FLORIDA TAXATION AND BUDGET REFORM COMMISSION

IN RE: Committee Meeting

DATE: May 18, 2007

TIME: Commenced at 10:02 a.m.

Concluded at 12:54 p.m.

LOCATION: Knott Bldg., Room 412

Tallahassee, FL

REPORTED BY: LISA D. FREEZE, RPR

Notary Public

2894 REMINGTON GREEN LANE TALLAHASSEE, FL 32308 (850)878-2221

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

Hoyt "Barney" Barnett Martha W. Barnett Allan Bense R. Mark Bostick Talbot "Sandy" D'Alemberte Mike Haridopolos Mike Hogan Julia Johnson Bruce Kyle Carlos Lacasa Patricia Levesque Alan Levine Gwen Margolis Roberto "Bobby" Martinez Jacintha Mathis John M. McKay Robert "Bob" McKee Lesley J. "Les" Miller, Jr. Randy Miller Jade Thomas Moore Frank Peterman Nancy J. Riley (by telephone) Darryl E. Rouson Ray Sansom James "Jim" A. Scott Susan Story William Gregory "Greg" Turbeville Kenneth "Ken" Wilkinson Brian Yablonski

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Good morning. The meeting
3	will come to order. And, Susan, do you want to
4	call the roll? April, if you will call the roll,
5	please.
6	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Barnett.
7	MR. BARNETT: Here.
8	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Martha Barnett.
9	MS. BARNETT: Here.
10	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Bostick.
11	MR. BOSTICK: Here.
12	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
13	MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Here.
14	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Haridopolos.
15	(No response.)
16	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Hogan.
17	MR. HOGAN: Here.
18	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Johnson.
19	MS. JOHNSON: Here.
20	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Kyle.
21	(No response.)
22	MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Lacasa.
23	(No response.)

- MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Levesque.
- MS. LEVESQUE: Here.

- 1 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Levine.
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Margolis.
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Martinez.
- 6 MR. MARTINEZ: Here.
- 7 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Mathis.
- 8 MS. MATHIS: Here.
- 9 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner McKay.
- MR. MCKAY: Here.
- 11 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner McKee.
- MR. MCKEE: Here.
- 13 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Les Miller.
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
- MR. MILLER: Here.
- 17 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: Here.
- 19 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Peterman.
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Riley.
- MS. RILEY: Here.
- 23 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Rouson.

```
(No response.)
```

MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Sansom.

1 (No response.) 2 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Story. 3 MS. STORY: Here. Commissioner Turbeville. MS. GROOVER: 4 5 MR. TURBEVILLE: Here. 6 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Wilkinson. 7 MR. WILKINSON: Here. 8 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Yablonski. 9 (No response.) 10 MS. GROOVER: Commissioner Scott. 11 MR. SCOTT: Here. 12 MS. GROOVER: Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Here. 14 MS. GROOVER: Quorum present. 15 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. We have present a Couple of notes, folks. Number one, this 16 quorum. meeting is being recorded by the Florida Public 17 Television, so govern yourself accordingly. To 18 speak there's a mute button. Press the mute 19 20 button, and you'll see the light go to active. 21 And that means you are active. So any time you 22 want to speak be sure to press that button,

especially for recording purposes.

23

24	P	and	for	those	call	ing i	n,	be	sure	on	you	r
25	phone	to	push	the	mute	butto	n u	ınti	l yo	ı w	ish	to

1	speak.	That	way	we	don't	get	feedback	from	the
2	telephor	ne.							

And also the coffee is to the room to your right for those of you that need some coffee.

So having said that I thought I would introduce new staff members that we have.

Kathy Torian. Stand up, Kathy. Is our -sorry about that. Is our deputy executive director, slash, public relations person.

She's a -- we had a spot for a public relations person and a deputy director so we've kind of combined that into one.

So Kathy comes to us from the governor's office. She has experience with the Department of Education before that and is a really, really plus addition to the commission, so be sure to say hello to Kathy before you leave.

April Groover is our administrative
assistant, and April will be greeting you when
you call in and doing a lot of the work
necessary to keep you up to speed on what the
commission big picture is doing and she has a
track record, came to us from the Senate, I

- believe. She comes to us from the Senate. The
- 25 House is getting shut out. We got to do

1	something about this. So anyway, welcome,
2	April, and welcome, Kathy.
3	Next, I think you-all have a copy of the
4	record from the April 13th meeting. You've
5	been sent a transcript. Is there a motion to
6	approve the minutes and the transcripts of the
7	previous meeting?
8	MR. MARTINEZ: So move.
9	MS. BARNETT: Second.
L 0	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. We have I can't
11	see who did it. Martinez moves, Martha Barnett
12	seconds. All in favor say aye.
13	(Ayes unanimous.)
L 4	Opposed no. Motion carries.
15	The next item on our agenda is reports of
16	committees. Before we get there, and also to
L 7	give our committee chairs a little bit of time
18	to maybe even formulate your thoughts better
L9	because you're just off your committee
20	meetings, and you probably have a lot of items
21	running through your mind.
22	I thought we would skip to some of the

presentations first. Let's see what some of

- these folks have to say. Unless there's an
- objection we'll skip to item six in the

- 1 expanded agenda.
- 2 And let's open with a presentation on
- 3 demographic makeup of the State of Florida 2007
- 4 by Amy Baker. Amy is executive director of the
- 5 Office of Economic and Demographic Research, an
- 6 arm of the Florida Legislature. Welcome, Amy.
- 7 MS. BAKER: Good morning.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Good to see you again.
- 9 MS. BAKER: Good to see you. Okay. Good
- 10 morning. First, what we're going to talk about
- today is demographics, and demographics is
- 12 actually the set of characteristics that define a
- 13 population.
- 14 So we're going to be talking about that,
- but most of those characteristics are really
- interactive as you move over time, so what --
- 17 the way we tend to look at it is really as
- snapshots at a point in time. So if anything
- 19 changes those snapshots will look different
- when we get there, but this is the best
- information we have so far.
- The first thing we're going to talk very
- briefly about is the economy, and the first

thing to realize is that Florida remains one of the nation's fastest growing states. In fact, if Florida was a country and ranked against the

other 180 countries in the world, we would be

17th. So we're a significant force in and of

ourselves.

The latest forecast series that we have shows that the economy is continuing to expand but nowhere near the level that we were at in the 2004/05 banner year. It's much more modest at this point in time. And that's true for Florida and for the nation as a whole. It's continuing to grow, just not at the same peaks that we were reaching.

And the most important thing about this is to recognize for Florida our population growth continues to be the state's primary engine of economic growth. That's why we are doing so well as we continue to attract more and more people into the state, and that in turn fuels both the employment and income growth.

The population in Florida has hovered around 2 percent to 2.6 percent since the mid 1990s, and over the forecast horizon, which the various points this morning we're going to talk

24	about 2030 and 2050 as being what we're looking
25	ahead to, we're going to be averaging about

- 1 1.1 percent. And that's between 2025 and 2030.
- 2 That is still significant. It's sounds like a

3 very small percentage, but I'll show you in a

4 minute that that is still astounding growth.

In fact, Florida's on track to break the 20 million mark in population around 2010, and probably more importantly in terms of setting us in -- against the rest of the country is the fact that we're expected to surpass New York shortly after 2010. So that would make us the third largest state in the country, so that's a pretty significant milestone.

The April 1st population, you can see that over our history since 1970s where we started here is just continually increasing. You can see at the end as we move closer to 2030 it's going to slow down a little bit but still growing. Our population today, as of the most recent forecast we have, is just over 18 million people in the State of Florida. And by the time we reach 2030, we're going to be around 26.5 million people.

And this is a chart I was referring to and

- 24 how significant even 1 percent or 2 percent is
- to us. Over the last couple of years we have

- been averaging just over 400,000 people,
- 2 initial people a year. To put that into
- 3 context, that's adding a city bigger than the
- 4 size of Miami every single year to the State of
- 5 Florida. So you can imagine the complications
- 6 and complexities that that brings.

7 By the time we get to 2030 it's going to

be adding about 285,000 people a year. That's

9 still big. That's a city the size of Orlando a

10 year. So even though we're slowing it is not

going to be something that's going to be easy

12 to absorb.

13 The growth that we have in Florida is

14 actually made up of two components, and one is

much more important than the other. The first

one is net migration, and what that means is

17 people moving into the state against the number

of people moving out of the state. And we've

19 been relying on that migration for most of our

20 growth for a long time now.

21 The bullet up there is wrong. It says

22 that it's about 13 percent of the growth

between 05/06. It's actually 87 percent of

- 24 Florida's growth between 05/06. The 13 percent
- 25 refers to natural increase. That's how many

births you have in excess of how many deaths
you have.

So for us, we rely on that migration. natural increase is a very small component of what's going on here. And, in fact, by the mid '20s, Florida's growth is forecast to be exclusively from that migration. Because we have an aging population our number of deaths are going to pick up and so the births are just going to be offset by that. So that's extremely important to us.

This is just a snapshot of what net migration looks like right now by county. The red areas are those that -- where the net migration that they've had counts for all of their growth, all of their population growth. And we have 22 counties right now that actually have 100 percent net migration growth. And then the blue is a little less strong all the way down to the yellow and gold.

So this kind of shows you that even though we're one state, our growth across that state is uneven, and so we've got pockets of really

24	strong growth, pockets of places where we're
25	getting people from other states and others

1	that	just	pretty	much	are	stable	and	hanging	in
2	place	÷ •							

The population by gender, there's not a lot to say here. We're pretty much 50/50. We pretty much match the nation as a whole. We have slightly more females than males, and it will probably stay that way through 2030.

The median age of Floridians right now is 39.7 years old. And we have a lot of diversity in that one too. We only have three counties that are below the age of 30, and that's Alachua, Leon, both of those because of their state university and community college populations helping swell it and Hendry County.

But we have four counties with a median age of 50 and older: Charlotte County, which is the oldest county in the state, Citrus, Sarasota, and Highlands. The nation as a whole is about 36 right now, so we continue being older than the nation as a whole.

Population by age group. This is the first way to look at it, which is just looking at different age brackets and how our

- 24 population breaks or spreads among those age
- 25 brackets. The 017 that you have in the first

bar can actually be split into two bars, and
they would break pretty much evenly into it.

So you can see really the strongest area we have is the 40 to 49. I put that red line up there to show you the 60 to 69. In 20 years our population that's 40 to 49 is going to switch to be 60 to 69, and that's where the bulk of our population will be and that's where our growth is coming from.

And you can see that on the next chart.

You can see 60 to 69, 70 to 79 are swelling.

And that's not just our population aging, but
that's also migrants coming in of that age. So
that's pretty significant.

Our population 60 years and older will account for most of our population growth and it'll be about 55.3 percent in other gains.

And our younger population will continue to trail a little bit and not be as big a share.

This is a chart that you typically see in demographics. The most important thing about it is the two lines on the left-hand side show you the census in 2000 versus where we are in

24 2006. And coming up the side is the ages 25 starting with the youngest, going up to the

- 1 oldest ages that we have.
- 2 And you can see we didn't change that much
- 3 between 2000, 2006. The breakouts between age
- 4 groups were relatively similar. They're not
- 5 that different. But look what's going to
- 6 happen between 2006 and 2030. It's almost like
- 7 the waist is being squeezed in from our younger
- 8 and old -- middle-aged populations and being
- 9 pushed out into the older population. So
- that's, again, another way of looking at how
- dominant a force it's going to be.
- The reason why that's important, and we're
- going to talk about it a little bit more in a
- 14 moment, is because our prime working age
- population is going to get smaller relative to
- 16 the retirement population that they're
- 17 supporting.
- They're going to be -- right now it's
- 19 about 41.5 percent of our total population.
- When we get to 2030 it's only going to be
- 34.1 percent of the population. And those are
- your prime taxpayers, so that's why we care
- about the distribution across age groups.

24	On the other hand, our population 65 and
25	older is about a quarter of our population

L	today. It's going to go up excuse me, it's
2	about 17.6 percent today. It's going to go up
3	to be a quarter of our population in 2030, so
1	another, again, another emphasis on that
5	Florida's population is going to be pretty
5	dramatically aging

The school age population, public school enrollment, I put this up here kind of to bring out a point, which this is a mystery to us.

The blue line that you see moving is our demographic forecast. And that is what we know is happening with births and what we know is happening with the aging of the younger population in Florida. And clearly our school population was going to be declining as we move forward.

Still positive growth but declining, but what has happened in actual public school enrollment, even though it follows a general direction, is not explained by the demographics of what's going on in Florida. You can see that we had our first negative growth in a long time in our school age population in the last

- year. It is much stronger effect than what --
- what can be explained by demographics alone.

The lines would be much closer if you could do that.

So we know that there's another economic event going on that's causing that that's not demographics that's interplaying with our demographics. We believe that public school enrollment is a leading indicator of what's going to happen with demographics as we move forward.

So from this what we're looking at is a possibility of dropping some of those projections that I was just giving you when we go into the fall estimating conferences. Our population growth, still positive, may not be as quite strongly positive as what we've been seeing. So this is probably our biggest Florida-based mystery in demographics right now is what's causing this to change.

We know there are a few families with school age children moving into Florida and putting their kids in public schools. We know that there's more families leaving Florida that have kids in public school. We also know from

- home schooling and private school data that
- 25 that doesn't explain it. So that tells us it's

some kind of economic event occurring.

Florida's Hispanic population, the nation as a whole and Florida in particular is going to be much more multicultural as we move forward. And you can see this from this graph We're going to be moving to around 16, 18 percent of our population being Hispanic, all the way up to a quarter of our population being Hispanic as we move to 2030.

Now, that's -- compared to the nation as a whole, we don't have as many folks coming in from different nationalities as a nation as a whole. Ours is pretty much coming from Hispanic population from other countries and also from Puerto Rico. So this will be a significant change in the way Florida looks as we move forward.

Language and foreign born, obviously this is going to be affected by time as well. What you -- what we have to realize as we prepare for the future is that because we're going to have more foreign born people in Florida and more people of strong Hispanic backgrounds,

- it's not going to be as many people that speak
- English as a primary language. We're going to

- see more people that speak English not as well 1 2 or not at all.
- We have today 372,000 people in Florida 3 that do not speak English at all but function 4 5 very well. Areas like Miami are already -- are 6 set up so that they can function in two 7 different languages, so that's pretty 8 significant.

18

19

20

21

22

23

As we move forward, we'll grow to about 10 6.4 million Floridians of age five or older who 11 will speak another language in addition mostly 12 to English at home, and about 1.6 of those 13 folks will speak English not well or not at 14 all, so that's something we have to bear in mind as we move forward. And today almost 15 20 percent of our population is foreign born, 16 so that's pretty strong statistics. 17

> In terms of how we look between urban and rural areas, 34 -- whoops. Okay. 34 of Florida's 67 counties have a population of less than 100,000. They're very small, mostly rural areas. But, on the other hand, the top seven counties make up of 52 percent of our total

- 24 population. So we have -- no surprise to any
- of you guys -- a very diverse state with very

different characteristics, and so that's something to keep in mind.

Population growth, there's two ways of looking at. The first one looks in terms of raw numbers, how many bodies are we bringing into an area. And, again, the red areas are the strong areas of the state where we have the most growth, and the leaders here, no surprise, Miami-Dade, Orange, Hillsborough, Palm Beach, Lee is kind of new to that list. It's been growing very, very quickly as you know from being down there.

The other way of looking at it is in terms of percentage growth, because there's some communities that aren't very big yet; but they are adding to their size very dramatically.

And on that list you get Flagler County, Sumter County, which is The Villages, if you wonder why Sumter County is growing so fast, Osceola County, Walton County, St. Lucie. And, again, the red areas are the ones with the strongest growth in the state.

The -- another demographic factor that we

- talk about a lot is personal income. And when
- 25 we look at this chart, what you notice is

1	around 2004 we had a significant shift in how
2	our personal income is growing. Probably most
3	of you can guess why. The hurricanes, as
4	devastating as they were to Florida, also
5	brought in a surge of insurance payments, and
6	for personal income purposes we count insurance
7	payments.

So that kind of swelled both on a per capita and overall our personal income growth over the last couple years. We do not expect that to continue going forward. We would expect us to go a little bit back to where we were before and return to a more normal level.

Our per capita income, even though we're one of the highest states in total personal income, our per capita income is a little bit on the low side. We don't hit the average. But we're close to it, and obviously in the last couple years we definitely made strides.

Employment breakdown is another thing we look at to describe the demographics of the state is how -- where are we being employed over time. The strongest area of the state is

- trade, transportation, utilities. That's
- 25 mostly retail trade, and that's where we

1 continue to add more and more jobs.

