FLORIDA TAXATION AND BUDGET REFORM COMMISSION

IN RE: Committee Meeting

DATE: July 20, 2007

TIME: Commenced at 1:07 p.m.

Concluded at 1:54 p.m.

LOCATION: Knott Bldg., Room 412

Tallahassee, FL

REPORTED BY: MICHELLE C. JONES, RPR, CRR, Notary

Public

ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS 2894 REMINGTON GREEN LANE TALLAHASSEE, FL 32308 (850)878-2221

1	MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:
2	Hoyt "Barney" Barnett Martha W. Barnett
3	Allan Bense Mark Bostick (Appearing via phone.)
4	Mike Hogan Julia Johnson
5	Bruce Kyle Carlos Lacasa
6	Patricia Levesque
7	Alan Levine Roberto "Bobby" Martinez Jacinta Mathis
8	Robert "Bob" McKee Randy Miller
9	Lesley J. "Les" Miller, Jr. Jade Thomas Moore
10	Nancy J. Riley Darryl E. Rouson
11	Susan Story Greg Turbeville (Appearing via phone.)
12	Kenneth "Ken" Wilkinson Brian Yablonski
13	BITAN TADIONSKI
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay, members, the
3	meeting will come to order. And I know some
4	of you have planes you want to catch.
5	Susan, would you call the roll or Kathy call
6	the roll, please? Nancy, call the roll.
7	MS. FRIER: Okay, Commissioner Barney
8	Barnett.
9	MS. BARNETT: Here.
10	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martha Barnett
11	MS. BARNETT: Here.
12	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Bostick.
13	MR. BOSTICK: Here by phone.
14	MS. FRIER: Commissioner D'Alemberte.
15	(No response.)
16	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Haridopolos.
17	(No response.)
18	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Hogan.
19	MR. HOGAN: Here.
20	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Julia Johnson.
21	MS. JOHNSON: Here.
22	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Kyle.

23	(No	response	e.)	
24	MS.	FRIER:	Commissioner	Lacasa
25	MR.	LACASA:	Here.	

```
1
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levesque.
 2
                 MS. LEVESQUE:
                                Here.
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Levine.
 3
                 MR. LEVINE: Here.
 4
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Margolis.
 5
 6
                 (No response.)
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Martinez.
 7
 8
                 MR. MARTINEZ: Here.
 9
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Mathis.
10
                 MS. MATHIS: Here.
11
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKay.
                 (No response.)
12
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner McKee.
13
14
                 MR. McKEE: Here.
15
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Les Miller.
16
                 MR. L. MILLER: Here.
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Randy Miller.
17
18
                 MR. R. MILLER:
                                 Here.
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Moore.
19
20
                 MR. MOORE: Here.
                 MS. FRIER: Commissioner Peterman.
21
```

(No response.)

23	MS. FRIER:	Commissioner Riley.
24	MS. RILEY:	Here.
25	MS. FRIER:	Commissioner Rouson.

1	MR. ROUSON: Here.
2	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Sansom.
3	(No response.)
4	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Story.
5	MS. STORY: Here.
6	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Turbeville.
7	MR. TURBEVILLE: Here by phone.
8	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Wilkinson.
9	MR. WILKINSON: Here.
10	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Yablonski.
11	MR. YABLONSKI: Here.
12	MS. FRIER: Commissioner Scott.
13	MR. SCOTT: Here.
14	MS. FRIER: Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Here. And let the
16	record reflect that there is a quorum for
17	today's meeting.
18	I'm going to skip a little bit. One of
19	our committee chairmen, Chairman Martinez,
20	has a plane he has to catch in about 50
21	minutes, so we're going to go ahead and let
22	him do his committee report and skip out.

