of that statistic or figure, or do
23	you have some comment on what you hope to achieve?
24	Is it better carpeting? Is it better
25	instructional materials?
	150
1	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville,
2	you're recognized to answer the question.
3	MR. TURBEVILLE: I believe you're citing the
4	study that was done by Standard & Poor's, and it
5	really goes to the questions that Patricia
6	Levesque asked of a previous presenter: Is there
7	a minimum standard. And that study that a lot of
8	people cite specifically states that this study

9	believes there is no correlation between any
10	minimum number, so not 5 percent, not 10, not 20.
11	And so I think we all know here in this
12	room that there is a correlation between
13	dollars spent in the classroom and that there
14	can be a threshold that actually matters and
15	gets money, additional money into the
16	classroom.
17	So I think the study is while it has
18	many good points and makes some definitional
19	guidelines for the proposal, I think it's way
20	off the mark if they're saying there's no
21	minimum amount that can be spent in the
22	classroom that affects student achievement in a
23	positive way. That to me seems not supported
24	by a lot of the facts we see here.
25	Again, of the school districts in 2007
	151
1	that received a high performing grade, an A,
2	all of them spent over 70 percent. So there is
3	a correlation in our own numbers that we have
4	in the State of Florida, because we do grade

schools; and we can average out for the school

- 6 districts. So I think there is a correlation,
- 7 and I would just disagree with that part of the
- 8 study.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further questions? Further
- 10 questions?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 Debate? Is there debate? Commissioner
- 13 Story, you're recognized in debate.
- 14 MS. STORY: Mr. Watson said that measures
- 15 like this really don't increase school resources
- in that it doesn't -- tax increases. And I'm not
- going to speak from the macro standpoint because I
- don't have a study here I can cite. However, I do
- 19 have a specific example in Florida that does
- 20 actually have a different result.
- 21 Okaloosa County, when Senator -- now
- 22 Senator Don Gates was there, was ranked 27th
- and 28th in the state in terms of performance.
- 24 He went in and, without raising taxes, he
- increased teachers' salaries; and they're among

- 1 the highest in all of north Florida and
- 2 probably average for Florida. He gave -- every

teacher has a laptop and every student who couldn't read got a -- I hate to use this word after the debate today -- voucher; but it was to use with current teachers that could teach those students to read, or they could go to a Sylvan Learning Center. He didn't raise taxes because he cut administration costs 40 percent, and he put those dollars in the classroom.

And within three years Okaloosa County was number one in the state. And it has bounced back between being number one and number two, from 27 or 28, because he thought that the money would be better spent supporting teachers and classrooms than in administration.

And if you want more details on that, he has written a paper outlining what he did and the results that he got from that.

And I say that because, underlining a lot of our debate sometimes is, you know, if we just put enough money in education, just the total amount of money, that's okay. But three weeks ago there was an article in USA Today that Washington, D.C. schools, they average

1	14 over \$14,000 a student, and they have a
2	33 percent graduation rate. And I know that is
3	a very poor area, but they are double, almost
4	double what we do in this state.

I have seen studies, and I don't have them cited now. And I apologize for that, and I can get those later. My understanding is that there is more of a correlation of school success and student success on dollars that go to the classroom, not just total dollars, because it goes into teacher quality and the quality of support that teacher has and the ability to use that money.

Now, am I going to sit here and debate that I know 65 percent is the right number?

I'm not. I can't do that. Because, again, I'm an engineer, and I like facts. And I like to look at what I can touch and concrete. So I can't sit here and tell you that every county in this state will have that effect.

But we do have an example in the State of Florida where cutting administration

23 significantly and putting the dollars in the 24 classroom made a difference on the success, it 25 resulted in teacher -- higher teacher salaries, 154 and it resulted in more assets and resources 1 2 for teachers and students in that particular 3 county. 4 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate? 5 Commissioner Gelber, you're recognized in debate. MR. GELBER: Thank you. This is not a new 6 7 proposal for me. I've seen it before in the 8 Florida Legislature, and you-all should be 9 wondering why it only required a 50 percent vote, why a Legislature with two-thirds dominance of 10 11 fiscally conservative Republicans would have 12 demurred to pass a proposal that sounds just like 13 cotton candy, perfect. Perfect. 14 The reason why we demurred was because we believed it was nothing less than a gimmick, 15 16 and that's all it was. And it's not even a 17 placebo, because while there may be places where it's great and it works, there are places 18

where it doesn't work. And the truth is, the

Florida Legislature can do this. The district by district school boards can do it.

Why are there differences? Why are there sometimes a correlation where there might be better performance and there might be more money being spent? Well, there's an obvious

reason. If you go to Miami-Dade County, you're going to be able to find middle schools where there have been homicides this year. And guess what? Security officers and metal detectors that are commonplace in Dade County are not in school -- in classroom statistics.

That's administrative costs, as are all of the kind of guidance counselors and healthcare officials that come in an area that has incredibly high poverty rate. And Dade County and Palm Beach have areas that have the highest poverty rates in the state.

The reason why we demurred to do this was simply because it made no sense to try to come up with a definition that fit everybody. And by the way, you're not coming up with a

definition at all. You're simply telling the Legislature, you come up with a definition, which we could have done by a majority vote, but we found it was impossible to do.

This amendment doesn't do anything. It really doesn't do anything. It's almost like a Seinfeld episode, because it just says, you-all ought to come up with a definition. If we find a definition that's easy, everybody's going to

be in compliance. And if we find a definition that's very exacting, guess what? Dade County will say well, we can't spend this money on security officers, and we can't have metal detectors. And somebody's going to say well, what the heck was the TBRC giving us this for if it's going to end up causing some counties to treat it differently.

You should also ask yourselves not simply why was the Florida Legislature not willing to do this by majority vote, why are teachers themselves not in favor of this? Because if you think about it, in classroom expenses means

that maybe they'll get a raise. They should be
the first one coming up here and saying we're
underpaid and overworked and the classrooms are
too big, and give us more money. Spend more ir
classrooms instead of on administrators. I
haven't heard a single teacher tell me to do
this. And, in fact, the teachers' union is
against it, and they're the ones who negotiate
for higher salaries.

But this is one of those things that sounds very good, and it is an admirable goal. It should be 70 percent in some districts, but

there may be some districts, perhaps even my own, where we've had homicides in middle schools, where we have metal detectors, where we have kids, huge populations that don't speak English, and come from multigenerational poverty, where the health care -- where the only health care you see is at the nurse who might be at your school but probably isn't.