Natural resources and mining is a

declining industry for Florida, and right now

so is manufacturing a declining industry for

Florida. But the rest of the areas outside of

trade and leisure and hospitality tend to kind

of grow about the same levels.

The -- in terms of annual average wage, our highest wages are around 52,000, \$50,000, and they tend to fall in the financial areas and in the -- in the information areas, some of the more highly educated areas, which is no surprise. Our average employment is slightly below the U.S. but close.

And the last kind of segment we're going to talk about here is the effect of the baby boom cohort as we move forward, and that's going to be driving everything. The baby boom cohort, there's some dispute over when it started and when it ended, but the most commonly accepted years are 1946 to 1964. And so anyone born in that period is considered a part of the cohort.

24	The	y sta	artec	d ente	erin	ng the	e woi	ckford	ce		
25	between	1967	and	1985	is	when	the	bulk	of	them	

1 hit. And most importantly for us as we move

2 forward is entering into retirement, 2011

3 through 2029. The -- there will be some that

4 will be hitting as early as 2008, depending on

5 their unique circumstances.

So you can see this is something that's ahead of us that we're going to have to make a number of the changes to accommodate. The baby boom cohort is so phenomenal because it basically spans a hundred years. It started around 1950, and their sphere of influence in being able to drive what's happening in the economy will run all the way through 2050. So for our forecast horizon, this is the story.

Now, what does that mean in global trends?

Well, in -- for the whole earth, for the whole globe, by 2025, which is not that far down the road, the world is going to be much older than we have. And in fact the United States in terms of split between demographics is going to look like Florida looks today. But we're going to be more so. We're moving ahead to be being over a quarter of our population being seniors.

- So we're going to intensify in the split of our
- age groups.

1	The U.S. is going to move to looking like
2	we look today. It's going to be far less
3	Caucasian, more multiracial, more cultures,
4	more people from other countries. And we're
5	going to be far more concentrated in urban
6	areas. The rural areas will tend to be smaller
7	and smaller.

But the aging population, which is our story, is actually caused by three things.

Obviously mostly by the baby boom cohort and their size. There's about 76 million baby boomers in the country.

But it's also being affected by falling fertility rates in the country today. We're not as bad as other countries. Italy and a few -- Japan and a few other countries have much lower birth rates than we do, but ours is still detectably falling.

And almost more important is the rising longevity, your life expectancy, how long people are expected to live. That is increasing every single year, and that's going to be a factor, that people are going to be

24	spending more and more time in retirement than
25	they initially anticipated, whether when they

started out saving or whatever they were doing
for planning, it's going to be longer for most
of them than they thought.

There is a chance but nothing that we bring into planning that the technological breakthroughs in health care may even cause us to jump more than what we're anticipating right now. We've been adding a year at a time as we move forward. You know, with certain kinds of technological breakthroughs in health care you may jump five years, ten years or some other longer period of time.

The national trends, what does that mean to the nation? Most importantly for talking about the economy and how to deal with a sound future, is that the ratio of taxpaying workers to retirees will fall, and this is taxpaying folks so this is people who actually have jobs. If you looked at it in terms of just the age group, whether or not they're employed, today is 5.1. Of those that are employed and actually in the workforce it's 4.1.

We're going to move to 50 years from now

being projected 3.1. So that means threepeople in the workforce supporting every one

person in retirement. That's a significant

shift in how we've viewed the economy.

This labor force contraction is also

projected to depress economic output and boost

inflation. GNP growth for the country is

expected to slow to around 1.5 percent. This

can change, and we'll talk about why in the

next two bullets.

The first thing we know is going to happen, that it's going to be more and more important to attract migration from countries which have a greater youth population than ours, because that will be a relief valve for us. We -- that's not something we've really thought about as a country, but there are other countries that have, will have youth bulges. They're mostly the developing countries. They are not affected by the baby boom cohort like we are. And because they're not, they're going to have a lot more young people.

And so the wave of the future will probably switch to being trying to attract some of them to move to the United States and help

- fill some of that worker shortage.
- The other one that's something we can

1	start	now	and	ıs	ımportant,	extremely	7

2 important, is trying to design incentive

3 programs and tax structures that allow workers

4 to stay in the workforce longer. They're going

5 to be healthier. I mean, we are healthier than

our parents' generation. They were healthier

7 than the generation before them. People can

8 stay in the workforce longer.

And so we don't want to do things to our tax structure or through pension programs, benefit programs that discourage people from staying in the workforce. We want them to stay in.

And then finally, what does all this -everything we've talked about mean to Florida?
Well, Florida is unique, as we almost always
are. We are an aging state, but we're also a
growing state. And those two things are not -are going to clash against each other a little
bit. They're going to give us double the
challenge as we move ahead.

The aging state we talked about a lot that's going to be more intense in Florida than

elsewhere in our country, with over a quarter
of our population being 65 years or older. But

1	in addition to that, we're still a growing
2	state. And so all the challenges we've had
3	over the last number of years are going to
4	continue to stay in place. There's going to be
5	a greater need of services and some of those
6	services are going to be competing.

We're going to be needing to try to provide services to our aging population, but at the same time we still have school age children and college age children that we need to work through the system. So that's going to be a challenge to reconcile between those two kinds of competing demands.

Allocation of increasingly scarce natural resources, especially water, that's going to continue to be on the forefront of the issues we face as we move forward.

And then finally the provision of needed infrastructure. As our population has aged so has our infrastructure, and so a lot of that is reaching the age where it needs to be replaced. But because we're still growing, we're going to need to be adding to it too.

24	So t	he c	hallenge	for	you	guys	as y	you as
25	deliberat	e is	thinking	g abo	out t	those	two	things

- that are going to be facing us at the same time. So aging and growing.
- 3 And that's -- that's my presentation.
- CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Amy. Wow. Any questions? I've got a few, but fire away. Any questions? Commissioner McKay.
- 7 MR. MCKAY: Well, Mr. Chairman, first let me 8 ask if we could have a printout of this 9 distributed to all the members. This is 10 considerable food for thought.
- 11 I have a couple of questions. First of 12 all, Ms. Baker, you continue -- you forecast an 13 increasing population for the State of Florida 14 but a declining increase, so I was curious -we've got a lot of problems right now in 15 Florida with insurance rates and property 16 taxes. And I wondered how those two issues 17 factor into the population growth. 18

20

21

22

23

MS. BAKER: In terms of our forecast, right at this moment it's not in there. The reason our forecast is declining as we move forward is 2 percent growth for a state the size of Florida is phenomenal growth. New York, for example, is just

- 24 barely over zero. They're positive but just
- 25 barely over. So it's more a view that it's not

sustainable, especially as you have a larger and larger base that you're trying to grow from, that

you just can't add that many people every year.

But in terms of your point, in terms of whether property taxes and insurance are making a difference, our belief is the chart that we showed on the school age population and the fact that the demographics isn't explaining the decline that's actually occurring in number of kids enrolled, that that may be affected by property taxes, insurance, hurricanes, and even more importantly the fact that during, you know, it was great for our economy to have a housing boom but what — the effect of it is to drive the median house price up in Florida by double-digit increases.

We used to be a cheap housing state to move to. We were cheaper than the national averages and cheaper than states our size significantly. But now we're not. Florida -- our median household price is above the national average, so we think that's a factor too.

- Now those -- those can all be temporary.
- They can be things that can work themselves out

1	and not a long-term shift, but that's what
2	we're going to be wrestling with over the next
3	years. Insurance solutions come out. As
4	property tax solutions come out, as the housing
5	market stabilizes and we lose double-digit
6	increases in price, which I think the latest
7	data is, I think we were down 4 percent for
8	existing home sales last month. So all of
9	those things can stabilize and not affect our
10	long-term picture.

MR. MCKAY: So you don't believe it's necessary to factor the insurance costs and the property tax growth increase into population growth?

MS. BAKER: So far we haven't felt it was necessary. When we meet in the fall -- what happens in Florida every April is we do a statewide population count, and we base that on a number of different things. And so right now we're just starting -- I say "we" but it's University of Florida and EDR are starting to review the information that was collected April 1st.

24		If we	dete	ect	the	same	kin	d of	patte	cn i	n
25	that	data	that	we	dete	ected	in	the	school	age	

- enrollment, then we will have to factor it in.
- For whatever reason if there's a shift there,
- 3 it has to be factored in.
- 4 MR. MCKAY: I have another question if I may.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Go ahead.
- 6 MR. MCKAY: Is it possible, given the
- 7 population demographic changes in Florida -- this
- 8 obviously is not my father's Florida -- is it
- 9 possible, given those demographic changes for
- someone to forecast the -- well, it's easy to
- 11 forecast the expected demand on services. There
- 12 will be more nursing home, Medicaid -- greater
- 13 nursing home, Medicaid demands than there will be
- 14 K12 schools as you pointed out.
- 15 Is it possible to forecast the most
- 16 reliable taxing sources for the state given the
- 17 demographic changes?
- 18 MS. BAKER: We could do some work in that
- 19 area. I mean, and I think you have a presentation
- this morning. I mean, what you're looking for is
- a stable source or a stable set of sources to move
- 22 forward. Sometimes the fastest growing sources
- are not the most stable sources, so that's kind of

- a competing demand, but we can do some work in
- 25 that area.

1	MR. MCKAY: I would appreciate, Mr. Chairman,
2	if we could forecast that one thing Ms. Baker said
3	was that as we try and keep the elderly in the
4	workforce, we don't want to obviously have an
5	income tax because that would discourage them from
6	getting back into the workforce; but the
7	demographic changes are really going to affect the
8	reliability of taxing sources whatever they might
9	be. I need somebody a lot smarter than me to
10	figure that out.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Same here, agreed.

Commissioner D'Alemberte.

MR. D'ALEMBERTE: I would like to go back to page 12, if I can, school age population. I am really surprised at the fluctuation in these enrollment and population tallies. Can you explain why we have this very sharp dip in the mid '60s, apparently again in the mid '70s, and now the current very drastic drop in terms of public school enrollment? Because those, as Senator McKay was pointing out, this just drives an awful lot of the state budget.

MS. BAKER: Yeah. Today it's a very

significant part. A lot of the fluctuations we've seen in the past -- and it may turn out that the

1	one we're in right now will fit the same bill; we
2	just don't know yet have been driven by
3	situational events, either political things that
4	were going on at the time or they were driven by
5	introduction to new programs. Like when we do
6	detail data when the corporate scholarship program
7	came in, we could tell a movement between public
8	school enrollment and what was happening in
9	private school enrollment.

So some of it is situational, and it's just temporary shifts. And we think most of the blips that we've seen in the past have been that. I mean, because otherwise they tend to follow direction really well.

The one we've got now is unusual in that we're dropping so much below the demographics, because in the past the demographics almost was sort of a floor. There could be other events going on that could influence it, but the demographics were still the floor of -- or peg, if you will, as to what was happening. You weren't going to deviate that much from it.

But at this one we are, the demogra --

we -- shifting downward from the demographic, and that's not what we anticipated seeing at

- 1 this point in time.
- There's not any program in place. There's
- 3 not, you know, any kind of event occurring that
- 4 would explain that by itself. And so that's
- 5 why we -- as we move forward we're thinking
- 6 economics. And we're thinking about is this
- 7 something that's going to correct itself.
- 8 Early indications are that enrollment this
- 9 year that we had projected right before session
- is probably a little lower even than what we
- 11 cut it to, so it's not turning around yet.
- 12 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: And how about looking into
- 13 the future with the public school enrollment rate
- quite a great deal down? Is there -- is it
- anticipation that we'll stay down in negative
- 16 growth?
- 17 MS. BAKER: The folks in my office that --
- they're experts on education forecasting do not
- 19 believe that's the case. They believe very
- strongly that ultimately demographics is going to
- 21 take hold of the situation and pull it back in
- line again. And if it's an economic-based
- phenomena, that's probably true. Economic events

- 24 are cyclical. They move up and they move down.
- Things in the free market correct themselves and

- they go away, they're transitory.
- So our long-term belief is that we're
- going to come back and follow that demographic
- 4 line more closely.
- 5 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: And the separation between
- 6 the public school enrollment line and the school
- 7 age population line strikes me as extraordinary.
- 8 MS. BAKER: Yeah, us too, us too.
- 9 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: I mean, that's -- I can't
- 10 find another place where that happens in this
- 11 history.
- 12 MS. BAKER: No, it's not happened like this.
- 13 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: So what's causing that?
- 14 MS. BAKER: It's speculation at this point
- because we don't have hard data to prove it, but
- we know for example that the moving companies keep
- 17 track of how many people they move into a state
- and how many people they move out of a state. And
- we know they've not been moving as many people
- into Florida as they were.
- 21 And we know from the school data because
- 22 we have to report where the child was the prior
- year, and we can look over time to see what's

24	happening.	We know	not as man	ny people mo	ved
25	into Florida	a with so	chool-age	children as	have

- in the past, and we thought we would be at --
- and we know more have moved out. So those --
- 3 so both of those drag down what is happening
- 4 here.
- 5 So we -- and we know it is not home
- 6 schooling, and we know it's not private
- 7 schools. They're not seeing a surge that would
- 8 explain the difference here.
- 9 So we're kind of taking things off the
- 10 table as we move along, and that leaves us more
- and more with the economics of it, that it is
- related to the housing booms and the cost of
- 13 housing. And to the extent that they're fed
- by -- or were fed by insurance and property
- 15 taxes, that that's -- would be exacerbating it
- 16 a little bit. Can we prove that at this point
- in time? We cannot, but as we move forward we
- 18 should be able to.
- 19 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: As we continue to figure
- that out, Mr. Chair, I hope we can have a
- 21 follow-up presentation.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Johnson.
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Ms. Baker, excellent

- 24 presentation. I appreciate the opportunity for
- you to present today.

1	couple questions. You talked about
2	Florida's Hispanic population and the growth
3	that we're expecting there. I'd like for you
4	to discuss a little bit as to the significance
5	of that in terms of revenues and needs. Let me
6	break that down a little bit more. As I look
7	at that I'm assuming we stated it for a reason.
8	Are we looking at it from a tax base
9	perspective? Are they do you see this class
10	as home buyers, not home buyers, skilled
11	workers, not skilled workers, educated? How do
12	they impact the revenues and how do they impact
13	the needs?
14	And then I won't make this too many
15	questions in one. But as you're thinking
16	through that answer, what is happening with
17	other minority populations? And how do they
18	play into that same scenario?
19	MS. BAKER: The Hispanic population is
20	within itself, is really diverse. And it depends
21	largely on what country they're coming from,
22	whether they're bringing resources into the state
23	and a higher level of education or a lower

- 24 education and few resources.
- 25 And so we, you know, used to be that a lot

1	of	our	Hispanic	growth	was	just	coming	from

Cuba. Now it's from many countries, and so

3 you're getting a wide -- a wide array of

4 resources and skills and folks coming into the

5 country. And so that's -- it's not easy to say

6 it's all good or all bad because it's not.

It's going to definitely be a mixture.

And in terms of Hispanic speaking folks,

Puerto Ricans are basically moving into the

Orlando area, central Florida. And so it's not

just Miami and south Florida. It's all over

the state now that you're seeing more and more

migration from other countries, in our case

Hispanic, you know, countries that are

predominantly Hispanic.

What it does do for us is help us. That's pretty clear because the fertility rate for Hispanic countries is larger than the United States right now. And so these are folks that are going to be younger coming in with children or the ability to have children. And that's going to balance out some of the aging state problem, and it's going to help us have a

- larger workforce as we move forward.
- MS. JOHNSON: I was relating that to when you

- looked -- one of your charts talked about the
- labor force contraction, so that went to my
- question as to this population, which is growing
- and going this way (indicating). My assumption
- was that they're probably generally younger, and
- they're probably going to help with the workforce,
- 7 that they may not be skilled. So on the education
- 8 side we may need to spend more for services there.
- 9 And how are we going about doing those things, so
- 10 I'm --
- 11 MS. BAKER: You're exactly right. You know,
- that is exactly the dynamic that we would be
- facing. It's -- overall it's good for the state,
- 14 and it will be beneficial as we move forward. But
- as you have more folks that don't speak English at
- home or it's -- it's a second language. And they
- don't speak it well at home then that's more of a
- challenge for us, especially on the education
- side, making sure that we have enough resources
- there that they can, you know, learn skills, learn
- 21 English, feel comfortable, and move forward from
- there.
- MS. JOHNSON: And one last piece on the other

- 24 minority populations. You stated 25 percent will
- 25 be Hispanic. If you were to look at a grouping

1	including African-Americans what will the
2	percentage of minorities be?
3	MS. BAKER: African-Americans aren't going to
4	change that much. They're pretty much staying in
5	place, and I think the Hispanics it's a little
6	off kilter because Hispanic isn't a race. But we
7	try to put it in those terms just to look at
8	demographic.
9	But I believe the percentage of Hispanics
10	in Florida surpassed the percentage of
11	African-Americans in Florida two years ago.
12	And it's pretty much staying steady. That
13	percentage isn't changing. Over time it's
14	going to start to drop a little bit as the
15	other percentage grows up. But in terms of
16	numbers, it's about the same.
1 7	Asians Florida has very small compared

Asians -- Florida has very small compared to other places -- a very small Asian population. And those are probably the ones we would think about the most.