23	So Commissioner Martinez, you're	
24	recognized.	
25	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you very much. The	

1	Government Services Committee met today for
2	about two hours. We had an excellent
3	meeting, got presentations from three
4	different groups. The first group included
5	the head of the Florida School Boards
6	Association Wayne Blanton and the head of
7	the Florida Association of District School
8	Superintendents Bill Montford.
9	They also had with them two
10	superintendents, one from Seminole County,
11	small school district, and one from

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

small school district, and one from Hillsborough, large school district.

Essentially, and I want to be fair to them, the record will best reflect what they said, but their presentation focused primarily on the difficulties the impact of the class size amendment has had in the schools. And what they recommended is that although they're not in favor of repeating a class size amendment, they do favor an amendment to it, so that the application of the class size limits will be done at the

23	school wide level, as opposed to at the
24	classroom wide level.
25	They felt that in addition to the fiscal

L	stress that the amendment is causing, it
2	becomes almost impossible in its application
3	at the school level.

So, that was one of the recommendations they had. And I requested that they submit any more recommendations than that one in writing to us.

We also had a presentation from the Collins Center through its executive vice president, Mark Pritchett. And that was a very interesting presentation on innovation and efficiency in education. And they spoke about different educational programs that are currently in effect, including charter schools, the McKay Scholarship Program, the corporate tax credit, the voluntary preK and others.

They felt that the Legislature should have the flexibility, going forward as we look up towards the next 20 years, to be able to enact laws that incorporate these type of innovative programs, that include

23	school choice programs. And they felt that
24	the constitution should be amended so that
25	the limitations which might exist with

1	regards to the Bush vs. Holmes decision
2	doesn't limit the flexibility that the
3	Legislature might need going forward in
4	enacting these innovative educational
5	programs, which they thought were both
6	effective and also efficient and would save
7	the taxpayers money.
8	Lastly we had a presentation from Robert
9	Nabors, a former member of Constitutional
10	Adequacy Commission. And it was a very
11	important presentation, because it is
12	important that we all realize this: He felt
13	in his opinion and we may differ, but he
14	probably is right, that the 1998 amendment
15	dealing with high quality education
16	ultimately empowered the courts as a matter
17	of law to tell the legislators that they
18	should be appropriating more money in order
19	to assure that they are complying with the
20	constitutional mandate.
21	Now, I didn't think that would be
22	necessarily a wonderful idea in its

23	practicality, but he did believe that the
24	law as written and amended in '98 could be
25	ultimately construed that way.

1	I think it was important that our
2	committee members heard that.
3	That was a short summary of what was
4	presented. It was very interested and I'd
5	invite them back to address us in the
6	future.
7	Now, Mr. Chairman, our committee has
8	scheduled the following meetings in which
9	we'll be addressing additional topics: On
L O	August 21, we're going to be addressing
11	community colleges and the state university
12	system; on August 17 we will be addressing
13	health care issues; on August 21, we will be
L 4	addressing public safety, prisons and law
15	enforcement; on September 10, we will be
16	addressing transportation; and then on
L7	September 20, we will be addressing other
18	issues that we have not previously
19	discussed, including environment, water,
20	DCF, and et cetera.
21	And we're going to try to hold all of
22	those meetings, except for the ones that are

23	concurrent with the Tallahassee Commission
24	meeting, either in Orlando or Tampa, near
25	the airport, so that members can get to

1	those meetings a little bit easier than they
2	might be able to get to Tallahassee.
3	Thank you very much.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Are there questions of
5	Chairman Martinez's report?
6	Members, I want to remind you that any
7	member can attend any committee meeting you
8	want, either by phone, in person. If there
9	is an issue coming up before any committee
10	that you would like to learn more about, you
11	have the right and privilege of attending
12	that meeting and participating and things
13	like that.
14	So having said that, thank you, Chairman
15	Martinez.
16	So let's go back to the original agenda.
17	Under Chairman's Remarks, I want to
18	introduce Nancy Frier. She's our new
19	administrative assistant. She just called
20	the roll.
21	She's been with us, she's had many years
22	of service as office manager for the

23	Tallavana Christian School in Havana,
24	Florida, comes highly recommended by our
25	chair our executive director, Susan