In our county, for instance, we actually implemented an extra tax just to put nurses

11	in through the children's health trust into
12	the school system.
13	So my point is: The challenges of each
14	county are different. And I think what Senator
15	Gates did is unbelievable, by the way. And I
16	think he really is a thoughtful person on this,
17	but that I think proves my point. Districts
18	can figure out what to do on their own. Local
19	control should mean something. And if the
20	Florida Legislature wouldn't do this by
21	majority vote, then demanding that they do it
22	by majority vote just means you're going to get
23	a definition that is meaningless.
24	And I think the truth is, the challenges
25	of our school system are far too great to start
	158
1	submitting placebos, and we need to do
2	something real and this isn't real.
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate? Further
4	debate? Commissioner Riley in debate.
5	MS. RILEY: First of all, I'd like to thank
6	Representative Gelber's constituents for loaning
7	him to us all day. I appreciate the fact that

8	he's been here and has brought a lot of debate and
9	excitement to this meeting.
10	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Rubio owes me, I'll tell
11	you.
12	(Laughter.)
13	MS. RILEY: I'm wondering why he's not
14	calling for him back?
15	However, I had a concern when the class
16	size amendment passed, and we were talking
17	about where are we going to get the money to
18	fund this class size amendment.
19	And my concern was they were going to take
20	the money from education and say we can no
21	longer provide our children the type of
22	education that we could in the past, because we
23	have to fulfill this constitutional mandate of
24	class size. We have to have so many classes,
25	so many children.

I believe that this will take away that fear, that the money that's being spent in the counties and in the districts will not be used for the structure of putting the children in

1

2

there, but it will be used towards their
education. And maybe it's not just sitting the
children in the classroom. Maybe it is a
different way or a combination, and we've
talked about that.

I'm sorry that this Commission did not get to address many more education concerns for 20 years out, but I do believe that this solves one of the big concerns that I had whenever the class size amendment changed, and I'm going to support this.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate?

Commissioner D'Alemberte, you're recognized.

MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, if we were to pass this, we're passing a measure that would just clutter up the constitution on the --further clutter up the constitution, for no particular purpose. We can't identify a single school district that we really believe is in violation of this principle today.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Talk into the mic. Talk

into the mic, as we want to get that into the

2 record.

3 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: I don't think we can
4 identify any school district that's in violation
5 of this principle today. And we're only
6 permitting the Legislature by this amendment to do
7 what the Legislature can already do and, as
8 Commissioner Gelber has already pointed out,
9 Legislature has declined to do.

Now, if you'll begin to identify

administrative costs, you're going to touch

the -- into areas where there will be

considerable disagreement about whether

something is classroom or administrative or

whatever. And what we need to do is to leave

to the local school districts the authority to

control their own budget. And so far as I can

see, they've done a good job of it with very

inadequate resources.

To put this on the ballot is to suggest that administrative costs are somehow our problem with public school education. And it's not. Our problem with public school education is that we have consistently underfunded it.

	163
1	years. We've disinvested in education in
2	Florida.
3	We've taken money away from public school
4	education, away from universities. And it's
5	been such a tragic policy. And yet by waving
6	this in front of the public, we will saying
7	that they ought to take care of this excessive
8	administrative cost, which does not exist.
9	So I hope we won't do something useless
10	and add another approximately 105 words to the
11	state constitution for no purpose at all.
12	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate? Further
13	debate? Further debate?
14	(No response.)
15	Seeing none, Commissioner Turbeville,
16	you're recognized to close on your proposal.
17	MR. TURBEVILLE: Thank you, Chairman.
18	Seinfeld, Commissioner Gelber, is one of my
19	favorite shows, and it was the most successful
20	show in TV history for a reason, because people
21	believed in its great entertainment; but it made a

22	lot of money. And that's what this issue is about
23	is convincing the public that money should be
24	spent in the classroom and that it is happening.
25	And so I would hope today we would, you
	162
1	know, agree with leaders of the Legislature and
2	the governor of the State of Florida. Adam
3	Hasner, Senator Gates is a great example of
4	leadership at a local level. Okaloosa County
5	spends over 70 percent of their funding and
6	they have a grade A as a school district.
7	So with the proper leadership proper
8	leadership that's clued into taxpayers and
9	what's going on in that locality, it can occur.
10	And this sets just the minimum threshold. I
11	hope you'll support what I believe is a good
12	proposal and provide the voters an opportunity
13	to spend 65 percent of every dollar in the
14	classroom.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville
16	having closed on CP26, committee substitute for
17	CP26, the question recurs on first passage of CS
18	for CP26 as amended. Nancy, call the roll.

- 19 MS. FRIER: Chair Bense.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.
- 21 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.
- MR. BARNETT: Yes.
- 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
- MR. BOSTICK: Yes.
- 25 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.

- 1 MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
- 3 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: No.
- 4 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- 5 MR. HOGAN: Yes.
- 6 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- 7 MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- 9 MR. KYLE: Yes.
- 10 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- 11 MR. LACASA: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.
- 14 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- 17 MS. MATHIS: Yes.
- 18 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- MR. LES MILLER: No.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.

- 1 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- 2 MR. MOORE: No.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- 4 MS. RILEY: Yes.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Rouson.
- 6 MR. ROUSON: No.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- 8 MS. STORY: Yes.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 10 MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- MR. WILKINSON: Yes.

13 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski. 14 MR. YABLONSKI: Yes. 15 MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott. 16 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And by your vote the 18 proposal passes by a 20 to four vote. 19 Members, we are now going to take about a -- let's take about a 30-minute recess. 20 21 The Styling and Drafting Committee is 22 going to meet and order up -- do what the 23 Styling and Drafting Committee does. They'll 24 also work on a new order of appearance since we 25 have two new proposals that have been put 165 1 forth. 2 If you can come back between 12:15 and 3 12:20, I think that would be helpful. And we 4 will then take up the Commission -- the 5 committee's report, and then we'll have final passage of committee substitute for CP40 and 6 7 committee substitute for CP26. 8 MR. ROUSON: Mr. Chairman? 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Rouson?

10	MR. ROUSON: Mr. Chairman, I want to take a
11	point of personal privilege. We've worked real
12	hard through this whole process, all of us as
13	commissioners, to do what we thought was best. I
14	was quoted in the paper recently as criticizing
15	two commissioners by name, and I think I owe
16	Commissioner Story an apology for the quote.
17	When she explained her vote and her
18	rationale and why she did what she did
19	yesterday, it reminded me of what she had said
20	a long time ago, and she was consistent on it.
21	And so I want to offer Commissioner Story an
22	apology for that that quote on the record.
23	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you. Okay.
24	MR. RANDY MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Miller?
	166
1	MR. RANDY MILLER: Could I request a lunch
2	break?
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. We'll come back at
4	12:30. Well, we do have planes to catch. But
5	let's try to get back at 12:15 if you can. And
6	but the Style and Draftina Committee will meet in

- 7 here -- you got a plane ticket? The Styling and
- 8 Drafting Committee will meet in here right now.
- 9 MS. STORY: Is it 12:15?
- 10 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Let's try to get back at
- 11 12:15, members.
- 12 (Lunch recess was taken.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Nancy, could you call the
- roll so we can find out who all's here, please.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.
- MR. BARNETT: Here.
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martha --
- 18 Commissioner Bostick.
- 19 MR. BOSTICK: Here.
- 20 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.
- MR. CORCORAN: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
- MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Here.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- MR. HOGAN: Here.

- 1 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- 2 MS. JOHNSON: Here.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.

- 4 MR. KYLE: Here.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- 6 MR. LACASA: Here.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- 8 MS. LEVESQUE: Here.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- 10 MR. MARTINEZ: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- MS. MATHIS: Here.
- 13 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- MR. MCKAY: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- MR. MCKEE: Here.
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 18 MR. LES MILLER: Here.
- 19 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- 20 MR. RANDY MILLER: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- MS. RILEY: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Rouson.