21 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.

18

19

- 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Scott.
- MR. SCOTT: It's a similar question as to

24	whether we have that or who has it, and I guess I
25	would say we are going to need data on the

1	economic status, the spending, consumption, what
2	we of the new of the population, for
3	example, that is getting older, are they going to
4	spend more or less per and is it going to be,
5	you know, what are they going to be spending on?
6	I guess that type of economic data.
7	Because one of our charges, for example,
8	of the tax committee was to look at 20 years'
9	worth since this group doesn't meet for 20
10	years. So I think that's going to be, you
11	know, if we're changing what does that mean in
12	economics similar to the version of what Julia
13	said.
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Martinez.
15	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	Ms. Baker, thank you for your excellent
17	presentation. I found it to be very interesting.
18	In one of your slides you indicate that
19	the global trend is towards an increased
20	movement towards the major urban areas. But on
21	page 17 of your slide, it looks like the growth
22	has been primarily away from the urban areas.

Do you have with you, and if not can you

24	provide	us	one,	a	chart	of	what		or	slide
25	numbers	of	what	Fl	orida	wil	1 100	k :	like	within

1	the next 20 years in terms of the population
2	allocation throughout the state? Have you done
3	those predictions?
4	MS. BAKER: We have some of those, and we can
5	get those to you. We can give them to you to
6	share.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: If you would, please.
8	Commissioner Levine.
9	MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	I want to make to Ms. Baker, it's good
11	to see you again. I want to make sure I
12	understand the counts on the slide on page 12
13	where you talk about the enrollment of the
14	school population. The blue line count is
15	taken around April and then the school numbers
16	are taken October and February, correct?
17	MS. BAKER: Right.
18	MR. LEVINE: So what could very well be
19	happening here is the count was taken back in
20	April and then you're seeing that that migration
21	of young families out, which is exactly what I'm
22	experiencing out there in south Florida where, you

know, I have nurses, techs, even physicians who

are leaving since that last number, the blue line number was counted, which could explain the gap.

1	And, you know, I guess to the point
2	something that would helpful for me to see
3	is and I hate to say this. The reason I
4	haven't lost more nurses is because they can't
5	sell their house. So in some respects I'm
6	somewhat thankful that they can't because
7	otherwise we would really have a problem.

But my concern is, and it would be helpful to have by age cohort the net migration data, because what I worry about, like, for example, nursing home costs this year are \$2.8 billion and in ten years projected to be six and a half billion. And all of the costs that go along with caring for our vulnerable elders, I think we can project.

The concern I have is the workforce -- the middle income earners might be decreasing and the burden is going to be greater on them. And what I need to know is: I would like to be able to target the populations where there are the net migrations in and out, which I think would give us a good baseline of data.

MS. BAKER: We can give you some historical

- information, and we can give you what we have
- 25 through 2006, the last time we did the

1	April count. The problem is for the April count
2	we just did in 2007, that data is actually not
3	compiled and completed until the early fall. But
4	we can give you everything we have so far.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Members, I'm going to ask
6	Susan Skelton to our director to get with
7	Amy Baker. I'm sure there's a wealth of
8	information out there, and perhaps Ms. Skelton
9	could go through, evaluate what she thinks might
10	be relevant for us over the next 12 months and
11	distribute it to all of us. I'm sure you have all
12	kinds of data that could be helpful to us.
13	Commissioner Barnett.
14	MR. BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	Three-part question. On page 19 shows government
16	percentage of 13.6. I'd like to know if that's
17	typical of across the country and other states.
18	Also, average annual wage, does that
19	include benefits. And since we're on a 20-year
20	horizon with our work, what this same chart
21	would look like 20 years ago.
22	MS. BAKER: Twenty years in the past?

MR. BARNETT: Uh-huh.

MS. BAKER: Okay.

MR. BARNETT: And then maybe a look forward

- to what it's going to look like 20 years from now.
- 2 Thank you.
- MS. BAKER: The government growth here is

 mostly local government in Florida, and I can look

 and see what -- how that compares to other -
 well, I know the United States as a whole. But

 let me look at some individual states and see how
- 8 that ranks up.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: What is the U.S. as a whole?
- MS. BAKER: We're actually a little bit low
- for the U.S. as a whole, but I think there's -- my
- 12 guess is there's going to be a lot of diversity
- among states.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville.
- 15 MR. TURBEVILLE: Thanks for your
- 16 presentation.
- I just had a question relating to the portability issue discussed and property tax
- debate. The people who move within the State
- of Florida, what's the percentage of people who
- stay within their county and the percentage of
- 22 people who move to another county and just as
- it relates to the portability issue?

24		MS.	BAKER:	Well,	we've	e don	ne sc	me wo	ork	on
25	that	last	summer,	and	I can	get	you	what	we	have

1	on that. But I hesitate to give you numbers toda
2	because I'm not totally sure I remember them.
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: More questions?
4	Commissioner Hogan.
5	MR. HOGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Maybe
6	this works.
7	Like everyone else, thank you very much
8	for the presentation. I love numbers,
9	especially when they have charts that I can
10	see.
11	Question on the migration of folks into
12	Florida. Do you take into your numbers that
13	you presented illegal immigrants as well as
14	legal immigrants, or is there any way to count
15	those?
16	MS. BAKER: The most work that's done on
17	that we do not take a count of that when we're
18	doing our population projections. But the most
19	work that's done on that is on the census.
20	So every ten years so 20 and then the
21	next one would be 2010 is where we really make
22	an effort to try to get a good count. There is

some information from 2000, and I know we're

- 24 already working with the census bureau to try
- to increase -- make it more accurate in that

1	regard for the next census, so we can get you
2	some stuff on that.
3	MR. HOGAN: You do have some numbers in an
4	estimate?
5	MS. BAKER: Uh-huh.
6	MR. HOGAN: Okay. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Levesque.
8	MS. LEVESQUE: Going back to page 19 of the
9	chart, when you provide more information to Susan
10	can you provide a breakdown on the government's
11	percentage, average employment average annual
12	wage between state and local?
13	MS. BAKER: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any further questions? I
15	have to take what Commissioner McKay said very
16	and Commission Scott with respect to property tax
17	and insurance, especially in the last year or so.
18	I've always heard the political speeches
19	that say, you know, it's not that bad. A
20	thousand new people a day are coming to
21	Florida; life is great here. I'm not so sure
22	that's correct today. And I think I think

we need as much data on that as a commission.

24	What	also	alarms	me	is	the m	oving	
25	companies	You	ı know,	we'	ve	alway	s had,	you

1	know, a positive number along those lines. Now
2	we're having a negative number. I think that
3	coincides with Commissioner D'Alemberte's
4	concerns about school enrollment. Are these
5	folks in fact, are families unable to afford
6	to live in Florida? Are they moving out of the
7	state and taking their children with them?
8	I think that property tax and insurance is
9	a significant part of that, as is I'm sure the
10	fact that we've had a lot of hurricanes. I
11	think that's scared people off. But I would
12	sure like to see a lot more research on that,
13	and we'll be back in touch with you along those
14	lines.
15	We may have to hire someone ourselves as a
16	commission to get us more data on that, but I
17	think it's important that we look at that as we
18	move forward in this process.
19	Okay. Thank you, Amy, you did a great
20	job, as always.
21	Folks, we have two hours to go. I do want

to spend about an hour on -- at least an hour,

maybe 45 minutes on our own work, the future of

22

- committees, where we're heading from there.
- Debate on some of these other issues. So let's

1	try to keep everyone to about 20 minutes, the
2	next two groups.

And Dominic Calabro from TaxWatch, you're recognized. Did I lose Dominic? You snooze you lose, Dominic. I see Mike Hansen is there.

Mike, how about Mike and Alan Johansen and so on?

Mike Hansen is the budget director for the Florida House of Representatives and Alan Johansen is staff director for the Florida Senate committee on finance and tax.

MR. HANSEN: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Good morning, Mike.

MR. HANSEN: I'm going to talk about the recently enacted budget, give you a little bit of an overview of what passed. And then I'm going to talk a little bit about some of the challenges that we're facing in budgeting, some of the recent changes to the constitution and revenue projections, expenditure projections, and try to give you a sort of sense of where we're heading and what the issues are for the budget.

So if we could roll up the first slide.

- The budget that just passed, and this is prior
- to vetoes, is just under \$72 billion, as you

- 1 can see.
- 2 It's hard to read those numbers. But
- about 41 percent of that, or \$29 billion, is
- 4 general revenue. 29 percent or 21 billion is
- 5 state trust funds. And just under 20 billion
- or 27 percent is federal trust funds. So
- 7 that's important to keep in mind as you're
- 8 considering what's in the budget.
- 9 If you look in terms of where that money
- is being spent, it's almost a dead split or a
- dead even split between education. It's about
- 12 34 percent of the budget, and health and human
- 13 services is about 34 percent of the budget.
- 14 The education portion though is about half of
- the general revenue fund. Because of the
- 16 federal match in health and human services it's
- 17 a lot smaller portion.
- 18 So the major expenditure of discretionary
- 19 money goes into education funding and
- 20 primarily -- the biggest user of that is K12
- 21 funding.
- 22 And then the third biggest user of general
- 23 revenue is public safety, primarily the

- Department of Corrections. The third biggest
- user of overall state fund is the

1	transportation system. There's a lot of
2	dedicated funding that goes into
3	transportation, not much general revenue.
4	Everyone always asks the question, well,
5	how much did it grow from last year? And
6	that's not an easy question to answer
7	sometimes. But I'll do what I normally do, and
8	most people just go look in the back of the
9	budget. And they see the total number, and
10	they compare it from one year to the next.
11	So the first slide we did that, you can
12	see that for 06/07 the budget was 71.3 billion.
13	It grew to 71.9 billion, or about, just
14	slightly less than a one percent rate of
15	growth, 627 million in additional spending.
16	However, as you know the Legislature
17	spends a lot of money outside of the General
18	Appropriations Act, so if you go and you add up
19	all of these substantive appropriations there
20	were a lot in 06/07 primarily related to
21	hurricanes and so-called back to the bill,
22	thing that aren't included in the totals in the
23	bill.

24	Th	e re	eal o	comparison	is	that	in	06/07	the
25	budget	was	\$74	billion,	it's	72.3	CI	ırrent	year,

1	so we actually, the budget actually shrunk by
2	2.3 percent or about \$1.7 billion, which I
3	think is a good thing. I think that, given
4	what we're looking at, it was a good trend that
5	the Legislature decided not to spend as much

6 money as they have in the past.

We talked about general revenue. The major source of general revenue or 75 percent of general revenue comes from sales tax, about \$20 billion a year. The second biggest source, corporate income tax, that's about 10 percent, 2.7 billion. And then doc stamps is third, at about 800 million. And it's important to keep that in mind because we're going to talk about what's happening to those numbers in a minute.

Two things that I wanted to mention in budgeting that I'm sure you're all familiar with, but two recent changes were made to the constitution that affect how we budget in Florida. The first change requires us to do a three-year plan, and this was essentially President Lee's proposal.

He worked with Governor Bush, and they

- came up with an idea to do this. So now we
- 25 have to do the three-year planning, and that

has really made a big difference in the way we budget in Florida.

And the second issue is a requirement that
no more than 3 percent of the general revenue
spent be nonrecurring, or 3 percent of
nonrecurring money be spent on recurring
purposes. And that has made a big difference.

This -- I like this slide because it shows you prior to 05/06, the Legislature, you could pretty much count on them spending the entire amount of general revenue available. You can see they spent 99 or 100 percent of the money available. Actually that year they spent 100 percent.

They actually overspent the budget, and they actually asked Governor Bush to veto back to a level that would get -- so they were in balance. And if you know Governor Bush he was more than willing to help them accomplish that goal.

21 (Laughter.)

22 If you go back -- but I'll give Speaker 23 Bense credit. His two years he actually

24	decreased	spending	and pr	esently	as well, so
25	they went	down to	95 and	then 94	percent of

1	available	general revenue,	because last year
2	they were	at 94 percent of	available general
3	revenue.		

And I think that's a direct result of this three-year planning. And it has really made it easier to balance, to try to smooth out, and I'll show you some graphs in a minute that will tell you why you need to smooth out the budgeting process. But it really has made a difference in the budgeting process.

This shows you the amount of nonrecurring money spent for recurring purposes. And you can see for 02/03 and 03/04 it was over a billion dollars nonrecurring money spent for recurring purposes. And I know that -- I remember President Lee when he made his little speech said, this is the last year I'm going to vote for a budget that's held together with Band Aids and started saying we need to do something about that.

And we worked with the president and with the governor and looked back over a period of time and found that we normally had about \$700

24	million in nonrecurring money available when we
25	did the budget, and that translated into about

L	3 percent of total GR. And so the agreement
2	was reached that that would be the number they
3	would put in the constitution, and they did and
1	that did pass.

And you can see for the last two years though we've actually had a surplus of recurring general revenue. Over 200 million last year and in the current budget just passed about 33 million.

This slide shows you why we had some difficulties in budgeting. Because budgeting is really a process of forecasting.

Forecasting, what you do is you forecast how much money you think you're going to collect; and you forecast what you think things are going to cost. And hopefully you're right enough that you don't run into problems.

The way I like to do is I like to underestimate revenues and overestimate expenditures because at the end of the day if you have a little money left over you're fine. If you're short of money, you have a problem in Florida because we have a constitutional

- requirement that we balance the budget, and
- 25 then you have to -- what you have to do is go

1	in and do some cutting. And no one wants to
2	have to do that, although that has been done in
3	the past.

You can see that it seems to run in almost four-year cycles where for four years you overestimate or underestimate and then there's a correction. And you can see in the last couple of years how big, how much they underestimated the budget.

We collected a lot more money than we thought we were going to get. And one of those years it was over \$3 billion more than we thought we were going to get.

And that's the reason that, you know, we had suggested that you sort of spend that money gradually over a period of time because we felt like there's going to be a reduction. If you can see in 2000, 2001 we actually collected 660 million less than we thought we were going to.

So what's happening now is the last forecast -- we do forecasts twice a year. We do them in time for the governor's budget and

- then we do them in time for the legislature's
- budget. And the last forecast prior to these

1	two	forecast	s sl	nowed	a ·	total	pr	rojected
2	col	Lections	for	07/08	0:	f \$28.	. 6	billion.

Then we had a forecast in November and in that forecast they took \$466 million out of that estimate. And that's the forecast the governor used for preparing his budget.

Then they had another forecast in March that the Legislature used. They took another \$652 million out of the budget, and you can see where they took the money. The big places they took it was sales tax. In the November estimate they took about 180 million out of sales tax, but they took 466 million out of doc stamps and, which is a big chunk of doc stamps, and we all knew the housing market was going down.

Then in March they took -- they took over half a billion out of sales tax. And they took another hundred million out of doc stamps.

They added -- interesting in those two years, they added those two forecasts; and so they added about \$230 million to corporate income tax.

24	Since	tha	t last		and	the	March	
25	conference	is v	what w	e us	sed t	o p	repare	the

T	budget I just described. Since that last
2	conference though we've collected two months'
3	worth of data of actual receipts, so we know,
4	you know, we made the projection. Now we've
5	collected the revenues, and the question is:
6	Did they take off enough money? Because those
7	last two estimates reduced collections by about
8	1.1 estimated collections by about
9	\$1.1 billion. Was that enough?
10	Well, looks like it was not enough because
11	for the months of March and April we're down
12	\$182 million below what we thought we were
13	going to collect. 70 million of that is sales
14	tax. We're lower sales tax than we thought.
15	100 almost 140 million is corporate income
16	tax, and you could see they actually increased.
17	They thought they were going to get more, and
18	it looks like we're going to get less corporate
19	income tax.
20	We don't know what that what's going on
21	with corporate, whether that's going to be a
22	trend or not. But if this number is correct,

if we're down \$182 million in two months,

- that's 90 million a month. Multiply that times
- 25 12 months, and you can see that it could be a

- 1 difficult year down the road.
- Which is -- which is a good reason for
- 3 having not spent all the money that you thought
- 4 you were going to collect. Instead of spending
- 5 100 percent, spending 94 percent, I think,
- 6 turns out to be good policy.
- 7 This chart tries to show you how
- 8 unpredictable it is to figure how much growth
- 9 in general revenue you're going to have each
- 10 year, you can see. This is looking back. They
- 11 didn't know at the time they were going to have
- this much growth. As you saw, they missed the
- 13 forecast in recent years. Well, you can see it
- was from a high of 14 percent in 04/05. For
- next year, for 06/07, we're actually projecting
- 16 a 1 percent reduction.
- 17 Then into the future it moves back up to
- 18 what we think is somewhat normal. We don't
- 19 know that that's going to happen. Normal
- 20 growth in general revenue is about five to
- 21 6 percent a year. And it would be nice if we
- 22 had that much every year; but when we get these
- 23 kinds of peaks and troughs, it makes it very

- difficult to figure out what you ought to
- 25 really spend.