1	Skelton.
2	So welcome Nancy.
3	We also have a summer research intern,
4	Paul Soberon-Llort.
5	Paul, where are you?
6	Paul is busy digging in the archives and
7	learning about what previous commissions did
8	and things like that. So he's a bright
9	young man and thank you for volunteering
10	your time. We really do appreciate it.
11	Also, joining our staff as of this
12	morning, is Ann Gordon. Ann has extensive
13	experience. Ann stand up. She's right
14	there. Ann has extensive experience.
15	She'll be senior budgeting analyst, that was
16	her role with the Florida Legislature.
17	She's worked for the Florida House and other
18	agencies with I think the Senate, too.
19	You were with the Senate. That wasn't as
20	fun as the House, was it?
21	Ann is very bright and I have a great
22	deal of respect for her and I'm very happy

23	that she agreed. We visited yesterday, and
24	this morning she decided to come on board.
25	So look forward to working with you, Ann.

1	Having said that, let's continue with
2	any questions? Let's continue with the
3	reports on committees. How about the
4	Planning and Budgetary Processes Committee?
5	MR. LACASA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
6	I'll be brief. We had two presentations
7	before our committee today, one from Cynthia
8	Kelly, staff director of the Senate Fiscal
9	Policy Committee and one from Dr. Jim
10	Zingale, executive director of Florida
11	Department of Revenue.
12	Ms. Kelly gave us information on how the
13	State budget is crafted every year,
14	including information about the estimating
15	conference process. We asked for specific
16	recommendations on revisions to the
17	constitution in connection with the
18	budgeting process of the state.
19	Ms. Kelly felt that there were no
20	changes necessary at this time. That the
21	system worked perfectly well.
22	Next with Dr. Jim Zingale, we got an

23	extensive and very interesting presentation
24	on demographics in the state, demographic
25	trends in the state, population moves and so

Т	Torchi. And what that presentation basicarry
2	led us to is that we need to dig further
3	with the Office of Academic and Demographic
4	Research and overlay the information they
5	can provide us with the demographics that
6	Dr. Zingale provided.
7	He was cautious to point out that he's a
8	revenue collector not a projector, that's a
9	past life for him. So we will eagerly look
10	for the next meeting to schedule, hopefully
11	schedule the DR for the presentation.
12	That's it, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Any questions?
14	Mr. Zingale has been using that "I'm a
15	collector" line for a long time. I can't
16	pull a thing out of him. I know he has all
17	kinds of ideas.
18	Any questions?
19	Chairman Levine, Governmental Procedures
20	and Structure Committee. Do we have he
21	probably had to catch a plane. Anyone else
22	that was on that committee who attended that

23	committee, that might want to report?
24	Commissioner Levesque recognized.
25	MS. LEVESQUE: We started off with a

1	presentation from Ben watkins, Director of
2	State Bonding, on debt affordability and
3	bonding affordability.
4	Then we had a presentation by Mr. Nabors
5	who served the Constitution Revision
6	Commission, giving us kind of a historical
7	context for some of the provisions in the
8	Constitution. He was going to get to some
9	of those adequacies I think and presented in
10	Chairman Martinez's committee, but ran out
11	of time.
12	Debby Kearney, who is the current
13	general counsel of the Department of
14	Education, gave us an overview of kind of
15	the differences between Federal constitution
16	and State constitution and why we have so
17	many more things in the State constitution.
18	Tom Cibula started walking us through
19	some issues that we had identified prior to
20	our committee meeting and we just began
21	discussions on a handful of those items.
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: You did better than

23	Levine did.
24	Any questions of Commissioner Levesque?
25	Any questions? Okay.

1	Finally, Chair Story, the Financial
2	Taxation Committee.
3	MS. STORY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We
4	also had presentations from the same folks
5	that did the Planning and Budgetary
6	Processes. Dr. Zingale gave us a very nice
7	break down of revenue streams for the State
8	of Florida. It did not include property
9	taxes, as that was deemed more local.
10	We looked at general revenue, versus
11	all the ear marked revenue, versus the
12	federal funds, grants. We were able to go
13	through the primary the relative
L 4	percentages of revenue from general revenue,
15	had a great outline looking at 2005. 2006
L6	is the last year of actuals. Again, he did
L7	a great job.
L8	We have asked that we get that same
L9	data, the same format, for 20 year
20	projections looking at the revenue streams.
21	We also asked that we be able to add the
22	property tax projections on those same ones.