- 1 (No response.)
- 2 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- 3 MS. STORY: Here.
- 4 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 5 MR. TURBEVILLE: Here.
- 6 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- 7 MR. WILKINSON: Here.
- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
- 9 MR. YABLONSKI: Here.
- 10 MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.
- 11 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Here.
- MS. FRIER: Chair Bense.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Here.
- 14 So we are missing Commissioner Rouson and
- 15 Commissioner Martha. Okay.
- 16 All right, members, welcome back. We're
- 17 going to move to the report of Style And
- 18 Drafting. Commissioner Levesque, you're
- 19 recognized.
- 20 MS. LEVESQUE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 21 Members, you should have in front of you CS for
- 22 CP40, first engrossed. Does everyone have a copy
- of that? CS for CP40, first engrossed? It may be

24	up on the counter here, so you can see it.
25	There were the amendments that were
	169
1	adopted in the Commission meeting this morning
2	were engrossed into CP40, so you can see on
3	lines 23 through 27 Commissioner Barnett's
4	amendment to restore current language in the
5	constitution, as well as Commissioner Martinez,
6	that all of that language now is current
7	constitutional language.
8	And then on lines 27 through 29 is the
9	incorporation of Commissioner Martinez's new
10	language regarding the entitlement.
11	So there were no changes made from Styling
12	and Drafting based upon the engrossed version
13	that came to us. If you want to turn to the
14	ballot summary on lines 79 to 86, I'll read the
15	ballot summary for you.
16	"Minimum, nonexclusive duty for the state
17	to adequately provide for the education of
18	Florida's children. Provides that the
19	constitutional requirement to provide Florida's
20	children with a 'uniform, efficient, safe,

21	secure, and high quality system of free public
22	schools' is a minimum and nonexclusive duty.
23	Reverses legal precedent prohibiting public
24	funding of private school alternatives as part
25	of the state's school choice programs."
	170
1	And that's the recommendation from the
2	Style and Drafting Committee on CS for CP40,
3	first engrossed.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. To get the proper
5	posture, is there a motion to adopt the report
6	regarding regarding to adopt the report and
7	recommendation of Styling and Drafting on CP40?
8	Commissioner Scott moves
9	MS. MATHIS: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN BENSE: and Commissioner Mathis
11	seconds.
12	Are there questions of the report? Any
13	questions?
14	(No response.)
15	Is there debate?
16	(No response.)
17	Do we call the roll or we do vote voice?

- 18 Call the roll, please. It takes a majority
- 19 vote. Call the roll, please, Nancy.
- 20 MS. FRIER: Chair Bense.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.
- MR. BARNETT: Yes.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
- MR. BOSTICK: Yes.

- 1 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.
- MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
- 4 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Yes.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- 6 MR. HOGAN: Yes.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- 8 MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- 10 MR. KYLE: Yes.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- 12 MR. LACASA: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.

- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- MS. MATHIS: Yes.
- 19 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- MR. LES MILLER: No.
- 25 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.

- 1 MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- 3 MR. MOORE: Yes.
- 4 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- 5 MS. RILEY: Yes.
- 6 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- 7 MS. STORY: Yes.
- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 9 MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- 10 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- 11 MR. WILKINSON: Yes.

12	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
13	MR. YABLONSKI: Yes.
14	MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.
15	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN BENSE: By your vote, the motion to
17	adopt the report and recommendation of Styling and
18	Drafting on CP40 is approved, 22 to one.
19	Commissioner Levesque, you're recognized
20	to continue on the report.
21	MS. LEVESQUE: And, members, you should have
22	CS for CP26, second engrossed, in front of you.
23	Second engrossed.
24	And as can you see on lines 25 through 26,
25	Commissioner McKay's amendment that was adopted
	173
1	earlier in the Commission has been engrossed
2	into this version of the CS for CP26, and I'll
3	read the ballot summary for you, beginning on
4	line 42.
5	"Requiring sixty-five percent of school
6	funding for classroom instruction. This
7	proposed amendment to the state constitution
8	generally requires that sixty-five percent of

9	school funding received by school districts be
10	spent on classroom instruction rather than on
11	administration as defined by law."
12	And if you want me to make the motion now
13	and then we can have questions?
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Is there a motion?
15	Commissioner Levesque has explained the what
16	the Styling and Drafting Committee did. Is there
17	a motion to approve it? Commissioner Turbeville
18	moves and Commissioner Mathis seconds.
19	Are there questions of the chairman of the
20	Styling and Drafting Committee? Are there
21	questions regarding CP26?
22	(No response.)
23	Is there debate?
24	(No response.)
25	Seeing none, please call the roll on the
	174
1	approval and adoption of the report of and
2	recommendation of Style and Drafting Committee
3	on CP26. Please call the roll.
4	MS. FRIER: Chair Bense.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.

- 6 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.
- 7 MR. BARNETT: Yes.
- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
- 9 MR. BOSTICK: Yes.
- 10 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.
- 11 MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte. 12
- MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Yes. 13
- 14 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- 15 MR. HOGAN: Yes.
- 16 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- 17 MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 18 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- MR. KYLE: Yes. 19
- 20 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- 21 MR. LACASA: Yes.
- 22 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- 23 MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- 25 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis. 1

- 2 MS. MATHIS: Yes.

- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- 4 MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- 6 MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 8 MR. LES MILLER: No.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- 10 MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: No.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- 14 MS. RILEY: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Rouson.
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- MS. STORY: Yes.
- 19 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 20 MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- 21 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- MR. WILKINSON: Yes.
- 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
- MR. YABLONSKI: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.

1	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Twenty-three 22 to one.
3	And by your vote, you have adopted the report and
4	recommendation of Styling and Drafting Committee
5	on CP26, by a 22 to one vote.
6	Commissioner Levesque, you're recognized.
7	MS. LEVESQUE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	Members, during Style and Drafting we had a
9	discussion of whether or not the two proposals
10	should be combined. Commissioner Hogan made the
11	motion to combine the two since they're both
12	related to public education, and they're both in
13	article IX. So the Style and Drafting Committee
14	adopted the recommendation to combine the two
15	proposals. So you should have in front of you CS
16	for CPs 26 and 40, second engrossed. And I'm
17	going to walk through the combination of the
18	proposal for you.
19	There were absolutely no changes made
20	between 26 and 40. They were just both
21	engrossed into the same constitutional
22	proposal. But we did have to work on the

23	ballot summary, so I'll have to read the entire
24	for you.
25	"Requiring 65 percent of school funding
	177
1	for classroom instruction; state's duty for
2	children's education. Requires at least 65
3	percent of school funding received by school
4	districts be spent on classroom instruction,
5	rather than administration; allows for
6	differences in administrative expenditures by
7	district. Provides the constitutional
8	requirement for the state to provide a
9	'uniform, efficient, safe, secure and high
10	quality system of free public schools' is a
11	minimum, nonexclusive duty. Reverses legal
12	precedent prohibiting public funding of private
13	school alternatives to public school programs
14	without creating an entitlement."
15	And that's the ballot summary to combine
16	the two proposals.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Is there a motion to
18	combine the two proposals? Commissioner Hogan
19	moves and Commissioner Mathis seconds.