1	The next slide shows you everyone
2	always asks me the question when we start
3	preparing the budget: Well, how much new
4	general revenue do we have to spend next year?
5	And this chart shows you how difficult it is to
6	try to figure how difficult it is to answer
7	that question, because see some years you have
8	\$3 billion, almost \$3 billion in the general
9	revenue.
10	For next year, 92 million. And that
11	92 million is based on that March forecast that
12	we just discussed, which is already
13	\$180 million we're already down \$180 million
14	off that forecast. So that number may actually
15	be negative when this is all said and done.
16	Again, our forecast shows that we go back
17	to a collection of about 1.6 billion in new
18	recurring general revenue from year to year.
19	Again, we don't know if that's going to happen.

This is the slide everybody wants to know, how much money do we have in the working capital fund, or in reserves. And we have good news there. We have about \$6.3 billion in

- reserves. 1.7 billion is what we used to call
- a working capital fund. That's money that was

1	not spent, that 94 percent. That 6 percent of
2	GR, that's equivalent to about \$1.7 billion
3	that was not spent in this last session.

You also have the budget stabilization

fund. That's about 1.4 billion. That Lawton

Chiles Endowment, that's about 2.3 billion.

And reserves and trust fund is a little over a

billion dollars. So we do have reserves, and I

think that's good news. You can see how those

reserves have built up over the last eight

years or so.

But when you talk about demands on the budget and how you put the budget together, most of the decisions that the Legislature makes are -- most of the budgeting decisions are already made by the time the Legislature sits down. As we said, you have about, normally about a billion six in new general revenue to spend every year, something like that.

I want to just talk about some of the things that you have to fund with that billion six. And the first thing you have to fund is

24	Medicaid	costs.	And Medicaid	is an	entitlement
25	program,	and esse	entially you o	don't h	nave you

have very little discretion. You have to pick
up the cost of the Medicaid program.

And these -- what I have in this chart is -- it just shows from year to year those numbers are the new general revenue that's required to fund this program. There's a lot of -- Medicaid program is very complicated with state and federal funds, but basically bottom line I always ask is how much new GR do we need to put in this program to keep going and not have to make a cut to it next year.

And those numbers that you see there are the numbers that you have to deal with. So in 07/08, \$686 million. 08/09, \$640 million. So you can see that you got to plow -- and a lot of these changes are into the future because we've got some adverse federal -- our match rate changed to the negative for us. So it's going to cost us somewhere between 5 and \$700 million a year in new general revenue just to fund the existing Medicaid program.

So if you have 1.6 billion in new revenue just subtract 600 million from that, and now

24	you	got	a	billion	dollars	in	new	revenue.	

25 The next thing	you have	to:	fund	is
-------------------	----------	-----	------	----

1	Corrections, and again we've had an uptake or a
2	surge in the need to build new prisons. It's
3	very hard to predict how many new prisons you
4	need to build because it takes three years from
5	the time you start a prison until you have it
6	operational.

And so you have to estimate how many new prisoners are going to come in and how many new beds you will need. And clearly it's been the policy of the state that we're going to have adequate correctional facilities so that the prisoners do not have to be released. So it looks like -- somewhere around -- I always count about 200 million in new general revenue for the Department of Corrections.

The next big issue is class size,
essentially K12 funding. This chart shows you
operational costs and fixed capital costs
projected until class size is fully
implemented.

The next slide, if you look at those numbers on the left there where you see the increase in operating costs, you see the, in

- 07/08, 599.9 million in new general revenue for
- class size operating. In 08/09, 651 million.

In 09/10, 699 million. So add in another 700 million for class size.

So you got 600 on Medicaid, 700 on class size, 200 on Corrections, and those are just three very small areas of the budget. You've used almost the entirety of that \$1.6 billion in new general revenue you have available, and you haven't touched any of the other things that you have to do in the budget, like universities or community college funding or environmental funding or the other health and human services programs.

So that's why budgeting is -- I guess it gets to be less and less fun every year.

There's less and less discretion the Legislature has, and it becomes more of an exercise of figuring out, well, what are we going to cut as opposed to what new things can we fund.

Amy talked about class size, student enrollment. And this is another frustration. It's really hard to know what the future is going to bring. These little orange bars show

- you how many new students we had over the
- 25 previous year.

1	If you go to the next slide, I've actually
2	put this on a slide to show you the net
3	increase or decrease in number of students.
4	And you can see it averages about 50,000 new
5	students a year. In 99/2000 it looks like it
6	dropped 10,000; but that's not that's a
7	change in counting, not a real change, because
8	they changed the way they counted summer school
9	that year. So there was actually, I think, a
10	40,000 increase in the number of students.
11	But 06/07, the current year, we think is
12	the first time that student enrollment will
13	actually drop in the state of Florida from one
14	year to the next by almost 4,000. Is and
15	you talked about this: Is that trend going to
16	continue or are we going to go back to what's
17	normal of about 50,000 per year student
18	increase? Well, we don't know. And it makes
19	it very difficult to try to budget when you
20	don't know what the future holds.
21	Another issue that's a big unknown in
22	Florida is funding for disasters. And during
23	2004 and 2005 I was Governor Bush's budget

- director, and I got some first-hand experience
- in trying to figure out how to finance

1	hurricane costs in Florida. So far we have
2	spent to date we have spent \$466 million of
3	state funds to pay for those two years. That
4	money will not come back to us. That's money
5	that we spent that's gone.

Until it's all said and done, we think
that for the two years those storms are going
to cost us approximately \$800 million that the
State of Florida will have to pay out. So
that's another reason why it's good to have
reserves.

Another issue that you need to consider in budgeting is the potential other exposure that the state may have, and I think you're all aware of the changes that were just made during the special session to windstorm insurance in Florida and increased exposure to the CAT fund.

Right now we project a total -- the worst case scenario lost in the CAT fund would be about \$26 billion. If that were to occur, that's how much you would have to bond with the cash you have available. A worst case scenario, maximum exposure to the CAT fund

- would require bonding to pay off \$26 billion in
- 25 debt.

Now, the current plan is to do that through increasing assessments on insurance policies in Florida. And in order to pay that off over 30 years, that would require about a \$50 per thousand dollars of insurance premium increase for everyone. So if you had a \$4,000 insurance premium, you would pay a little under \$200 a year for 30 years to pay that off.

But as you know, that's not always been the case, and last year the decision was made with a similar situation for Citizens for the state to pay that off. And a big chunk of the Citizens loss was paid by the state.

If the state decided to pick up that cost in the CAT fund, that \$26 billion, and tried to bond it over ten years, because it probably is not a wise thing to do to make that into a 30-year debt. If you try to pay it off over ten years, the debt service on that would be about three and a half billion dollars a year, which gets you pretty close to -- in order to pay for that I can't imagine you could cut that much out of your recurring expenses in the

- 24 budget.
- The only way you could pay for it would be

1	a tax	increase,	and tha	at's a	little	bit	less
2	than a	a penny wo	uld pay	for th	nat.		

Now, I had slides on Citizens as well. It's a little more complicated I think to go through that. You have a similar scenario with Citizens, not in the amount of money, it would be less money for Citizens, but you would also -- if you decided to pay off the loss to Citizens, you also would face a pretty significant state exposure.

When I started I said that we showed you the budget prior to veto, so I wanted to show you the history of vetoes. This is dollars, and the colors are the different governors.

You can see how it ranges over time.

The next slide, anywhere from almost nothing up to the high was 446 million.

The next slide shows this as a percent of total budget, and you can see that it generally ranges about a half to 6/10 of a percent of the budget was vetoed. So that's one thing we have to consider as we plan for the future, how much money we're going to have to have.

- That's my presentation, sir.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mike, you had to gloat about

1	the veto thing, didn't you?
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. HANSEN: No gloating.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Questions? Commissioner
5	Barnett.
6	MS. BARNETT: The projections you did, you
7	heard the discussion that Ms. Baker had about the
8	school enrollment and the projection that you
9	showed the decline and then you almost immediately
10	started a pretty dramatic increase in enrollment.
11	It seems unusual to me if you've got a
12	two-year decline that you would have such a
13	dramatic jump up in enrollment over the next
14	ten years back up to 61,000 students, and I
15	just wondered the basis for that.
16	MR. HANSEN: Well, this is the good part
17	because I can tell you I'm not a forecaster. I'm
18	a victim of the forecast. We rely we have
19	estimating conferences. We rely on those
20	estimating conferences. I have no choice but to
21	do essentially what they tell me. And I can be
22	critical of their decisions, but I can't do a

better job than they can. I think they do a very

- good job given what we know.
- One thing that you know in forecasts,

those forecasters and forecasts, you know it's
going to be wrong. The only question is how
much is it going to be wrong. And what you
point out is exactly the dilemma we face.

And that's what makes budgeting so difficult, because if you're a very sort of conservative budgeter, and I try to be a very conservative budgeter, people get angry with you because you didn't spend all the money you had.

But if you're not conservative enough and you overspend, they're going to get very angry because you're going to have to cut -- not going to have enough money, therefore they're going to have to cut.

So how do you -- figuring out what is the correct line there is really the, the art in this process. And that's -- I was trying to show you the frustrations that I'm facing, both prison costs, Medicaid costs, school costs, they're all extremely difficult to predict.

We're off trend on all of them in terms of the traditional forecast, and I really don't think

- 24 we know what the future holds.
- 25 So that's why it makes it so difficult to

1	figure out what to do; and it makes it
2	difficult to figure out how should we, you
3	know, how much money should we spend each year.
4	How much should we hold in reserve, what should
5	our plans be for the future in terms of budget
6	in Florida. That's why you have a very
7	difficult task ahead of you here in considering
8	all this and figuring out what changes you want
9	to make to the process.
L 0	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Martinez. I'm
L1	sorry. Commissioner Barnett for a follow-up.
12	MS. BARNETT: With regard to the Medicaid
13	costs, I've heard for years the statements you
L 4	made. And I know it's true, that it's an
15	entitlement program; and we have to fund it. I
16	have to confess I don't understand how the
L7	Medicaid funding works.
L8	And if that's going to be something that's
L9	important to us as we move forward through this
20	process either, Mike, you or someone, I would
21	like to have a further explanation. I see

Commissioner Levine volunteering, but I'd

like -- I think I'd like to have a better

22

24	understanding of why those costs are the way
25	they are and the limitations it places on the

- state and/or our work product.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BENSE: I'll respond to that one.
- 3 Members, if any of you have or want
- 4 additional data or information on, re Medicaid,
- 5 be it school enrollment or whatever, let those
- 6 be known to myself or Ms. Skelton. And we will
- 7 put the appropriate people in touch with you so
- 8 that either in one face-to-face meeting or
- 9 whatever, but we want to make sure you have as
- 10 much knowledge of this process as possible. We
- 11 will get the people to you that can, in fact,
- 12 explain those issues to you.
- 13 Medicaid is most complicated, you're
- 14 absolutely correct.
- 15 Commissioner Martinez.
- MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 Mr. Hansen, thank you for the presentation. It's
- 18 excellent. It's easy to see why you're held in
- 19 such high regard.
- I want to talk to you about something that
- you claim to be the victim of. You may not be
- the right person, but maybe you can talk a
- little bit about it and that's forecasting.

24	Can y	ou	tell	us	what	kind	of	models	are	used	in
25	order	to	do	thes	se fo	recast	s a	and whe	ther	or no	ot

1	those models can be improved upon and whether
2	or not the models that are used are set by law?
3	MR. HANSEN: If I could, Alan Johansen
4	follows me, and he is the forecaster. And I would
5	like for him to respond to those questions. We
6	have spent a lot of time trying to figure out how
7	to make it better. We do all the time, but he has
8	much more
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's the way to handle
10	that question.
11	MR. HANSEN: Yeah, he does have much better
12	expertise in this than I do, because I am not a
13	forecaster, I am not an economist.
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKay.
15	MR. MCKAY: Mr. Hansen, thank you very much
16	for your presentation. I was going to ask a
17	forecasting question too, but I'll save that for
18	Alan.
19	Given that you're a professed budgeter and
20	given that you're going to, given the increases
21	that you pointed out, particularly Medicaid,
22	which I have long sensed that sort of is the
23	bear that's going to eat our lunch, what does

the state do X years down the road when the primary areas you forecast -- primary areas

1	that are growing, Medicaid, education, public
2	safety, are consuming all of the budgetary, all
3	the financial increases, the budget increases?
4	What do you do about everything else?

MR. HANSEN: Here's the basic problem that you have in Florida's budget. Recurring expenses grow more rapidly than recurring revenues. And I don't know that there's any way to ever fix that because it doesn't matter what you tax, it's not going to grow as fast as things like Medicaid.

Medicaid is going to grow faster than any tax you can imagine, and probably education costs are going to grow faster than any tax you can imagine.

MR. MCKAY: So is it overly simplistic to say, either you increase taxes or you decrease current expenditures in other areas other than education and public safety and Medicaid?

MR. HANSEN: And I'll give you my view on this. I think decreasing -- or increasing taxes does not solve the problem. Because whenever the Legislature increases taxes they increase spending as well. And so the problem is not -- you're

- going to get yourself right back into the same
- 25 situation. You're going to increase spending.

Τ	Tou le going to increase spending on medicald,
2	which is only going to grow at about 7 or
3	8 percent a year while the new revenue source you
4	identified is going to grow at 5 or 6 percent a

year, so you're always going to have that

6 difficulty.

I know a lot of people have said this, and I'll say it again. We don't have a revenue problem. We have a spending problem. We need to figure out ways to fund programs that we can afford to fund at the levels we can afford to fund them. I think we need to move more of our revenue out of trust funds into the general revenue fund, and I think every year the Legislature and governor need to decide what is the most appropriate way to spend the dollars that they have available. I think those are the kinds of decisions that would be most useful in this process.

MR. MCKAY: So it isn't necessarily that you have to come back and cut, you know, program X that is not one of the big items you already mentioned, it's getting the money out of the trust

- fund so it can be more efficiently budgeted.
- MR. HANSEN: I believe that the best way to

- do that is for the Legislature each year to look
- at the entire demands that are placed on the
- 3 state, entire revenues that are available, and
- 4 make priority decisions about what are the most
- 5 appropriate ways to spend those dollars. I think
- 6 that's the best way to do it.
- 7 MR. MCKAY: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Real quick, Commissioner
- 9 D'Alemberte.
- 10 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Mike, I wanted to look at
- 11 the prison budget for a second. This is one that
- the Legislature does impact a great deal by its
- policy. But that policy, although it's a
- 14 statewide policy, is administered in a different
- 15 way by different circuits; is that correct? That
- you have much a greater percentage of the prison
- 17 population coming from some circuits as opposed to
- 18 other circuits?
- 19 MR. HANSEN: Yeah, I'm not an expert in this
- area; but what you're saying, I believe, is
- 21 absolutely correct. There is a difference by
- 22 circuit, yes.
- 23 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Has the Legislature looked

24	at	any	way	to	try	to	get	some	acco	ountability	back
25	to.	the	circ	:::i+	s fo	or -	the	number	of	nrisoners t	-hat

- they're assigning?
- 2 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to have to get back to
- you on that one, sir. I'm not able to answer that
- 4 question.
- 5 CHAIRMAN BENSE: It's above his pay grade.
- 6 MR. HANSEN: It's above my pay grade, yes,
- 7 sir.
- 8 MR. D'ALEMBERTE: It's a tough one because my
- 9 conviction is that the state attorneys are driving
- our prison population. They're the people who
- push for certain charges which carry out many
- 12 times sentencing with it.
- 13 But if you look at the different circuits,
- I think you'll see very wide ranges between the
- numbers of percentage of the population sent
- 16 from one circuit versus another. And so far as
- I know nobody has ever really tried to look at
- the accountability for that public expenditure.
- 19 State attorneys are driving it, and nobody's
- 20 paying attention.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BENSE: That could very well be
- 22 within the purview of this commission.
- MR. D'ALEMBERTE: Yeah. Take a look at it.

24	CHAIRMAN	BENSE:	Further qu	estions?	
25	I've got	two or	three quick	ones.	Number

1	one, what is the Citizens exposure? Have you
2	quantified that? I mean, we know the CAT fund
3	exposure is roughly 26 billion. Just out of
4	curiosity, have I'll take a ballpark number.
5	MR. HANSEN: Yeah, I have a series of slides
6	on that, sir, and it is complicated because of the
7	two components to Citizens.
8	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Just the worst case scenario
9	from what you can tell.
10	MR. HANSEN: How much state exposure would
11	there be? You can see the total exposure,
12	467 billion. Depends on the number of years, you
13	know, whether you want to go a hundred-year event.
14	Roll the next one if you would.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: So it's almost you can't
16	quantify it, within reason?
17	MR. HANSEN: How much could we end up paying?
18	Well, it depends. It depends on how much of
19	that you see that the assessment that you have
20	to be, you got essentially three billion from
21	regular assessments that you have to do there and

It depends on how much of that you want to

assessments above that.

22

23

24	spread to insured people in the state and how
25	much you want to pick up, you know, from the

Legislature appropriating money. But I'll get 1 back to you --2 3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Same question. MR. HANSEN: -- but it's complicated. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BENSE: On page five, I assume that 6 all sales tax, the revenues that you showed were 7 all derived from the 6 percent sales tax? 8 MR. HANSEN: From the sales tax and GR, yes. 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: It's all from the 6 percent. 10 There's no other sales tax that we're missing? 11 MR. HANSEN: Am I missing any? 12 CHAIRMAN BENSE: I'm assuming that's -- I 13 just want to make sure. 14 MR. HANSEN: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BENSE: Page four, and it took me 15 about five years to figure this one out. 16 The term substantive appropriations. For the 17 commissioners' benefit, could you go into more 18 detail what that entails? 19 MR. HANSEN: Substantive -- all we're 20 21 referring to there are bills other than 22 appropriations, general appropriations acts. Ιn

other words, you can in a substantive bill, a bill

23

24	that	changes	law, ı	make	an	appropria	tion.
25		There's	nothi	ng th	at	prevents	the

- 1 Legislature from doing that, and they
 2 frequently do that. And so all that we're
- 3 describing there are bills that are not
- 4 included in the general appropriations act that
- 5 appropriate money.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any more questions? Thank
- you, Mike.
- 8 MR. HANSEN: Thank you, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Good job. Great job.
- 10 And now the other half of tandem. Alan
- Johansen is going to talk about forecasting and
- hopefully, Commissioner Martinez, he'll be able
- 13 to answer your question. I didn't mean to cut
- 14 you off a while ago. Go ahead.
- 15 MR. JOHANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 16 members. I know several of you quite well, some
- of you probably longer than either of us care to
- 18 admit.
- 19 Yeah, thank you, Mike, for the setup
- there.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- 22 Maybe I should have waited -- I'll wait
- till Mike leaves before I tell you about the

24	last time	e we	cut	the 1	revenu	ıes	rigl	ht	before	
25	session,	and	it	turnec	d out	to	be a	a r	mistake.	I

1	think Mike was just taking over in 2003, and we
2	were coming out of the 2001 recession, which
3	hit us in the middle of the budget year.

In fact, we were due to revise the estimate early in September 2001. Wednesday was September 11th. We were scheduled to revisit the revenue estimate on Friday the 13th, September 13th. Needless to say, a lot of things changed on that Wednesday; and we ended up going ahead and doing the estimate on Friday as if September 11th hadn't happened, which probably sounds a little odd, came back a month later and cut another chunk.

Both times together, I think, we cut about a billion five out of the funds available in that year, which led to a special session that was extremely painful and led to a lot of cuts.

We didn't come out of the recession that was already underway in March of 2001, but for Florida dramatically exacerbated by the events of 9/11 and the impact it had on tourism.

So at the end of 02/03 year, right before the Legislature was coming into session, right

- when they were doing the budget planning, we
- took another chunk out of the revenue estimate.

Unbeknownst to us the economy had started to
turn around, things had started getting back to
normal in Florida, and we actually beat the
original estimate, much less the estimate that

we had just cut.

Mike, I guess he's conveniently gone -- he really has never let me forget that. The House had planned and budgeted all their money and then we came along and take it away right before they're ready to go to press with their budget, and that's a really tough thing for a budget person. You know, you've got the budget out there and then all of sudden you got 4 or 500 million, and then when you find out you don't need to.

But in the words of the TaxWatch group, I think their comment one time was the revenue estimating conference has never been right.

And darn, there was one year where our estimate was rounding to .0 percent, and we don't ever go below 100,000, so 0.0, and then we closed the books out. We wrapped it up, and it was like 0.1; and I'm like, Dominic is still right.

- 24 And we've never been right.
- I think if you -- if you go back to the

L	slide that Mike had on the errors in the
2	revenue estimate, and I maybe the subsequent
3	page has the percentage errors. The period
1	that began after the recovery, starting in
5	03/04, 04/05, 05/06, was driven by
5	extraordinary events.

Obviously, when we did the estimates, we didn't plan on the hurricanes. And, for better or worse, there is a significant windfall from the rebuilding that takes place following the hurricane. In some ways, it's an infusion in the money from all around the country, whether it's federal or insurance reserves that have been built up. So it's just an outside infusion of money to rebuild those properties that were damaged and destroyed, to give people temporary living assistance.

It manifests itself across the economy, and it does lead to a surge in revenue. The appropriations people are pretty comfortable that when you couple that -- marry that up against the extraordinary costs we have, it's not a net windfall; but on the revenue side

- 24 it's a big number. And it contributes
- 25 dramatically to these errors.

L	When you have an '04 and an '05 back to
2	back, you sort of compound that effect because
3	these things don't run out in just one year.
1	It takes two or three years for the effects to
5	go away.

At the same time, we had just started in that period with an unprecedented run up in Florida real estate, and the boom in Florida real estate led to a lot more transactions which fueled doc stamp, things like that that we get from the activity.

But new construction, I think Amy talked about a bit of a spike that we had in population growth, new households, a lot of new construction, a lot of sales tax.

Disproportionate to what you get from the average Floridian at the margin, the new Floridian, the new household generates probably five to six times as much sales tax that first year as what you get from the average.

So we had no way of foreseeing that, and, yeah, those are, those really have messed up our average. We were -- long-term average was

24	real close to zero, the pluses and minuses
25	netted out, maybe in the order of 2 percent

average error when you ignore the signs. And the last several years have made our averages look a whole lot worse.

But you never want to get into the posture of justifying your errors. We do this not because we know but because we're asked to, and we do the best job we can.

And the question about the tools, the models, something on the order of 70 to 80 percent of our errors are undoubtedly driven by errors in the national revenue estimate that we get from national forecasting services. The recessions always come about because of random shocks, unforeseen events, one or two of them often tip you into a recession and virtually is never foreseen.

Little bit more information on the last couple -- the 2001 recession, and we may be approaching recession now, pretty directly attributable to the fed action ratcheting up the interest rates, slowing the economy and ultimately maybe overdoing it a bit. So that contributes.

24	But	if you l	ook back	and you	remember	your
25	economic	history,	the '73,	'75 per	iod, those	e

1	bar graphs don't do justice to the pain that
2	occurred back then. I think it was a
3	double-digit percentage error in '75, '76, even
4	though it looks like a very small amount up

there.

so '80, '81, '90, '91, 2001. The typical error that we have is from recession nobody saw and naturally you end up with a shortfall. So the most extraordinary period we've had is really the last three years, and I think it's going to turn out to be unique in the sense of double whammy from the hurricanes and the real estate boom that we are unlikely to see.

It's probably a once-in-a-lifetime, maybe once in 40, 50 years that we have the length and breadth of the run of it that you saw in Florida and the corresponding stimulus that gave to new construction and the increased demand for owner occupied housing even probably contributed to some of the population increase that we had.

As that unwinds, as population growth appears to be slowing, you have a

24	disproportionate imp	act on the revenues in the
25	opposite direction.	That's what we're seeing

1	right now. The shape and pattern of the
2	forecast we have is taking express account of
3	the runout of the hurricane rebuilding. We've
4	taken accounts to the extent possible of the
5	slowdown in housing and the impact on new
6	construction.

With the new estimate that Mike referred to where we're 182 million short after just the first two months, the only source in that estimate in the top five, doc stamp that we nailed. It's almost like we did a deal with the devil. We haven't even come close on doc stamps for the last three years.

The first month of the new estimate we would be 20 percent over, and we kept doing this, looked like a series of Mount Everests.

We had a run up and then we'd have it retrench.

It didn't retrench. It just kept going up and up and up, and we finally caught it this time.

We got the turning point right. We got the magnitude of decline, so the doc stamp, which has contributed a tremendous amount to that error, it looks like we have an estimate that's

- 24 holding.
- 25 So fingers crossed that we got the housing

1	and the construction and real estate transfers
2	nailed pretty well, because this is literally
3	our best doc stamp estimate in the last five or
4	six years.

The tools -- we have long had one of the most sophisticated processes in the country.

My feeling is that you could throw an awful lot more resources at this type of problem for marginal incremental improvement, if any, because the errors are almost always driven by unknowable, unforeseeable events, and that's just the very nature of the beast.

There are a couple more things I wanted to get Mike on; but since he's not here, it's not quite fun.

Legislative changes in 2007, there's my slide on the Legislative changes. As far as the topic goes, well, we did take the holiday. Or we had two holidays that we reenacted, the hurricane tax holiday, about 25 million starting June 1st, back to school tax holiday, 47 million starting August 4th through the 13th. That's the eighth time out of the last

- ten years we'll having a clothing tax holiday,
- 25 back to school tax holiday.

1	Not much else that we did. Some tinkering
2	at the margin with some of the administrative
3	provisions.

And my advice to the legislators was to let this group handle the property tax issue. Obviously, they didn't take that suggestion from me, so my -- I'm pretty much a one-trick pony for the last three or four months and -- for the next three months I'm so immersed in property taxes this is a refreshing change, I quess. Very little action.

The budget is tight, as Mike pointed out, and in those type situations we tend not to do much in the tax area. When we got a lot of money, we're flush with money. We tend to be a little more generous. But things were tight enough this year that we just didn't do a whole lot.

Just a couple comments on your tasks. I looked at a lot of reform efforts and a lot of states participated in those efforts in Florida. Maybe only two circumstances where broad based reform succeeds. One, if there's a

- desperate need for money. So when you do tax
- 25 reform, you're going to break some eggs.

1	You're going to reshuffle the burden, winners
2	and losers, and that's a tough thing to do. So

if it's not driven by a need for revenue, it's

4 almost invariably doomed to failure.

So broad based reform without the need for new money -- I'm not aware of any state that has succeeded in it. Now, maybe there's a flip side when you have some tax relief, when you have the opportunity to give broad based relief, then you can do some fundamental reform. And that may be the whole card in this property tax issue, is you might accomplish -- I'm sure relief is a top priority, at least on the side I work for. But reform can get into the mix if you're willing to take and provide some additional tax relief.

So it's a -- that gives me hope that that effort is going to succeed. So, hey, I inevitably go back to small scale reform: The things that you can do that may not be big and sexy but at the margin, they make a difference in -- over cumulative. If you do enough of them, they make a big difference. And again

24	that's probably more likely that you're going
25	to do small-scale incremental changes when you

- 1 have a necessary need for the revenues.
- 2 But just some of the things to consider.

Remote sales is always looming out there in

4 terms of the sales tax. That's a pretty tough

one to tackle, but that's -- we're down to the

6 sales tax at state level with just a few other

7 minor sources. And doc stamps and corporate

8 income tax, the other two big state sources

9 that matter, they've some severe erosion taking

10 place in the base.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For the last ten years we have not been allowed to really even suggest tightening up the tax code as the world evolves. I mean, this is a Darwinian process. You've got half the world out there actively trying to find a way to tilt the tax code and interpret it in their favor.

If the state doesn't react it's just one-sided. The tax base tends to erode over time. You've got some big examples of that in the corporate income tax area. There are some opportunities for very large profitable entities. This is not anything bad, but if you

- allow them to avoid paying their fair share
- because of tax planning opportunities, they're

- 1 going to do it.
- 2 So it's not a real popular issue in our
- 3 one area -- foray into that area was so
- 4 aggressive that it was a failure in itself.
- 5 The other area is doc stamp. There's
- 6 probably a loophole in the doc stamp out there
- 7 that could at some point materially erode that
- 8 source. I'm pretty comfortable we'll address
- 9 that if it expands to where a lot of people can
- do these transfers tax-free, because you have
- so many programs and so many bonds relying on
- 12 the revenue source.
- I did bring one thing, since I didn't have
- anything to talk about; and I don't know if
- 15 April could hand these out. Just a handy
- source. Some of you who've been around the
- 17 process have used it a lot. We put this out
- with the governor's office, with DOR, EDR. Amy
- 19 was here. House, Senate.
- 20 And it's -- it's for your purposes if you
- 21 want to find something quick and dirty in the
- 22 tax area it's got an overview of the whole
- finances of the state. But when you turn to

- individual tax sources -- let's see, I happened
- to pull up page 127, severance tax, standard

1	format.	You can see the current estimate. Y	ou
2	can have	a summary of what the tax base is,	
3	where the	e money goes, some estimates, and a	

4 history.

I was looking in this one. Tax rates changed in 1977 on the severance tax. I came to the Senate, Florida Senate in January of '78, worked for an interesting fellow named Jack Gordon for a while, went over to the House in '79, but one of the first contacts I had was somebody at this table who may have represented some of those interest groups. Martha.

Boy, she just tore into me one time over some amendment that we hadn't told her about until right before the meeting. And we got over that, and a little bit later I asked Martha, I said, are we ever going to be on the same side of one of these issues. And she's like, nobody is going to pay me to be on your side, whoever's side I'm on.

Now, 25 years later Martha came forward, and we actually worked on an issue that was like a win-win. And I said, Martha, you were

24	wrong.	We we	re on	the	same	side	there	briefly
25	Ev	ery or	e of	these	tax	sourc	es has	s the

same thing. If you want to get into ad valorem
taxes just a few pages further, 139, there is
more information about the property taxes in
one condensed place, including the history,
which goes back to whenever, 19 -- the big
changes all took place in 1980.

Now, another one of your members, one of my first contacts with Mr. Miller was about that time we were going after the property tax and some of you might remember that Governor Graham called a special session in the fall of '79 as a relatively new governor, his first big out of the box, he's going to have a major tax reform effort that centered around property tax, fractional assessment, all this in the wake of an auditor general's report that was highly critical of the local tax rolls and the state's oversight.

What I was surprised to read was that

Governor Graham also included a revenue limit
in his proposal, state revenue cap in that '79
special session. It got real ugly real fast,
and the whole thing crashed and burned. But ir

- 1980 we came back, and we put in place the
- 25 25,000, the trim process.

1	In fact, but for Save Our Homes, except
2	for that change that was by initiative, the
3	essential structure that was put in place in
4	1980 has remained intact and has functioned
5	well. I think the big fly in the ointment
6	there is what Save Our Homes has done in terms
7	of disparities and inequities.
8	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Alan, if you could wrap it
9	up in the next minute or so. I'm not trying to
10	push you, but planes are leaving for some of these
11	folks.
12	MR. JOHANSEN: I've hit everything I wanted
13	to get across. Call anytime. We'll be glad to
14	help. We'll help Susan with anything you want,
15	but this is a handy off-the-shelf resource. And
16	my legislative update is I'm not supposed to
17	talk about property tax, so.
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any questions? Commissioner
19	Barnett?
20	MS. BARNETT: Alan, could you provide at some
21	later date, because it sounds like we're about to

run out time, more information on the loophole in the doc stamp issue?

24	MR. JOHANSEN: Primarily referring to the one
25	case involving transferring property, Miami

1	Crescent Center, transferring property into a
2	wholly owned LLC with no encumbrances and then
3	selling the entity. It's a pretty glaring
4	opportunity for some taxpayers. So far does not
5	appear to be widespread, but we're certainly
6	watching it. And no evidence that we can detect
7	that that's a cause of major erosion in the source
8	at this point.

9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Quick question, Alan. You talked about erosion, and you gave us where you thought we could go to get some perhaps low hanging fruit. Remote taxation means catalog online, and to the extent you said everything is in this Bible, is there something that references so we can understand the magnitude of the issue or the opportunity?

MR. JOHANSEN: Probably your entree into that issue is something that I imagine the TaxWatch folks might either talk about or have taken a strong position. And I know there's some business groups that representative -- from your members have been real active in the streamlined sales tax

project, get Florida to conform its sale tax laws, not major structural change, minor changes that

1	would	put	us	in	sync	with	the	definitions	and	the

2 structure that makes it administratively feasible.