20	Are there questions regarding the
21	combination of 26 and 40? Are there questions?
22	(No response.)
23	Is there debate? Commissioner Moore,
24	you're recognized in debate.
25	MR. MOORE: I I will object to the
	178
1	combining. I think it's two totally different
2	subjects. One has to do with administrative
3	expense, classroom expenditures. The other one
4	clearly limits the voucher issue. I think the two
5	should I believe they both should be rejected,
6	but at least they should go separately.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further discussion? Further
8	discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	Okay. Commissioner Scott, you want to say
11	something?
12	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Well, just that the
13	discussion is that they're both in the same
14	section of the constitution and they both really
15	relate to a continued and general subject of
16	basically improvements. I mean, choice, no matter

17	how anyone feels about it, a premise of this going
18	back to charter schools and whatever, going back
19	when we passed the charter school law in the
20	Legislature, is that choice is basically an
21	improvement.
22	And I think that they're both in the same
23	section, and they're both relating to basic
24	efforts of emphasizing improvement in education
25	and teaching versus administration.
	179
1	MR. MOORE: Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Moore, you're
3	recognized.
4	MR. MOORE: Would then someone explain to me
5	why the provision for 65 percent solution comes
6	first as opposed to the provision on the
7	entitlement, which comes second, when in fact in
8	the constitution they're in reverse order.
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Levesque, I'll
10	let you comment on that.
11	MS. LEVESQUE: Sure. Thank you, Chairman.
12	This was actually something that was a

recommendation from bill drafting when we looked

14	at combining them, that the new language was the
15	65 percent solution versus the an amending of
16	the current article, so the chief purpose was the
17	new language. And so there was a recommendation
18	from bill drafting to include them in this
19	particular order.
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate?
21	Commissioner D'Alemberte, you're recognized.

MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I just observed that we're continuing logrolling. I never understood what logrolling actually meant, but I do understand what bait and switch means.

And the difficulty when you combine measures and throw two different measures out to the voters and give them only one chance to vote, you're not giving them a chance to vote against something that they don't like, if there's something in there that they do like.

And that's the reason we avoid trying to combine these things in normal practice, and why we ought to avoid it here, so I urge that we not approve -- not join the two.

11 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Further debate? 12 (No response.) 13 Seeing none, the question recurs on the 14 motion to adopt the report and recommendation 15 of the Styling and Drafting Committee to 16 combine CP26 and CP40, second engrossed, for 17 placement on the general electoral -- general election ballot. Nancy, please call the roll. 18 19 MS. FRIER: Chair Bense. 20 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes. 21 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett. 22 MR. BARNETT: Yes. 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick. 24 MR. BOSTICK: Yes. 25 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran. 181 1 MR. CORCORAN: Yes. 2 MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte. 3 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: No. MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan. 4 5 MR. HOGAN: Yes. 6 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- 9 MR. KYLE: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa. 10
- 11 MR. LACASA: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque. 12
- 13 MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez. 14
- 15 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
- 16 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- 17 MS. MATHIS: Yes.
- 18 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- 19 MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- 20 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- 21 MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- 22 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 23 MR. LES MILLER: No.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.

25 MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.

- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore. 1
- 2 MR. MOORE: No.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- MS. RILEY: Yes. 4

- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- 6 MS. STORY: Yes.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 8 MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- 10 MR. WILKINSON: Yes.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
- MR. YABLONSKI: Yes.
- 13 MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.
- 14 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And by your vote the motion
- passes by a 20 to three margin.
- 17 Next we will take up -- we will entertain
- 18 a motion to adopt the report and
- 19 recommendations for the Style and Drafting
- 20 Committee to place combined 26, 40 as No. 7 on
- 21 the general election ballot. Commissioner
- 22 Levesque, you want to take this?
- MS. LEVESQUE: Sure. Thank you,
- 24 Mr. Chairman.
- 25 Members, the Style and Drafting Committee

1 in reviewing the order of ballot placement that

- 2 we had already recommended to you earlier in
- 3 the docket yesterday, we recommend that you
- 4 just add CP -- CS for CP26 and 40 to the bottom
- of the order. So we're recommending to you now
- 6 an amended order for ballot placement, which
- 7 would replace CS for CP 26 and 40 as No. 7, so
- 8 it would be at the bottom of the order that
- 9 we've already adopted.
- 10 So I move to adopt the recommendation of
- 11 the Style and Drafting Committee for the
- 12 amended order and placement on the ballot
- 13 that's in front of you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Move it as the -- as No. 7
- in the final on the ballot?
- MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.
- 17 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Who -- there's a
- 19 motion by Commissioner Levesque, second by
- 20 Commissioner Scott.
- Is there -- are there questions?
- 22 (No response.)
- Is there debate? Any debate?
- 24 (No response.)

- 1 motion to adopt the supplemental report and
- 2 recommendation from the Styling and Drafting
- 3 Committee to place combined CP26 and CP40 as
- 4 No. 7 on the general election ballot.
- 5 Nancy, please call the roll.
- 6 MS. FRIER: Chair Bense.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.
- 8 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.
- 9 MR. BARNETT: Yes.
- 10 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
- 11 MR. BOSTICK: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.
- MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
- MR. D'ALEMBERTE: No.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- 17 MR. HOGAN: Yes.
- 18 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 20 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- MR. KYLE: Yes.

- 22 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- MR. LACASA: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.

- 1 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- 2 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- 4 MS. MATHIS: Yes.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
- 6 MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- 8 MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 10 MR. LES MILLER: No.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: No.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- MS. RILEY: Yes.
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Rouson.
- 18 (No response.)

- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- MS. STORY: Yes.
- 21 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
- 24 MR. WILKINSON: Yes.
- 25 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.

- 1 MR. YABLONSKI: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.
- 3 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And by your vote the measure
- 5 passes by a 20 to three -- what's the number?
- 6 MS. FRIER: Twenty to three.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BENSE: By a 20 to three measure.
- 8 Members, one clarification. While we have
- 9 them numbered one, two, three, four, five, six,
- seven, that may not be the exact numbers that
- they will be on the ballot, but in terms of
- 12 what we submit, it will be in that order. Just
- so we clarify that.
- 14 Finally, we're going to move to final
- passage of the combined CP26 and CP40. Is

16 there a motion out there? 17 MS. LEVESQUE: Motion on final passage. CHAIRMAN BENSE: There is the 17 vote. That 18 19 was the order. I need a motion. 20 MS. LEVESQUE: Motion to the final passage of 21 CS for CP26 and 40. 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Is there a second? Okay. 23 Commissioner Levesque moves, Commissioner Scott 24 seconds to take up the combined CP26 and CP40 for 25 final passage. This requires 17 votes. 187 1 Are there questions? 2 (No response.) 3 Is there debate? 4 (No response.) 5 Seeing none, the question recurs on the 6 motion by Commissioner Levesque, seconded by 7 Commissioner Scott, to take up and pass 8 combined CP26 and CP40 for final passage. 9 Nancy, please call the roll. 10 MS. FRIER: Chair Bense. 11 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes. 12 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Barney Barnett.