3 Takes the business community a fair amount of

4 money -- decline, especially multistate.

MS. JOHNSON: But to the issue of the magnitude of the problem, is there some data or something that would help understand the magnitude of the problem?

MR. JOHANSEN: Not a simple answer, but the magnitude of the problem is large. What the state can fix or change on its own is small because compliance with this national standard just leads to some additional voluntary collections.

At some point Congress is going to look at what the states have done and say yes or no to these remote sellers who are increasingly are pure Internet players competing with bricks and mortar folks that are in the state.

So, large number, you know, upwards of a billion dollars potentially, but what is available based on our own decisions is relatively small. It may cost us a little bit of money to get into compliance.

24	MS.	JOHNSON:	Thank	vou.

25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Miller.

1	MR. MILLER: He answered.
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Martinez.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	Mr. Johansen, could you, in the interest
5	of time, could you just submit to us something
6	that describes the type of modeling that was
7	done and any suggestions for improvement and
8	also whether or not there are legal
9	requirements on the public modeling.
L 0	I have heard, and I know we don't have
11	time to talk about it, but by law you are
12	required to use static modeling as opposed to
13	dynamic modeling. That may be a
L 4	misunderstanding that I have. So to the extent
15	that you can submit some information to us, I
16	would appreciate it.
L 7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Further questions?
18	MR. SCOTT: Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Scott.
20	MR. SCOTT: Just a commentary. I wouldn't
21	get too carried away about being right on the doc
22	stamps because information out there and people I
23	know that have title companies and whatever, they

24	don't have much on the books after this month, for
25	example, because of the, in part or significant

1	part, of the property tax issue.
2	I mean, and some of that we possibly
3	they say, well, it could be people are kind of
4	waiting to see what the Legislature does, but
5	this definitely the activity level is
6	affecting everything by the lack of sales of
7	and resales and whatever of homes in this
8	state. Furniture companies and everything.
9	So, to be continued.
10	MR. JOHANSEN: If I brought the graphs you
11	would be astounded at the fall-off we have in our
12	estimate. I mean, tremendous, but thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Wilkinson.
14	MR. WILKINSON: Alan, since you and I go back
15	as far as you and Martha, do you think in these 26
16	years we'll ever agree on ad valorem?
17	MR. JOHANSEN: No comment.
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Turbeville.
20	MR. TURBEVILLE: Could you briefly address
21	the revenue limitation that's currently in the

constitution and how it -- we have never hit the

trigger since its implementation?

22

23

24	MR. JOHANSEN:	It Mr	. Chairman?
----	---------------	-------	-------------

25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Go ahead.

L	MR. JOHANSEN: Sure. In '92 we put a revenue
2	limit in the state constitution similar to what
3	was in some of the proposals for local governments
1	on the property tax. It's essentially based on
5	total economic activity, which inherently brings
5	in inflation in population.

There are some things that are left out of the calculation so we're not penalized by run-ups in Medicaid that are outside our control. We're not penalized by the CAT fund. We don't include revenues that have to go to bond indebtedness which is put in there to protect the bond holders.

Otherwise it's an overarching limit on state revenues that started in 1992, '93, I believe, was the base year. It appeared that we were going to grow our way just into -- could have had a 2-cent sales tax increase and not hit the trigger.

Up until somewhere when those big spikes in the revenues and the big errors started, what happened between 2002 and 2005/6 was a \$5 billion excess capacity under the cap

- dwindled to 500 million in 05/06.
- 25 If the feds had not taken away our estate

1	tax, that was a billion-dollar hit by 05/06 on
2	our revenues, we would have blown past the cap
3	in 05/06 and had to put the money in a budget
4	stabilization fund. You can only put up to ten
5	percent in a budget stabilization fund. If we
6	hadn't also eliminated the intangibles tax at
7	the same time, we would have been conceivably
8	\$2 billion over the revenue limit in 05/06.
9	That's how strong that run-up was after the
10	recovery from 01/02.

So people have said that it's meaningless and that it doesn't do anything and that it's not binding. But the -- sort of the secret is we came that close to exceeding the revenue limit in 05/06, and just a few lucky breaks kind of kept us from doing so.

So I'm inclined to think that it's maybe not as much of a -- it could be tightened up if that's what people wanted to do, but it's not a -- no longer can be viewed as a joke.

21 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Levine.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you. Just one quick question.

24	Back	last yea	r there	was	some	disc	ussions	,
25	about for	ecasting	Medicaid	d gro	wth,	and	there	

- was some graphs that showed if all policy
- 2 remained the same Medicaid would consume about
- 3 60 percent or 50 percent of the state budget by
- 4 2016 or whatever it was.
- 5 Do you have forecast models for each of
- 6 the major elements of the state budget,
- assuming revenue policy stays the same, what
- 8 each aspect of the state budget would look like
- 9 as a percent of the total state budget?
- 10 MR. JOHANSEN: I'm sorry, Mr. Levine. The
- only time I've ever been involved with Medicaid
- was to come and scrutinize the process that they
- 13 use for somebody else. Mike's the only quy that's
- ever been doing the Medicaid forecast. I do not
- know the answer to that because I just do not
- 16 work -- I work on the revenue side strictly. I'm
- sorry.
- 18 MR. LEVINE: Okay.
- 19 MR. JOHANSEN: But I could probably get Amy
- Baker back on that. She is the one who's sort of
- 21 a universal forecaster.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Any further
- 23 questions? Thank you, Alan. Great job, great

24 job.

We're going to begin losing members

- shortly I'm afraid to airplanes so let's move on to reports of committees. Then we'll close with Florida TaxWatch.
- First of all, thank you for coming to the committee meetings this morning, folks. It was very informative, I thought. I attended most of them. Since the last committee meeting -- or commissioner meeting a few things have occurred.

10 Number one, the Legislature did pass a 11 budget. And as I outlined to you in an earlier memorandum we were budgeted for roughly 12 13 \$1.6 million, and we will live within our 14 means. We actually have in this year's budget we can spend up to a half a million dollars in 15 the 06/07 budget, and we will fall very short 16 of that. And there may be some items that we 17 can go ahead and pay for now, but we will live 18 19 within that 1.6 million. Any questions on 20 that?

21 (No response.)

22 Good, because there's not a whole lot we 23 can do about it.

24	Also we're having every day April is	
25	sending you clips that are relevant to, we	

- think, to the taxation and budget process in
- 2 Florida. So I hope you don't mind us filling
- 3 up your computers with E-mails regarding that.
- 4 If there's additional information you would
- 5 like, please let us know.
- 6 Third housekeeping is the June meeting.
- 7 As you know we've allocated the third Friday of
- 8 each month until May of '08 toward this
- 9 meeting. Wouldn't you know it, in June the
- special session will be running from June 12th
- 11 through June 22nd, I believe, in that ballpark
- there, which ends on Friday.
- 13 And I think it would be prudent quite
- frankly of us to meet after that for a lot of
- reasons. We'll be busy here in the Capitol.
- This room could very well be occupied. And I
- 17 would like to open the floor for discussion
- of -- my thoughts are we go ahead and meet, is
- 19 it Monday, June 24th? Okay. Perhaps Monday
- 20 the 25th. If you move it on out to Friday the
- 21 28th, people are gone for 4th of July. And so
- 22 on.
- 23 And let's debate out here if moving the

- 24 meeting -- also, we will know by Monday,
- June 25th what happened in the special session.

- 1 So is there a discussion on that issue?
- Otherwise, I'm going to ask for a motion to
- move it to Monday, June 25th.
- 4 MR. MARTINEZ: So moved.
- 5 MR. MILLER: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Is there a second? Any
- 7 debate? Any discussion? I don't like to mess up
- 8 your schedules.
- 9 MR. MCKAY: Just in case. I know as
- sometimes happens, sometimes doesn't, the
- 11 Legislature could extend or they could extend for
- the weekend and have a deal on Monday, so it might
- be a good idea to move it back.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BENSE: To Tuesday?
- MR. MCKAY: To Tuesday or even Wednesday.
- 16 You're right about the 4th of July holiday.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yeah. We get much past
- 18 Wednesday we're going to lose members, so --
- 19 MR. MCKAY: Why don't we say -- if I may make
- 20 a suggestion, Tuesday.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Give me some nods and up and
- 22 down and don't care, you know, sort of -- I agree.
- 23 It could run -- it's a contentious issue. How

24	about if we amend Commissioner McKay moves that
25	the motion be amended to change the date to

Wednesday, June 27th. Does anyone have a 1 calender? Commissioner Martinez? 2 MR. MARTINEZ: Point of clarification. Will 3 we still be able to call in on the --4 5 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes. Since we're changing 6 the rules a little bit, I think we should be allowed to call in on that meeting. 7 8 MR. MCKAY: Actually, I was going to suggest 9 Tuesday. 10 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Tuesday, okay. Tuesday is great. Tuesday -- what is that? 11 12 MR. MCKAY: The 26th. CHAIRMAN BENSE: Tuesday, June 26th. There's 13 14 a motion on the floor that we move the June meeting to Tuesday, June 26th. There's a motion 15 and a second. All in favor say aye. 16 (Ayes unanimous.) 17 Opposed, no. 18 19 (No response.) 20 Then we will move the meeting to that 21 date. Be sure to mark your calenders accordingly, folks. 22

Next, why don't we move on to committee

23

- 24 meetings. I thought the meetings went great.
- I think if we learned one thing, that I'm not

L	sure that there's anything out there that we
2	can't take up. I don't think there's anything
3	that we can't take up.

So -- but before we do get to that I have interviewed for general counsel, and I have met some bright folks. We're going to spend -- to get a very, very bright lawyer we're going to spend probably in the 130 to 150,000 range.

And I'm -- after listening to -- and I have interviewed lawyers that are fourth year out of law school that are in the lower salary range of, you know, 38 to 42,000 a year all the way up to some high-priced folks. And my instincts tell me that I'm not sure we need a high-priced lawyer around all the time.

My thoughts are -- and I'll throw it out to you folks -- perhaps we hire a -- an attorney that doesn't have the greatest amount of expertise but that can help us with housekeeping issues over the next year that's in the 38 to 42, 45 range, and as we get into very detailed and complex issues that we hire contract lawyers either by the month or

- 24 whatever scale we can come up with to help us
- with their expertise in those particular areas.

1	And I'll throw that out for discussion
2	because it's and the problem with this
3	commission is there will be slow times and
4	there will be very, very, very busy times as
5	well, as we all know.

6 So -- discussion? Commissioner Scott.

MR. SCOTT: I think that's -- I think that's the best way to go. It seems to me that when we're going to really need legal expertise will be when we get into deciding what's involved in addressing whatever we decide to address, whether it's anything to do with local or state government or whatever, and that's probably going to be a little bit later on, I mean, you know, this fall or whatever. And so, and on that basis by project or month or whatever depending on the need. I think that's the best way to go.

18 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Martinez.

MR. MARTINEZ: Mr. Chairman, I agree with you. I would also like for you to consider that even for the short-term needs perhaps we could avail ourselves of some lawyers at the, for example, the governor's office and the counselor's

- office, Mr. McCollum and his assistant.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: And the governor's office

1	has been most helpful in some of the housekeeping
2	chores we've had to handle. They have been very
3	helpful. And we all know that they've been part
4	of this process. The next after this special
5	session, there's a lull.

I mean, things are not as hectic in the July, August, September, et cetera months until we get into the committee meetings in December and January. So, I think, there will be some -- perhaps the Senate president and the speaker, the governor will continue to be kind to us and lend us their legal expertise.

I'm not sure this issue needs a motion because you give me some broad authority to do this. I just want to make sure that no one had any real problems with us going along those lines.

Having said that, I think that's the -- and Commissioner Scott, I think you have a motion to --

MR. SCOTT: Right. I just wanted to ask -- make a notion to clarify that our legislative members that have been appointed, the four of

24	them,	that	they	would	be	invited	to	be	member	ŝ	and
25	partio	rinate	of a	all +he	ے رر ا	ommittees		anv	and al	1	the

- committees. They're of course non-voting, but that we just clarify that. I think it might take
- 3 a motion.

- 4 CHAIRMAN BENSE: I think it does because
 5 technically our rules say each committee can have
 6 between three and 11 members, and if we put all
 7 four of them on all four committees naturally we'd
 8 have more than 11. But I do think they should be
 9 given the privilege of being on all the
- Naturally they don't have to attend. They
 are non-voting. So Commissioner Scott has a
 motion that all four elected officials be
 placed on all four committees as nonvoting
 members.
- MR. MILLER: I'll second that.
- 17 MR. SCOTT: That they would not be counted 18 for quorum purposes or absence or whatever.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. There's a motion by
 20 Commissioner Scott, seconded by Commissioner
- 21 Miller. Any discussion, debate?
- 22 (No response.)

committees.

23 All in favor say aye.

- 24 (Ayes unanimous.)
- Opposed no. Motion carries.

1	Okay. Any other housekeeping we need?
2	Commissioner Levesque?
3	MS. LEVESQUE: I want to thank you for the
4	committee assignment. I think you did a great job
5	on putting committees together. I notice that
6	you're not on any of the substantive committees.
7	I didn't know if you did that out of
8	deference so we could serve more or if you were
9	interested in participating. I think you add a
10	lot of value. And if we're allowing the
11	legislative members to participate on all four,
12	I would just want to throw it out there that I
13	think you should participate as a voting member
14	of all four and open that for discussion.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's fine with me, but
16	I'll leave it up to the wishes of the commission.
17	Commissioner?
18	MR. MARTINEZ: Formal motion along those
19	lines.
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Martinez
21	makes a motion. Is there a second?
22	MS. LEVESQUE: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Levesque makes

24 a second. Is there debate, discussion?
25 (No response.)

1	All in favor of the motion say aye.
2	(Ayes unanimous.)
3	Opposed no. Motion carries.
4	And I do hope that you're happy with your
5	committee assignments. I noticed that former
6	presidents Scott and McKay, we've done that
7	once before and I think I got shot and
8	almost got shot and killed when I made my
9	committee assignments a couple years ago. The
10	shots were a lot better this time. So thank
11	you.
12	If you have questions, or frankly,
13	members, if you want to be in a different
L 4	committee, I did the best I could to put folks
15	where you wanted to be. If you have a concern
16	please let Susan know, and we will I think I
L7	outlined that to you in my memorandum, but if
18	you want to make a change, please let me know.
19	Let's have reports of committees. F and
20	T, Commissioner Susan Story, chairman.
21	MS. STORY: Thank you, Mr. chairman. Just

quickly, our committee went through what we are

obligated to do, our charge and the scope of our

22

23

24	responsibilitie	es. Everyone	understood those.
25	We then we	ent through a	drafting process

1	that we would use through next may in terms of
2	how we want to run ourselves, what the critical
3	components are under education and research,
4	what type of presentations we need, guiding
5	principles that we will agree to public input,
6	et cetera, before we get to the stage of
7	drafting initial recommendations to come to the
8	full tax and budget reform commission.
9	We had some great discussion. We also
10	agreed that our next meeting we are going to
11	get presentations in depth on a detailed
12	overview of the current tax structure in the
13	state as well as statutory and constitutional
14	limitations on tax and spending. We are going
15	to run that meeting in conjunction with the
16	governmental services group because they also
17	need to have the same presentation.
18	So that's all I have to offer, unless
19	someone from the committee would like to add
20	anything?
21	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Anyone wish to add?
22	(No response.)
23	Great. Planning and budgetary processes

- committee. Who is going to present that one?
- 25 I think --

1	MR. MCKEE: Mr. Chairman, I am on behalf of
2	Commissioner Lacasa. We followed a similar
3	process to the previously reported committee. We
4	agreed that a great deal of our focus needs to be
5	on the planning portion of that and establishing
6	methodologies and modeling.

Since some of the subjects that we are talking about obviously are overlapping with the other committees, and we believe that there's merit to that, but when specific tasks are preferred rather than become duplicative in our efforts, we believe that the respective chairs should be debating with one another and counseling with one another.

We too have a need for some overviews of specific functions within the state and local government that we believe we can avail ourselves of at these group meetings as well. There are specific topics that we believe we need to discuss that are exponentially increasing burdens on the state, like the prison system, the cost of education.

Although we don't perceive that to be a

burden, it's a necessity. An additional one such as the consumption of water within the

1	state	and	creating	some	type	of	alliances
2	there						

We also need to bring in speakers that can

provide us specific expertise in the areas that

I've just mentioned. We also have charged

staff with coming back to us and explaining to

us what the language of the constitution is

that specifically addresses budgets and what

our constraints are.

That said, probably the most important thing that came out of that besides structure and what we bring forth to this commission is the -- the need for further education on specific state issues. And we think that there will be a need for the committees collectively to gain that information.

That said, any of the committee members may have some additional remarks.

19 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Members?

20 (No response.)

Good. And I'm going to have sort of a wrap up when we're all done as to how I think we coordinate these committees.

- 24 Chairman Martinez, governmental services
- 25 committee.

1	MR. MARTINEZ: Mr. Chairman, thank you very
2	much for naming me to head the committee. That's
3	a great privilege. And more importantly from
4	staffing includes such qualified people makes my
5	job much easier.

Our meeting went similar to the others.

We examined the tasks that you have assigned to us, and we have determined to proceed pretty much along the lines of the finance and tax.

The first stage will be to have presenters, advisors, to educate us, to provide the proper research so that we can understand the big picture.

Later on we envision opening it up to public hearings throughout the state where we can hear from interested stakeholders. And we envision that towards the end of the year, no later than the beginning of the year, we will put together a recommendation to the commission of our findings and suggestions.

For the first meeting what we have decided to focus on will be a presentation on the revenue needs and also a presentation on the

- 24 constitutional limitations that are enforced
- 25 upon these particular issues.

L	One of the things we talked about is
2	having our meetings at a location other than
3	our state capitol to make it easier for members
1	throughout the state. So we discussed that,
5	and I'm sure you will hear more about it from
5	Susan.

And lastly we discussed about having some meetings that coordinate with the finance and tax and having them perhaps held for a full day where we can split the day so our members can sit and listen to the presentations of the others and share some of the same speakers.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Committee members want to add to that, embellish upon it, take away from it? Thank you, Chairman.

And the governmental procedures and structure committee. Chairman Levine.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the honor of serving. And we have a great committee, some incredible expertise on the committee. We talked about first the structure of the committee and the -- some -- we discussed some core principles and the development of core

- 24 principles for the committee to kind of guide,
- because it's a very broad committee, has a lot of

issues.

2	But we had a lot of discussion,
3	particularly around the issue of government
4	efficiency and productivity, as you can
5	imagine, at the local and state level. There
6	was even a discussion of certain some
7	counties that's have upwards of 80 or 90 taxing
8	districts and municipalities and does, you
9	know, why that is and does that need to be.
10	So, I think, there was some really broad and
11	good discussion and a lot of good debate in our
12	committee.
13	One thing that will be helpful will be to
14	try to figure out the time frame from when the
15	commission wants to put its report out and back
16	up from there when the committee reports should
17	be due to the to the commission, so that
18	there's ample time for debate of the
19	committee's recommendation. And, obviously,
20	that's something the commission, you know,
21	under your leadership should discuss.
22	We probably will meet before the next

commission meeting to talk more about our core

- principles. But one question I have is, can
- 25 those committee meetings take place via

1	teleconfe	erence	as w	ell,	if	they're	noticed
2	properly	and th	here'	s ope	n -	. _	

3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Sure.

MR. LEVINE: Okay. So there probably will be, because we do want to fine-tune and hone in on our core principles and our structure as we move forward. So, thank you, again, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Absolutely. Okay. Just a few notes from the meetings this morning. First, again, I don't think there's an issue that -- our biggest challenge is going to be narrowing the scope of our work and what we want to accomplish. I think that will be -- when you can basically take a look at all government services, that in itself is mind boggling in terms of what we can do.

But I do encourage everyone to open your minds up and think big and what can we do to make this state a better place is the bottom line. There will be committees that have overlapping ideas and goals, and I think that Commissioner Scott and I will make sure that we're kind of coordinating amongst the

24	committee	e chai	irmen	tha	at this	commi	itt€	ee is
25	handling	that	and	they	really	want	to	tackle

it, you got your plate full.

We will distribute the load evenly. I
would like to have a meeting probably before
the next meeting with all the committee chairs.
We may even do that meeting -- I just noticed
this morning that three of the four committee
chairs are in Broward and Dade County, so
perhaps it might be a good idea to meet down
there with Commissioner Scott and myself and
Susan just to see as committee chairs where you
folks want to head because, and as I get
feedback from each of you, that will help me
kind of narrow down where we want to go.

And Commissioner Levine hit the nail right on the head. I think before the next meeting is sort of like a campaign. I always ran campaigns from election day backward, you know, because we know that date's certain and finite. And in terms of when we want to have items to our styling and drafting committee, and, you know, how long we want for experts and analysts to run econometric models, whatever it may be on some of the proposals we come up with, we

- need to make sure there's plenty of time to vet
- those and have them looked at. So I will be

1 getting with the committee chairmen on that.

With respect to experts and analysts, I concluded after today's committee meetings that probably each committee needs to have an analyst assigned to it, someone that we would hire who can more or less be that committee's coordinator.

I was sort of hoping we could get by with existing staff, but I'm convinced that we will need analysts for each committee. And we'll try to find those folks in the next 30 days so we can really get moving, unless someone has an objection to that. I clearly saw the need for it, especially with you committee chairs that have some pretty great, pretty good ideas.

And also with respect to members, you know, any member can attend a committee meeting. Even if you're not on that committee, you can attend the committee meeting. And I say that.

If we're going to have experts appear before an individual committee, I would hate to see other committee members not be, not think

24	they	could	attend	that	meet	ing	because	they'r	e
25	not o	on the	committ	cee.	You	can	attend	that	

- meeting. You can't vote, but you can attend the meeting.
- And, quite frankly, I thought about it, 3 unless there's an objection if, you know, if 4 5 Chairman Story's committee has a really good F 6 and T expert of some sort that you want to 7 listen to, and that meeting is in whatever 8 city, I think this commission should pay for 9 your travel to that meeting as well, because I 10 think you should be as informed as members as 11 possible.

And I think you're all adult enough to not take advantage of the trip just to go have a trip. I think you want to gather information, so I think it's incumbent upon this commission to make sure all of you are up to speed. So if you do want to attend another committee meeting for whatever reason, you're certainly able to do that.

And having said that, is there any discussion along these lines?

22 (No response.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. We'll be able to narrow the focus

- as we go forward, but I think we're moving
- along now.

L	Two more issues. Ad hoc committee
2	reports, and I think that Commissioner Moore is
3	going to give us the report on the ad hoc
1	committee and lobbyist registration rules.
5	You're recognized.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We met twice to go over the lobbying rules and with the excellent help of Ms. Skelton and the staff we took a look at all the different registration, current registration rules and regulations and determined that the ones — the joint legislative rules were the most appropriate for us to use with the necessary changes to incorporate our language and take out the word legislature with the exception of the duplication of the reporting requirements.

We recommended yesterday in the meeting with Commissioner Mathis, Rouson, and myself that we not ask the lobbyists to have to duly report, those that are already registered and report as they currently do to the joint legislative commission.

Other than that, we've adopted rules and

24	made only minor adjustments to them so that
25	there will be an ease of application within the

1	lobbying community. But we did choose to use
2	the legislative rules which are stricter than
3	the executive rules, and we're recommending
4	those.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: And I think you've modified
6	those a little bit as well, haven't you,
7	Commissioner?
8	MR. MOORE: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: In all fairness to members,
10	I think you should have the opportunity to review
11	those rules as well as the changes that were made
12	and I'm not going to spring something on you
13	today, not that there's anything bad.
14	MR. MOORE: No.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: But Ms. Skelton will get to
16	you the proposed recommendations of the ad hoc
17	committee, and we will vote on that at the next
18	meeting, if that's acceptable to everyone here.
19	Is there objection to that?
20	(No response.)
21	Ms. Skelton, do you have you were
22	probably going to suggest that, weren't you?

Okay. And, again, I would continue with

24	respect to meals and things like that to take
25	the most conservative approach you can take and

- just go Dutch treat everywhere.
- The ad hoc committee on telephonic
- 3 participation and voting. Commissioner McKee,
- 4 you're recognized.
- 5 MR. MCKEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 Commissioner Les Miller, Commissioner McKay and I
- met as a committee to discuss the ongoing process
- 8 of member participation and voting. And we
- 9 concluded and recommend language to the commission
- 10 that we -- three points in particular, one being
- 11 that we obviously have a physical presence in
- 12 order to constitute a quorum.
- 13 Secondly, that all the attorney general's
- opinions that are out there that are not
- specific to us but create a spirit of the
- intentions of the public are that the physical
- 17 presence of a commission is required and that
- in the absence of an extraordinary
- 19 circumstance, that being defined by the chair,
- it is expected that each commissioner will be
- 21 physically present in order to participate in
- the vote.
- 23 And Commissioner McKay in the absence of

- 24 Commissioner Miller perhaps would like to
- comment on that as well.

1	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKay, you're
2	recognized. No? Okay. Why don't you capsule for
3	me then what you were recommending.
4	MR. MCKEE: That we will have the physical
5	presence of 13 members in order to constitute a
6	quorum, that commissioners will be physically
7	present in order to participate and vote, and
8	should there be an extraordinary circumstance that
9	precludes them from being able to attend, and not
10	as a matter of convenience, that that require the
11	approval of the chair and that approval will be
12	granted, the request for it in writing, the
13	response in writing, and the particular
14	circumstances outlined. So what the rule
15	contemplates is that commissioners will be present
16	in order to participate in voting.

17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioners, is that a motion?

- MR. MCKEE: Yes, sir.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Do we have a second to this motion?
- MR. MCKAY: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKay seconds

- the motion.
- 25 Let's move to the debate side of this. I

1	see Commissioner Martinez has his finger on
2	that button. That means he must.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Susan had her hands up first,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner
6	Story, go ahead, you're recognized.
7	MS. STORY: Mr. Chairman, I'm assuming during
8	our earlier discussion about committee meetings
9	and doing these telephonically, this only applies
10	to the full meeting of the tax and budget reform
11	commission, not the committee meetings, for
12	clarification?
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Mr. McKee, is that correct?
14	You had the motion.
15	MR. MCKEE: Yes, sir, we would that rule
16	would contemplate that.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Since we're in discussion
18	so what you're implying, Commissioner Story, is
19	that committee meetings do not require the
20	presence, the physical presence of members. They
21	can in fact participate and vote by phone.

MS. STORY: I'm asking for debate on that. I

think there's a question, because we earlier said

22

- you can have a committee meeting by telephone.
- Now we're saying that -- we're putting

1	forth a motion that you cannot participate or
2	vote. I do think there's a difference on
3	committees

My personal opinion -- I don't have a problem with saying you don't vote on any recommendations that go to the full commission without physical presence. Participation I am struggling with because I think some of the committee work may get so detailed it may be impossible to only do committee work in person.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKay, you're recognized.

MR. MCKAY: Perhaps there's a misunderstanding on my part. I thought,

Commissioner McKee, that it was voting that one had to present for. We weren't trying to exclude anybody from participation via telephonic communication.

MR. MCKEE: The concern that I had was that we provide no broader range of participation to the commission than we do to the public. And if we open up for telephonic participation by the commission to any degree, then I believe that we

- should extend that same courtesy to the public, or
- 25 that same opportunity.

1	I think that logistically that's
2	impossible. With committees I believe since
3	we're not voting on issues that could affect
4	either the statutes or the constitution that we
5	should have a different standard, a higher
6	standard.
7	So my motion would be specific to the
8	commission meetings, and I would like to hear
9	further debate if the chair is so inclined as
10	to whether physical participation in the
11	committee meetings is necessary.
12	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Let's do what my good
13	friend Dudley Giddam (phonetic) used to say.
14	Let's bifurcate these issues. Let's go ahead and
15	vote on the commission first and then we'll talk
16	about committees second. Commissioner McKay?
17	MR. MCKAY: I think I'm I think I
18	misunderstood the motion. Would you restate the
19	motion, please?
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner.
21	MR. MCKEE: The motion on the floor is
22	multifaceted, first being that we have physical
23	presence of 13 members in order to constitute a

- 24 quorum for commission meetings.
- 25 Secondly, that commission members will be

1	physically	present	in	order	to	participate	and
2	vote.						

And thirdly, that if there are extenuating

circumstances that preclude a member from

attending that with prior approval of the

chair, they may participate.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner McKay.

MR. MCKAY: Mr. Chairman, and with all due respect to Commissioner McKee, I didn't pick up on the requirement for participation that they had to attend so, which I think people ought -- to vote ought be here but not necessarily participate, so I would like to withdraw my second, or if Commissioner McKee would be receptive to an amendment to his motion.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Clarify for me, please,
Commissioner McKay, the participation, what is it
that you have problems with, or concerns about.

MR. MCKAY: I think if somebody wants to -if for some reason I need to remain in Bradenton
but I want to participate -- I want to hear what's
going on, I think I ought to be able to do that. I
think it's important to be here to vote. I think

- 24 the debate is in fact --
- 25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKee.

1	MR. MCKAY: important. I think the
2	telephonic debate is not the most productive
3	debate.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKee, you're
5	saying you should be physically present to both
6	vote and participate?
7	MR. MCKEE: Yes, sir. And the reason I
8	believe that should be the case is that if I read
9	the rules of procedure properly, once you're a
10	participant in the meeting as a commission member
11	you can't abstain from voting, and so I see a
12	little bit of conflict there.
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any debate? Anyone want to
14	jump in? The water is warm. Is there a second to
15	Commissioner McKee's motion?
16	MS. BARNETT: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commission Barnett seconds
18	his motion, and we'll go back into the debate
19	phase. Commissioner, you're recognized.
20	Commissioner Scott.
21	MR. SCOTT: I think they ought to be able to
22	listen in on the proceeding, I mean, so they can

get all the information, could be presentations at

the full meeting. I don't really have a strong opinion about the participation, but at least I

2	the phone and listen to the proceedings.
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Is that in the form
4	of an amendment to the motion?
5	MR. SCOTT: If that's appropriate, yes.
6	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I think you want to amend
7	the motion? Let's vote on whether or not we want
8	to amend that motion, that basically what we're
9	going to vote on, folks, is whether or not you can
LO	listen in on and participate in a commission
11	meeting and you can't vote.
12	All in favor of that amendment say.
13	(Ayes unanimous.)
L 4	All opposed no. The motion the
15	amendment passes. So your motion has been
16	amended, Commissioner McKee, to allow, first of
L 7	all it takes 13 members to participate in terms

of being able to vote, you physically have to

You can participate, but you can't vote by

be there in terms of being able to participate.

telephone; and it takes an extraordinary reason

think they ought to be able to, be able to be on

1

18

19

20

21

22 to in fact miss the meeting. That is the 23 motion as amended on the floor.

24	Now,	you	may	wish	to	withdraw	your	motion
2.5	because o	f t.he	e ame	endmer	nt.	That's	vour (call.

- We can call for a different motion or whatever.
- 2 MR. MCKEE: I wouldn't withdraw the motion --
- 3 I'm not sure procedurally here, but I just have a
- 4 question.
- 5 Once you, when you say participate, do you
- 6 mean give and take? Because my question then
- 7 still would be if you're participating in a
- 8 meeting and you're precluded from abstaining,
- 9 how do you not vote?
- 10 If you're engaged in the dialogue you have
- an obligation to vote as I understand the
- 12 rules.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Could be. How about,
- 14 Commissioner McKay, how about you can listen but
- 15 you can't participate?
- MR. MCKAY: Well, it's his motion.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: You're right.
- 18 MR. MCKAY: I defer to you, Senator Scott.
- 19 MR. SCOTT: Well, that's what I thought I
- 20 was -- I mean, that's what I said, that he should
- 21 be able to listen in. I wasn't thinking about to
- get into a big debate, but, you know, it's
- whatever.

24	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. It sounds as if
25	Commissioner McKee, you don't have problems with

1	them listening in, you have problems with them
2	participating in the meeting, and that was your
3	MR. MCKEE: Yes, sir.

MR. SCOTT: Right. And I would make this

comment. I think whatever we say here we could

change the rules so that if you're on the

telephone you could partici -- you could speak but

you can't vote or something. I think that's up to

us how we want to do that.

But anyway I'll change -- if it's appropriate to say that you can listen in but you can't really engage in -- I guess you could say, you know, I can't hear you or something, but, I mean, as far as a substantive participation.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Commissioner Barnett.

MS. BARNETT: I would take the position -- I

believe that people should have the opportunity to

participate by phone. The issue is whether you

vote. And if you adopt the motion as it's

currently postured, I would take the position that

that amends the rules with regard to this

particular situation so it would supersede.