- MR. BARNETT: Yes.
- 14 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
- MR. BOSTICK: Yes.
- 16 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Corcoran.
- 17 MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
- 18 MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
- MR. D'ALEMBERTE: No.
- 20 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
- MR. HOGAN: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Johnson.
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 24 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.
- MR. KYLE: Yes.

- 1 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Lacasa.
- 2 MR. LACASA: Yes.
- 3 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
- 4 MS. LEVESQUE: Yes.
- 5 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
- 6 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.
- 7 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
- 8 MS. MATHIS: Yes.
- 9 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.

- 10 MR. MCKAY: Yes.
- 11 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
- MR. MCKEE: Yes.
- 13 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 14 MR. LES MILLER: No.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- MR. RANDY MILLER: Yes.
- 17 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: No.
- 19 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Riley.
- MS. RILEY: Yes.
- 21 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
- MS. STORY: Yes.
- 23 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 24 MR. TURBEVILLE: Yes.
- MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.

- 1 MR. WILKINSON: Yes.
- 2 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
- 3 MR. YABLONSKI: Yes.
- 4 MS. FRIER: Vice Chair Scott.
- 5 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And by your vote the motion

7	for the final passage of combined CP26 and CP40
8	passes by a 20 to three vote.
9	Okay, members, let's move to we're just
10	about done discussion of procedures and time
11	frame for transmittal of TBRC proposals to the

frame for transmittal of TBRC proposals to the Secretary of State.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

I will recognize Susan Skelton, Dudley Goodlette, and Tom Cibula. Ms. Skelton, do you want to open up?

MS. SKELTON: Mr. Chairman, just a brief overview. Our staff has been meeting with the Secretary of State's office on the method of transmittal. The method of transmittal will be a letter from the Chair recognizing the work of the Commission and placing the ballot order as the Commission has voted for. And that letter of transmittal will be submitted as soon as we're able to complete that in the next couple of days and will be transmitted to the Secretary of State.

190

Secretary of State will then take them and place those items on the ballot in the numerical order that we have recommended,

- 4 following the items that are already on the
- 5 ballot. And that is the procedure.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Scott,
- 7 you have some comments on that?
- 8 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: No, none on that. I don't
- 9 have any comments on that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Mr. Goodlette or
- 11 Mr. Cibula, on that process, you're okay? Okay.
- 12 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: And I'm just going to say
- as soon as possible.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yeah, I agree. How about
- 15 a -- Ms. Torian, are you ready for the next
- section, which is the staff update on the final
- 17 report of 2007/2008 Taxation Budget and Reform
- 18 Commission? You're recognized.
- 19 MS. TORIAN: First let me start by saying,
- 20 hurrah.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- The final report of the Commission will
- contain no new information. It will simply be
- 24 a final document reflecting all the substantive
- 25 work of the committees. It will include all

the reports that came out of the Governmental

Services Committee. They had six reports on

the six various high level topics. Those will

also be included in the report.

rules overview as well.

- It will also give an overview of the
 number of meetings that were held, the hearings
 around the state as well the procedure and the
 - That document will then be transmitted to the Governor's Office, the speaker of the House and the president of the Senate. It will also be posted onto our website, which will be maintained going forward so people will have access to that 20 years from now. And the next Commission can look back on those details both in hard copy as well as through a -- an archived version of the website.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Are there questions 19 of Ms. Torian?
- 20 (No response.)

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21 Let's move on then to some thank yous. I 22 think folks that we need to thank in this 23 process. First of all, the -- I want to thank didn't have that done to us.

I want to thank the Governor's Office for staff support. They took the ball initially and got this Commission formed. Ms. Carolyn Timmann was very instrumental in getting us started and really helped get us through the first 45 days.

I want to thank Stephanie Kopelousos, from the -- who's Secretary of the Department of Transportation, to thank her for her letting us use their facilities and letting their -- allowing their staff to help us. I really appreciate that.

And I also want to thank the FSU Academic

21	and Professional Program Services for all their
22	work on our website, for the use of their
23	furniture and their computers. They really
24	came through for us at the right time, which
25	was at the beginning, and they've been most
1	helpful throughout this process.
2	We want to thank the Department of Revenue

We want to thank the Department of Revenue for their assistance in actually setting up this Commission. They could have been an obstacle; they were helpful. And I appreciate -- we all appreciate what they did to help us.

The Office of Legislative Services, OLS, for member and staff support. I think they really helped Susan especially and staffers.

And they paid our travel, which I think we're out of money for travel I hear since they cut the -- we did get wracked pretty good, though, in the budget cuts.

I want to thank the Office of Legislative
Information Technology Services for their
computer support service, which was very

- 18 helpful throughout our entire process.
- 19 I want to thank the Florida Channel for
- their statewide coverage and fair coverage of
- our meetings.
- I want to thank our consultants, Dave
- Zachem, who helped us in the area of property
- 24 tax. Ava Parker, who was very helpful, if you
- recall, in our public hearings, to make sure we

- 1 had a good balance of turnout at all of our
- 2 public hearings. And I also want to thank John
- 3 Phelps. Is John still here? Where is John?
- 4 John Phelps -- by the way, he is a
- 5 volunteer -- voluntary parliamentarian. As we
- 6 began to get deep into the weeds a few weeks
- 7 ago, if you'll recall, on the -- on the
- 8 spending cap initiative, he really helped guide
- 9 us out of the weeds. And I really do thank
- 10 John. John is the greatest -- was -- is and
- 11 was the greatest person to ever make sure that
- the Florida House was run properly as its
- 13 clerk. So, John, thank you so much again for
- 14 your help. You've always been there.

And Richard Hixson, our styling and
drafting attorney, as I mentioned at a meeting
earlier this year, I was able to persuade him
to come out of retirement to help us when I was
speaker of the House, and he's fair player.
He's been around this process for a long time.
And Richard, we Susan and I begged him to
come back to help us through this Styling and
Drafting part, which is very critical. And
he's done it, and he could do it in his sleep.
And I feel comfortable that what we've done

from here on out with respect to transmitting it to the Secretary of State's office couldn't have been prepared and overseen by a better person. He's a good man.

And last but not least, in terms of our consultants, Dudley Goodlette, I've always said that when I was speaker I was probably about a five and a half on a scale of one to ten. I would have been a three and a half if it weren't for Goodlette to get things done.

11 (Laughter.)

12	So he's very good. He's cordial, and I
13	think he was accessible to everyone. And I
14	hope that everyone felt that way. And you-all
15	can all chime in later if you like, but I'll
16	get through these and we can you can add,
17	embellish on.
18	I want to thank Danielle Freeze, our court
19	reporter. You can write your name down.
20	(Applause.)
21	You did a you were very patient.
22	And Paul Soberenlort [phonetic] our summer
23	intern. He was very helpful to us and a lot of
24	research for us.
25	And our staff. Nancy Frier,
	196
1	administrative assistant, who was always there.
2	Just wonderful. We had a great staff. You're
3	as good as your as the folks that you
4	surround yourself with, and they sure made all
5	of us look good.
6	Chandra Godwin, also an administrative
7	assistant. Did a super job, Chandra. We
8	really appreciate what you did.