24	And you may want to clarify the other part	
25	of the rule, but this would in effect amend the	

- rules to the extent that you are participating 1 2 but you not only can abstain, you just don't vote unless you're physically present, so that 3 that will occur by virtue of adopting this 4 motion if it's adopted. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: How about, members, anybody 7 else want to -- is everyone else just sort of okay 8 with whatever? 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. MCKEE: Mr. Chairman, I'd be glad to start over if you'd like. 11 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Why don't you --12 MR. MCKEE: I would like to withdraw my 13 14 original motion. 15 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Without objection show the motion withdrawn. And we will start 16 with -- from square one. 17 Let's take one at a time. I'll entertain 18 a motion that it requires 13 members to 19 20 participate as a majority in the commission 21 meeting.
- MR. MCKAY: So moved.
- 23 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Is there a second?

MR. MILLER: Second.

25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Discussion? Objection?

- Without objection show the motion approved. 1 I will now entertain a motion that in 2 order to vote on anything that comes before 3 this commission you must be present. Is there 4 a motion? 5 6 MR. MCKAY: So moved. 7 MR. SCOTT: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Is there debate? 9 there objection? Without -- seeing none, show 10 that motion approved. We're making progress now. We're going to get there. 11 Now comes the ticklish issue regarding 12 13 telephonic participation. Does anyone want to 14 make a motion regarding telephonic 15 participation? We can vote up or down. MR. MCKEE: T will. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Tell me what it is. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would 18 MR. MCKEE: move that we require the physical presence of a 19 commission member in order to vote on commission 20
- 22 CHAIRMAN BENSE: We've been there. Keep 23 going.

21

business.

24	MR. I	MCKEE:	If there	e are e	xtenuatir	ıg
25	circumsta	nces tha	ıt preclı	ide tha	t person	from

1	attending for purposes of participating and
2	voting, that that consideration be given by the
3	chair at your discretion, and that members be able
4	to participate and not vote by telephone.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Is there a second?
6	MR. MOORE: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Moore seconds
8	that.
9	What he's saying is if a member has
10	received because of extraordinary circumstances
11	approval to not make the meeting but
12	participate in the meeting by telephone, not
13	vote, that that person be allowed to in fact
14	participate in the meeting.
15	That's the motion. Is there a second?
16	MR. MCKAY: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner McKay seconds
18	that. Debate? Commissioner Story.
19	MS. STORY: The only concern I've got is that
20	would require that you put in writing to have it
21	excused. Let's say the commissioner is at an
22	airport, does everything, flight is canceled, they

really want to participate. According to that

- 24 motion I can't even call in and participate when
- it's circumstances beyond my control, and I have

- 1 no time to get an excused absence.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Let me suggest that that,
- 3 perhaps we have a fourth motion that says, if you
- 4 don't get approval you can still call in and
- 5 listen but you can't participate. That would
- 6 cover that, correct?
- 7 MS. STORY: You're still penalized.
- 8 MR. MCKAY: Why don't you leave the
- 9 discretion to the chair?
- 10 MS. STORY: Discretion of the chair.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Discretion of the chair
- 12 sounds good. The motion -- the motion carries.
- 13 Commissioner Barnett, you're recognized.
- MS. BARNETT: I think we're making this too
- 15 complicated. There is a strong presumption that
- the commissioners ought to be physically present
- 17 at commission meetings. It's -- and what I would
- 18 suggest is that we -- that when a commissioner
- 19 cannot attend because of extenuating circumstances
- that it's up to the chair to allow that, to make
- the decision; and if you participate by phone, I
- believe as a member of the commission you ought to
- 23 have the right to vote.

24	Because we're only going to we're going
25	to limit this hopefully to extraordinary

1	circumstances and not, I mean, it could be
2	illness, it could be a plane, it could be a
3	last-minute requirement that you just have to
4	make, be a lot of things.
5	And I think that I'm comfortable leaving
6	that decision up to the chair, but that if you
7	make the decision that it's fine for the person
8	to participate, it ought to come with the full
9	rights of the member of the commission. And
10	that's a pretty simple
11	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Why don't you restate that?
12	We have a motion on the floor already. I think we
13	have a motion.
14	Don't we have Commissioner McKee's motion?
15	Restate what you said, and let's see how
16	that blends in with what we have on the floor
17	now.
18	MS. BARNETT: What I would suggest is that
19	when a commission member is unable to participate
20	physically at a meeting of the commission that at
21	the discretion of the chair, based on whether it's
22	extenuating or extraordinary, those may be

different things, based on the circumstances it

- would be up to the chair to allow that member to
- 25 participate electronically or by telephonically.

1	And	if	they	partio	cipate,	they	have	the	full	rights
2	of -	- - 6	as a	member	of the	comm	issio	n.		

3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Including voting?

MS. BARNETT: Including voting. But that there's a strong preference built into the rules for physical presence at commission meetings and committee meeting. But the reality is that that may not always be possible. And I think we're all comfortable with you making that decision without defining what the circumstances ought to be.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Well, I think we've already passed a motion that deals with you have to physically be there to vote. I think that part of it is going to be problematic, but -- and I think you're very close already, although you're putting more pressure on me as chairman to make these calls, but I think both of your suggestions are very, very close.

Commissioner Scott?

MR. SCOTT: Well, I could see that

Commissioner Story would be in the airport, her

committee has got something up and, you know, she

gets detained, and she ought to be able to

- 24 participate. But I'm sure that the chair would
- grant that. You see what I'm saying?

1	I think if people get stuck like that,
2	they should be able to participate; and we're
3	pretty sure that there won't be any voting. I
4	think that's what we've already adopted, which
5	is the appropriate thing because then you do
6	put a lot of pressure on the chair for to
7	determine whether somebody can vote or not, so
8	•••
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Members, I'll entertain a
10	motion do we have a motion and a second on the
11	floor now?
12	MR. MCKAY: We have a motion on the floor
13	that had a second with a slight not a formal
14	amendment that left some discretion as to whether
15	they can participate in the event of extraordinary
16	events such as missing your flight. That's on the
17	floor.
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: There's a second?
19	MR. MCKAY: That was the second.
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That was second?
21	MR. MCKAY: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Who made the motion, McKee?

MR. MCKEE: Yes, sir.

24 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Restate your motion as well 25 as the subsequent modification of it.

2 present in order to vote. 3 CHAIRMAN BENSE: We passed that. That members be able to vote MR. MCKEE: 4 5 under extraordinary circumstances with the 6 concurrence of the --CHAIRMAN BENSE: No, not vote, participate. 7 8 You mean participate. 9 MR. MCKEE: Participate, excuse me. 10 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Not vote. 11 MR. MCKEE: Under extenuating circumstances with the concurrence of the chair. 12 13 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. 14 MR. MCKEE: And that participation 15 telephonically is permissible with the approval of the chair. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Let's roll. Is there

a -- we have a motion and a second. Any more

debate? I think that's acceptable. All in favor

MR. MCKEE: That members be physically

21 (Ayes.)

18

19

20

1

22 Opposed no.

say aye.

MS. BARNETT: No.

- 24 CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's good. We'll move on.
- 25 And for the record, Commissioner Barnett votes no.

1	Okay. What else do we have here?
2	MS. STORY: The second part of the
3	bifurcation committee, the rules from the
4	committee meeting, Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Let's debate that.
6	Let's talk about it first. Shall we have the same
7	rules in place for committee meetings now as we
8	had for commission meetings? Is that let's
9	talk about that. Commissioner McKay?
10	MR. MCKAY: Well, I think Commissioner McKee
11	was pointing out something good. If we we
12	don't have to vote when we have a telephonic
13	committee.
14	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Story?
15	MS. STORY: Unless, of course, it's not
16	there's some things that we agree to that you kind
17	of say, it could be construed as a vote but it's
18	so minor it wouldn't rise to that level, so
19	like telephonic, you want to say, rules of
20	decorum. Does everybody agree with this?
21	I would hate for somebody to come back and
22	say, no, you can't vote. So I just think we
23	need to be careful in the committee meetings,

- 24 when it comes down to drafting recommendations
- to come to the full commission I agree that

1	should be in person. Preceding that I think
2	the type of decisions aren't relevant or rise
3	to that level.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I see a lot of nodding along
5	those lines. Commissioner Miller?
6	MR. MILLER: Let's not forget the objective
7	of these committees and that is to educate the
8	members on the subject. So if they can
9	participate by phone it should be fine. I just
10	I separate the committee work from the full
11	commission work; and I think we ought to have full
12	participation, including voting on motions coming
13	out of the committee, telephonically.
14	MR. WILKINSON: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. So we have a motion
16	that members can participate in committee meetings
17	by telephone and have voting rights.
18	MS. BARNETT: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN BENSE: There's a second by
20	Commissioner Barnett.
21	Debate. We need to except for final
22	action, except for final action whereby the

committee is in fact sending to the commission

- their recommendations.
- MR. MOORE: Mr. Chairman, I don't know that

1	it makes a difference. That committee activity is
2	going to be ratified or rejected by this full
3	commission, so I don't think that that that you
4	should have any limitation on that.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. So basically all committee meetings can be held by -- or you can participate telephonically and vote. That's the motion and second. Debate.

MR. SCOTT: Do they have -- inquiry of the maker of the motion. Do you got a -- you're going to need a quorum present at these meetings? I would assume it's not going to end up like Congress where nobody is there.

MR. MILLER: I don't see a problem with having a committee meeting that could be conducted telephonically. If you're going to get the education and information disbursed, I think you shouldn't have to have a quorum. That would be left up to the chairman. That's where your chairman, Mr. Chairman of the commission would come into play.

They would decide whether or not we had to have folks together to do this. I'm open on

- it. I just know we've got a lot of work to do,
- 25 and we've got --

1	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Let's leave the quorum out
2	of it for right now. Let's vote on the motion
3	that has been seconded that talks about
4	participation and voting. All in favor say aye.
5	(Ayes unanimous.)
6	Opposed, no. Motion carries.
7	Do we want to debate the quorum aspect of
8	it for a committee meeting? Anybody have any
9	strong feelings either way? Should we have the
10	presence of a quorum for a committee meeting, a
11	physical presence or a telephonic presence?
12	Physical and telephonic combined? Commissioner
13	Story?
14	MS. STORY: Not physical.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. My I think though
16	that for a committee meeting we should have at
17	least six members or whatever the quorum is by
18	physical presence and telephonic presence. I
19	think that would be, that will put more pressure
20	on folks to attend and participate.
21	MS. STORY: Unless it is some meetings
22	could actually be called as a telephonic meeting.

That would be the only exception.

24	CHAIRMAN BENSE:	That's why it	's a
25	combination of the to	wo, combination	of the two.

1	MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I think, Iollowing
2	up, as long as we give notice to the press to do a
3	call-in number, whether or not we're in
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That goes without saying.
5	We would abide by all the proper rules. Okay.
6	Commissioner moves that committee meetings can be
7	attended by your physical presence or your
8	telephonic presence, and even if you're there
9	telephonically you can vote. Actually we approved
10	that.
11	We want to talk about a quorum now. With
12	respect to a quorum, a quorum can be held by or
13	obtained by either a physical and/or a
14	telephonic presence. Is there a second to that
15	motion to Mr. Miller's motion?
16	MR. WILKINSON: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Wilkinson
18	seconds it. Is there debate? Is there objection?
19	Seeing none the motion passes, and we got through
20	some business finally. Sorry, folks. But I do
21	want everyone to participate.
22	Let's move on to let me ask, how are
23	your airplanes looking, folks? How about

24	who	needs	s to	go?	Con	nmi	ssione	er McF	(ay	?
25		MR.	MCKA	AY:	And	I	would	like	to	hear

1	Mr. Calabro's
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: You got to go?
3	MR. MCKAY: Yes, sir.
4	MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Miller.
6	MR. MILLER: Maybe we could ask the
7	indulgence of TaxWatch and ask them to come back.
8	I think a lot of
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I hate that they sat here
10	for so long, but we do want to spend a lot of time
11	with you. I'm so sorry, Dominic. Just don't
12	All right. You'll be first up I promise
13	you at the next commission meeting, and you'll
14	have a lot more to talk about then too because
15	the special session will hopefully be done.
16	Is there any more business to be brought
17	before this commission? Ms. Skelton, an update
18	on rules drafting.
19	MS. SKELTON: Mr. Chair, basically what I
20	would like to say is that based on the actions
21	taken today in the committee, we will be wanting
22	to circulate draft language for consideration for
23	the next meeting where we fill in the blanks.

24	But you	already adopted the skeletal
25	structure.	We would be coming back to insert

- the language that dealt with lobby
- 2 registration, telephonic voting, conflict of
- 3 interest language and those types of things.
- 4 Just to insert those in for the commission's
- 5 final approval.
- 6 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Do we need a motion on that?
- 7 I don't think we need a motion.
- 8 MS. SKELTON: No, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Give everything the
- 10 green light. Kathy Torian, I think you might have
- some things to tell us about as well.
- 12 MS. TORIAN: I do, sir. Very briefly just
- wanted to let you and all the members of the
- 14 audience know that we now have our website live.
- 15 It is FloridaTBRC.org, and just to show you a
- 16 couple of very brief features, I'm going to send
- 17 out an E-mail with more information to all the
- 18 members of the commission to let you know all the
- 19 features of the website, all the details on it,
- 20 I'll E-mail you the link.
- There is also a G-mail account that I'll
- 22 show you within this feature. We are now able
- to receive comments from the general public.

- They will come to a comments at FloridaTBRC.org
- 25 E-mail address and be monitored by the staff in

our office. But each of you commissioners now
also have a G-mail account and the general
public going through the website would be able
to send an E-mail directly to you as well.

So I just wanted to show you that one submit comments page very quickly just so you would see what that looks like as well. Gives them -- they are asked to identify themselves so that you could respond to them if you would like to, and they have an opportunity to give up to a 350-character message.

So we will be receiving those in the office and distribute them to you, to various committees as that may become relevant. If the questions or good input from the general public would be of interest to the committees, we will pass that information along to you.

I would also show you on the contact us page that little E-mail box to the next -- to the right of each of your names is the link to that G-mail account. So I'll click on one very briefly and show you that they -- the general public could now send a message directly to

- 24 Chair Bense. So that's a feature of this
- website.

1	I will send you much more information and
2	the link to all of these things as well as
3	information on how to set up your initial
4	password and access to retrieve your E-mails
5	that come in through this account.

Just want to make you all aware that this
is now live, and we're up and running.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: I would like to -- for all of us to be thinking, especially you, Kathy, about how we get this word out that we want feedback and what we do.

When someone says, what's this taxation and blah, blah, blah, I mean, how do we simplify that, because I would like for us -- I'm sure we can get some free coverage by -- or airtime and newspaper space to, you know, send your comments to the following.

MS. TORIAN: Absolutely. I will put out a press release right away letting the media know, and they may choose to run stories on it or may just follow up with us directly to ask further questions.

But I do think that all the media that

24	have been covering the work of the commission
25	so far will want to share with their readers

- that there is now access for them to give us

 direct comments. So I have a feeling that it

 will be run with by the media.
- We could also certainly hold ad board

 visits and things with media throughout the

 state as you-all are traveling to do town hall

 meetings. In the future we could set aside

 time to educate the media about things that

 you're doing and share the website with them as

 well.
- 11 I'm sure -- certain that there will be
 12 other vehicles to let the general public know
 13 about this, and I'll take advantage of every
 14 one that we hear about.
- MR. MILLER: Mr. Chair, is this linked to MyFlorida.com?
- 17 MS. TORIAN: Yes. There is a linked page and 18 it goes to MyFlorida.com directly to the Senate 19 page, directly to the House page.
- 20 MR. MILLER: We're on my -- this page is on 21 MyFlorida.com?
- 22 MS. TORIAN: They are going to put us up 23 there, yes, sir.

MS. RILEY: Chairman?

25 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes, ma'am?

1	MS. RILEY: This is Nancy Riley, and I want
2	you to know that I am watching you and this
3	meeting online. I'm here in Washington, D.C. and
4	was unable to attend, and it is terrific to be
5	able to be part there of this meeting in the
6	commission here in Washington, D.C. And it's a
7	great picture.
8	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Even of Senator Scott?
9	MS. RILEY: Really. Even Senator Scott.
10	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Thank you, Commissioner
11	Riley, and I forgot to welcome you. Welcome to
12	the meeting.
13	Any more business? Any more
14	Representative or Commissioner Miller moves
15	that we adjourn.
16	(The proceedings concluded at 12:54 PM)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	
5	
6	STATE OF FLORIDA)
7	COUNTY OF LEON)
8	
9	I, LISA D. FREEZE, Notary Public, certify
10	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
11	report the proceedings herein, and that the transcript
12	is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
15	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 18th
19	day of May, 2007.
20	
21	
22	
23	LISA D. FREEZE, RPR, NOTARY PUBLIC

2894	REMING	NO	GREEN	LANE
TALLA	AHASSEE,	, FI	323	8 0
850-8	378-2221	L		