9	Rob Shave. Rob worked like a Trojan, and
10	we appreciate all your hard work.
11	Ann Gordon, who I've worked with in the
12	past. There's Ann. Ann's just a wonderful
13	person. She gets the appropriations process.
14	Helped train me a few years ago in the
15	appropriations process, so and a very loyal
16	person.
17	Tom Cibula, our general counsel and senior
18	policy person. Probably one of the brightest
19	persons I've met in a long time. And where
20	are you, Tom? I mean, you get it. I mean, he
21	rocks.
22	(Laughter.)
23	He I don't always understand what you
24	say, Tom, because you're on a higher level than
25	I am, but I know it's good.
	197
1	(Laughter.)
2	And Kathy Torian, who we were able to get
3	from the Governor's Office, and I thank
4	Governor Crist for letting us have her. She
5	was our deputy executive director and our

- 6 communications person and just a joy to be 7 around. I mean, Kathy is just -- you know, in 8 life you can go through it and just, you know, 9 look at terrible things or you can go through 10 life and think how wonderful it is, and it's 11 just a joy to be around Kathy. We traveled to 12 the public hearings a day ahead of time to kind 13 of let the press know what we were doing there. 14 So, Kathy, it's great to work with you. And last but not least is Susan Skelton. 15 I've known Susan Skelton since the Dempsey 16 17 Barron days when she was an aide to Senator 18 Barron. And having grown up in the same area that Senator Barron grew up in, I campaigned 19 20 for him when I was in high school. So -- and I
- learned a lot from Dempsey, some what not to do
- and some what to do. Right, Susan Skelton?
- You knew him very well.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- 25 But he's a great mentor. But Susan is

- 1 a -- in fact, until we were -- you were here, I
- 2 thought you were a Democrat. Nothing personal.

3	(Laughter.)
4	But I think it shows I think that
5	shows she came in because of her talents,
6	her qualities and abilities, not her political
7	affiliation. And she's one of the most
8	knowledgeable people in Tallahassee, and her
9	integrity is beyond reproach. And her
10	knowledge in guiding me through some of the
11	pitfalls I almost got in. I know now why you
12	survived for 25 plus years, Susan. You were
13	wonderful.
14	And I don't know what your next challenge
15	will be, but whoever wherever you end up, it
16	will be to their benefit, I can assure you of
17	that. It was wonderful.
18	So having gone through all that, Senator
19	Scott, you got some a few things to say?
20	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Well, yeah. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I also want to chime in about our staff. Just tremendous. And I also, as you well know, I've known Susan probably as long as you have or longer. And we worked together in -- as far back as the 1980 to '82 reapportionment

1	matters, where she was staffed in reapportionment,
2	which is always an interesting venture as those in
3	and out of the Legislature well know.

And the other members of our staff, just been excellent. As we all know, this was kind of like -- probably like what -- a little bit like it was after Pearl Harbor was bombed. We had to go and get going.

And not only that, if you'll remember, we -- our Chair is very -- we were very courteous and deferential to the legislative sessions and special sessions and even matters that they put on the ballot on some of our issues that were of great concern to a lot of the state. And so we've really been in the 90-day wonders, really, when you think about it, in the last three months.

I want to also mention the Style and
Drafting Committee and Patricia Levesque, who
I've known but never really worked with. Just
tremendous, patient, knowledgeable, and also
very fair on issues, no matter how she may or

23 may not personally have felt about them. We --24 it's just been a tremendous leadership. I 25 think that was evident from the way she's handled the final reports the last two days. 1 2 And the other members, tremendous Martha 3 Barnett, who's -- I didn't quite -- I was 4 somewhere where she was leaving, but I want to 5 mention that this is like the second -- several of us have served with Martha on prior 6 7 commissions. I know Barney Barnett at 8 something. I served on the Constitutional 9 Revision with her. She did great. 10 And the other members of the committee, 11 and I don't want to leave any out. 12 And Commissioner Miller, invaluable. His 13 experience in the Legislature and his additions 14 to the Style and Drafting. And Commissioner 15 Hogan. And I don't know who I'm leaving out, Chair Bense. 16 17 Let me get to -- let me get to Chairman 18 Bense. When he said he'd known Dempsey Barron 19 since high school, I've known him since high

20 school; and he's really the same age I am, I 21 think. I must have been elected to the Senate 22 when I was 16. 23 (Laughter.) 24 It's truthful that I remember being in 25 Panama City, and I want to say he was working 201 1 in a gas station. But you had something to do 2 with a gas station over there when he was -- a 3 long time ago in a campaign with Senator Barron 4 in like 1980 or '82 or something. 5 CHAIRMAN BENSE: That would be the '70s. 6 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Oh, no. That couldn't be 7 the '70s. 8 (Laughter.) 9 In any event, when we started this 10 Commission, I will tell you that I was asked to 11 be on it, and I -- I debated about it some. I 12 mean, but when I had an idea who was going to be on it -- and that goes for all of you. Many 13 14 of you I've worked with for years and known and others it's been a pleasure to get you to know 15

16

you better.

17	But Chair Bense, when it was discussed
18	about who would be chair. It certainly made my
19	mind up that this is where I wanted to be, and
20	I'm happy that to have been vice chair with
21	him.
22	And I want I know all the members have
23	such great respect for you, the way when you
24	were in the Legislature and now the way you've
25	conducted this, with the fairness and the
	202
1	consideration for the public and for every
2	speaker and for the members and all of their
3	issues. Just tremendous.
4	I hope all the members, let's give him
5	a big round of applause.
6	(Applause.)
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you all very much. I
8	do appreciate it. Commissioner Story.
9	MS. STORY: I also want to add my thanks to
10	the staff. I've worked in a lot of different
11	organizations throughout the Southeast. And I've
12	worked in a lot of voluntary and nonvoluntary.
13	And I've never seen a team of people more

14	dedicated, great at what they do. I mean, I don't
15	think many of us even know how many birthdays went
16	by without them being with their families, or how
17	many anniversaries or their kids' ball games.
18	I mean, we don't realize, but all I know
19	is every time I called, they were there. And

I -- they're just the A team. They're the

national champs, and I just want to say that.

For Chairman Bense, I want to echo something that Commissioner Scott said. Great, great leaders have a vision of what they want to be, a vision of good. They have a passion

to get there; that nothing is going to stop us; that you gives you more courage.

And they have a mission, which means the vision is great and the passion is great; but if you don't put it into work clothes, if you don't think of a way we can get there that is honest and fair and inspires people, then the rest of it doesn't happen.

And you are one of those rare and unique people who have all three of those. You have

1	L1	vision. You have a passion for what is right	
1	L2	and what is good and what we need in the state,	
1	L3	and you also are able to say, here's what it's	
1	L4	going to take to get there. And I admire you	
1	L5	so much, and your service on this Commission	
1	L6	has just been extraordinary. And I'm glad I	
1	L7	was able to witness it and work with you.	
1	L8	And the last thing I want to say is to	
1	L9	every single commissioner the ones in the	
2	20	back too.	
2	21	(Laughter.)	
2	22	VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Even Barney.	
2	23	MS. STORY: Even Barney, who slammed Auburn	
2	24	engineers a few minutes ago.	
2	25	But, again, I've worked in a lot of	
		2	204
	1	groups. One thing when I came down, I knew	
	2	some of you but not many of you. Every person	
	3	on this Commission is such a patriot. I mean,	
	4	you're an American patriot, and you love this	
	5	state.	
	6	And Martha Barnett said something earlier	
	7	that I agree with a thousand percent. She	

8	said I know that's not technically, it's	
9	100 percent. But she said, we all want the	
10	right thing. We all want to do the right	
11	thing.	
12	We may differ on how to get there, but,	
13	you know, I never ever questioned any	
14	commissioner on this Commission who truly and	
15	honestly was giving these hours, these days,	
16	these months to make Florida a better place,	
17	not just for today and those of us sitting here	
18	or even your own children, but every single	
19	commissioner was so thoughtful about saying,	
20	how can I make sure that my children, my	
21	grandchildren, but even more importantly, every	
22	child in the future in this state has a great	
23	place to call home.	
24	And I never ever doubted that that was the	
25	goal of every commissioner. And I will tell	
	2	05
1	you that I've not always participated on groups	

you that I've not always participated on groups

- 2 where I can make that statement.
- 3 So I want to thank you for what you've done for me, encouraging me, and knowing that, 4

in this day and time with so much cynicism and so much stuff out there and so much crap, that you can get a group of people together who can disagree and debate and -- but we know that we all want the same thing, and that's what's best for this great state and this country. And I thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Lacasa, you're recognized.

MR. LACASA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I too want to thank the members of the Planning and Budget Committee and the staff. Ann Gordon, thank you so much for tolerating me. I want to thank Commissioner Story for setting the standard in how to do a committee report. I always tried to follow your lead. They were excellent reports. I always knew what was going on in your committee.

I want to thank you, Chairman Bense, as well. We served together in the Florida House, but I didn't have the pleasure of serving while you were speaker. And I'm thankful to Speaker

- 2 that.
- 3 I want to thank all of you, my fellow
- 4 commissioners. It's been a true joy to serve
- 5 on this particular type of institution. Having
- 6 served in the Legislature, the dynamic here was
- 7 very different, and I too saw the patriotism
- 8 and the fervor for being a Floridian. And I'm
- 9 very grateful for that.
- 10 Commissioner Scott, thank you for also
- guiding me and helping me in this process.
- 12 Susan, thanks.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Hogan,
- 14 you're recognized.
- MR. HOGAN: Yes. I would move that we
- 16 reconsider CP --
- 17 (Laughter.)
- In truth, Chairman Bense, I'd like to
- 19 first -- I'd like to first thank Senator -- I,
- 20 mean president of the Senate Pruitt for
- 21 appointing me to this Commission. It has been
- 22 a very wonderful opportunity to have met some
- 23 great folks.
- I thought I would never move away from

1	meeting for probably the first seven or eight
2	meetings I sat next to Jacintha. And what a
3	sweet lady she is and how great her insight is
4	about all issues. I'm amazed. I'm sorry we
5	didn't get to consolidate the State of Florida
6	into one government, but I know you tried.
7	And, Senator McKay, I voted for your bill
8	three times and only against it once, so that
9	should count for something. The last time I
10	voted against it, I think I voted for it. I
11	told you that you must have had a facelift, but
12	the last time the staples came out, so I had to
13	change my vote on that, but I gave you plenty
14	of forewarning. I didn't submarine you.
15	And then to get to meet the father of Save
16	Our Homes. That's a pretty good treat.
17	To serve with a fellow despised tax
18	collector like McKee was a great opportunity.
19	And then there were so many. I felt like
20	I was the village idiot at a MENSA meeting.
21	(Laughter.)

22	The intellect on this committee, with
23	maybe the exception of Senator Scott
24	(Laughter.)
25	was amazing to me. Julia Johnson, I
	208
1	don't know who I would choose for a lawyer, you
2	or Martinez, if I ever had to go to court. I
3	love your style of debate, and I love your
4	thoughtful way that you present your ideas.
5	And, Sandy, I'm going to have to tell my
6	cousin about you. We've never voted the same
7	the entire time we were here, and so I don't
8	know if he'll disown me or disown FSU. I think
9	he'll get rid of me first.
10	Finally, it's good to work with instead of
11	be lobbied by Randy Miller.
12	(Laughter.)
13	And, Jade, I don't know if you had
14	anything to do with the commercials but, shoot,
15	my campaign manager tells me that the next
16	campaign I'm in he's going to be able to reduce
17	our costs by about 50 percent. My name was
18	mentioned every day for three weeks about my

19 vote on the Blaine amendment. 20 MR. MOORE: I had nothing to do with that. 21 (Laughter.) 22 MR. HOGAN: Oh, I know. I'm just saying, I 23 want to thank you if you did, because it was a --24 he told me that we'd save a lot of money on the 25 next campaign. 209 1 To the staff, they are the A team. I've 2 been around a lot of staffs, both in 3 legislative bodies and Southern Bell, but I 4 would put you up against anybody. Cibula was 5 always here. And, folks, if we don't thank him 6 for anything else, we should thank him for the 7 45 amendments that he drafted for CP35. 8 (Laughter.) 9 And, Susan, you're great. I think Susan 10 took ownership to that bill almost as much as I 11 did. She was kind of a mother hen at times, 12 worried about all the strange things that were 13 going on with amendments around it. So I appreciate your support. 14 15 And, Dudley Goodlette, you're always

number one in my mind. You were a great rules leader in the House, and so it's great to serve with you again.

As well as Carlos Lacasa. He was the appropriations chair my first two years there. Fortunately, I roomed with one of his close friends, and so I got everything I wanted at last minute. But you're also a very deep thinker, and yet have a great deal of common sense.

And, Bense, I didn't get to serve with you
as leader of the House. I did vote to
designate you that. I hope you remembered
that, and so it's been a pleasure to serve with
you again.

And all of you, those I didn't mention,
I've got something from every one of you. And
it was a great opportunity to serve with each
of you, and I wish you the best in life.

Nancy Riley, I want to say one other thing. You never had to guess what Nancy was thinking.

13	(Laughter.)
14	She would tell you straight up. And I
15	really enjoyed the frankness of her debate and
16	discussions involving issues that she was very
17	passionate about.
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Riley,
19	since your name got mentioned.
20	MS. RILEY: Yes. Thank you. I also would
21	like to echo, what a great privilege it's been to
22	serve on this Commission. I would like to thank
23	the governor for appointing me to the Commission.
24	I'd like to echo the accolades of the
25	staff. They were terrific. They were patient.
	211
1	And most of the time, they had the answer; and
2	if they didn't have the answer, they got it,
3	and they did call back. I didn't have to make
4	a second call to find out.
5	And to all of you, it has been truly an
6	honor to represent the citizens of the State of
7	Florida. And I know that's what we were doing.
8	We were here representing the citizens, and I
9	felt like each and every one of you, in your

10	comments, on your votes, in your participation,
11	always had the citizens of Florida in your mind
12	and in your heart. So it has truly been an
13	honor.
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Anyone else? Commissioner
15	Rouson.
16	MR. ROUSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm sorry
17	I missed some of the earlier comments, but I too
18	want to echo them to the extent that they
19	complimented staff for everything that you did for
20	us, your courtesy, your professionalism, even some
21	of the personal things like the Aleve for
22	headaches.
23	Chairman Bense, it's been a pleasure
24	serving with you. You've taught me a lot. One
25	of the things you taught me is I got to stop
	212
1	trying to kick in doors and let the process
2	work and be a little more patient about things.
3	To the entire Commission, oh, Lord,
4	you-all have no idea how you prepared me for
5	what I never thought I'd be doing.
6	(Laughter.)

7	But because of some of the struggles that	
8	we have gone through as a Commission, because	
9	of me having to learn some Robert's Rules of	
10	Order, on-the-job training, and some other	
11	things, I feel like I'm already a veteran over	
12	there across the way. And you prepared me for	
13	that, this whole process. And I never even	
14	thought I would run for the Legislature until	
15	maybe two months ago, two and a half months	
16	ago. I didn't realize the value of this	
17	experience in doing that.	
18	But I too have to thank Governor Crist.	
19	Ten years, one month, and eight days ago, when	
20	I was in Hazelton in West Palm Beach, you could	
21	not have written this script for me, that I	
22	would have believed, that I would be in some of	
23	the settings, building some of the	
24	relationships, having the opportunity to impact	
25	government and the State of Florida like this	
		21

L3

process, like Governor Crist and some others have allowed me, and I'm very grateful.

1

2

3

I'm grateful that Senator McKay allowed me

to be a co-sponsor on his bill, and I got to

work with a master, you-all, in terms of

getting something through this process. And

watching Martha Barnett operate, and even

realizing that -- I hope my Republican friends

aren't still angry with me. They keep telling

This process -- that the older you get the more you're in it I guess you realize it's really about doing something good and not so much about party lines.

me I'm becoming more and more of a Democrat.

- But I truly am grateful for everybody on here. Mr. Martinez, having met you some years ago when we served on transition, the attorney general's transition, consummate professional, well-read, he taught me how to be prepared.

 And so I too just want to say thank you to everybody.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner, thank 23 you. Anyone else? Randy Miller.
- 24 MR. RANDY MILLER: It's just been a pleasure.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay.

- 1 MR. MCKAY: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I'd like 2 to --
- 3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Senator McKay.
- 4 MR. MCKAY: -- along with this process,
- 5 everything we've accomplished here without a doubt
- 6 would not have been possible without your
- 7 leadership. You brought -- while I've been out of
- 8 the political process for a while and didn't have
- 9 the pleasure of serving you close up as speaker of
- 10 the House, you certainly, from what I read in the
- 11 papers and my occasional observation, you
- certainly brought great dignity and honor to that
- body.
- 14 And I think you've done the same thing
- 15 here. Without your leadership, I think this
- bumpy road that we have traveled down would not
- 17 have been possible. So thank you very much.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you. Commissioner
- McKee.
- 20 MR. MCKEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't
- 21 typically have much to say, and I'll keep it that
- 22 way here. But I do want to thank the staff for
- all their hard work. And I want to thank the

1	You're just a tremendously distinguished
2	group of people, and I really feel honored to
3	have been able to work with you for the past
4	year and for that I shall always be grateful.
5	I knew nothing about what to expect.
6	Senator Scott gave me some insights into what I
7	could expect, and most of it came true.
8	(Laughter.)
9	Mr. Chairman, thank you for your
10	leadership and for a little bit of mentoring
11	along the way. I learned a great deal.
12	Thanks, everyone.
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you.

I just want to make a few more closing remarks. You know, we -- we passed two milestone issues, the bill we passed today as well as CP2 yesterday. And I -- as you look at who won and who lost, I think somebody won one of the two. I don't think anyone went oh for two on the two big issues that we voted out.

21	So no one walked away empty-handed, so to
22	speak.
23	And I've said before, I would rank this as
24	probably the most distinguished commission or
25	board I've ever served on. Today the debate,
	216
1	for example, between Dan Gelber and Bob
2	Martinez was like watching the finals of
3	Wimbledon. I mean, it was they were in a
4	different league, and I was and I can assure
5	you that.
6	And that just sort of to me exemplified
7	the talent on this Commission and how bright
8	everyone is.
9	And it was just a and Senator Scott,
10	you know, you've been my mentor for a long
11	time, and I've always admired you and your
12	leadership skills. And I think we made a
13	pretty good team. We calmed each other down
14	from time to time, which was good.
15	And I think Richard Corcoran made a
16	comment to me earlier. You know, you should at
17	least be 50 years old before you, you know,

18	because really age does tend to calm you down a
19	little bit. You don't as we say in the
20	Panhandle, you don't just tear your shirt off
21	or take your shirt off when you're getting
22	ready to get into a fight. You just calm down.
23	You just calm down.
24	But and I also want to thank Martha
25	Barnett. I wish she was here. Because I'll
	217
1	I'll close on this. When I was speaker
2	designate, it was about June of 2004, five or
3	six months before I was going to become
4	speaker, and I had dinner with her and some
5	other folks, and but they were getting my
6	ear on an issue. And we were chatting. I
7	didn't know Martha that well.
8	She said, well, you know, what's your
9	what's your big thing going to be? What are
10	the areas of public policy that you really want

to have an influence on when you're speaker?

And I said I just, you know, I want to reduce the size of government. Not a good answer, quite frankly.

```
15
               And she said, that's a pretty shallow
16
          approach. This is someone that was -- I mean,
17
          I was pretty powerful then.
18
               (Laughter.)
               I mean, I'm nobody now, but I was powerful
19
          then. And it -- but I -- it made me reflect.
20
21
          because I really hadn't thought -- I had
          been -- I had worked so hard to become speaker
22
23
          that I'd been -- I was a dog that finally
          caught the tire. You know, I had it in my
24
25
          mouth and didn't have -- now what am I going to
                                                          218
1
          do with it.
2
               And it really made me reflect hard for
 3
          five or six months upon, okay, where are we
          headed. And I spoke to her -- I hadn't seen
4
5
          her in a couple of years, and I spoke to her
6
          last year when we were appointed. And I
7
          reminded her of that. She said, you know, I
          couldn't sleep that whole night. I was afraid
8
9
          I'd blown it with you forever in the Florida
          House.
10
```

11

(Laughter.)

12	But I have and I don't always you	
13	know, and she made just a wonderful point that	
14	Susan Story said this morning. All of us were	
15	here for the right reasons. Some of just think	
16	there's a different road map and a different	
17	road to travel. And I'll respect anyone for	
18	that. I'll never agree with everyone on	
19	everything. But I think that really sort of	
20	sums up in a nutshell this Commission.	
21	Having said that, folks, it's been great.	
22	We'll have a reunion sometime. We'll put that	
23	on our calendar. Any further remarks?	
24	MR. RANDY MILLER: I move we rise.	
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Miller moves	we
		219
1	rise.	
2	(The proceedings concluded at 1:24 PM)	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		

9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	2	220
1		
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
3		
4		

6	STATE OF FLORIDA)
7	COUNTY OF LEON)
8	
9	I, LISA D. FREEZE, Notary Public, certify
10	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
11	report the proceedings herein, and that the transcript
12	is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
15	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 25th
19	day of April, 2008.
20	
21	
22	
23	LISA D. FREEZE, RPR, NOTARY PUBLIC 2894 REMINGTON GREEN LANE
24	TALLAHASSEE, FL 32308 850-878-2221
25	070-010-2221