23	We also looked at had a discussion
24	about appropriations outside of the general
25	appropriations budget. We also had

1	discussion about the demographic assumptions
2	that go into both the revenue projections as
3	well as budgeting implications of not just
4	age changes but also ethnicity changes in
5	the State.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Then Cynthia Kelly gave us a good presentation on budgeting structure.

We then, as a committee, have decided that in addition to utilizing the expertise of professionalism of the State staff, that we thought it would be helpful to hire an outside firm or an organization to give us a look at the tax structure of Florida, the 20 year projections and the sufficiencies of the tax structure of Florida as it relates also to the ability to meet governmental spending, expenditure requirements.

We have gone through a list of the scope of what we would like for the committee to I can go through that now, or you can review the minutes of our meeting.

We have laid out a pretty detailed scope

23	of work we would like this group to do. In
24	addition we would like it to be done in the
25	next three months, so that it is done before

1	we	start	homeland	recommendations	on	our	Tax
2	and	l Finar	nce Commit	tee.			

We also went through the process of how to select that firm. We do not have to go through the formal RFP process, but we do want to make sure we go through the State's vetted list and that we look at any companies that are capable of doing the required scope of work the way we outlined it. Susan Skelton, her staff are going to provide us with that list.

In addition to that, we decided that with the predominant work, as far as the education on the big picture, revenue streams, expenditure projections, and any gaps in how we'll meet those gaps, but understanding that several members of our committee, as well as the commission have interest in major areas in the tax and finance area.

We brainstormed a list of areas that we thought we needed specific education and

23	option analysis on, that members of our
24	committee would take the lead on. And we
25	would also open that up to other members of

-		_		•
1	+ h 🗅	C_{Omm}	170	sion.
1	LIIC	COIIIII	LLDi	O TOIL

2	When we brainstormed that list, we said
3	in addition to the general education that
4	we're getting on tax and financial spending,
5	that we there is a big interest in
6	looking at the area of property tax,
7	specifically portability; highest and best
8	use; just value; fairness in equity and
9	assessment requirements. The issue of
10	required local efforts as it relates
11	particularly to education, funding and
12	spending; the assumptions that go into the
13	demographic analysis and how those relate to
14	expenditures and revenue streams in the
15	future. The entire look at the sales tax
16	base. Should it be expanded, what are the
17	components, who are the projections in each
18	area of the components? We need a total
19	look at that.
20	And in addition to the collections
21	process, what are the strengths of the

collections process, what are we leveraging,

23	what are we not leveraging?
24	The issue of conservation financing
25	funding as we are doing more and more in

1	conservation	in	the	state	and	land
2	practices.					

So we had an initial volunteering of people in the Tax and Finance Committee.

The charge is not to develop recommendations. The charge at this point is to go out, get information, come back to our full committee and educate us in-depth on these areas, as well as the range of options, what has been discussed in the public arena, what has been debated as to options, just so our committee gets more information in these particular areas.

Chairman Bense noted that, number 1, there may be other commission members that want to work with these committee members to look into the education -- the educational needs, research needs we need. He also clearly said that there may be other topics that we would want to entertain with this type of look, where we are basically saying while the committees are doing the work,

23	that we are looking at committee and
24	commission members to be assigned to bring
25	further education and information to us.

1	We also agreed that we needed weekly
2	conference calls, in addition to
3	face-to-face meetings. And we are exploring
4	the technology of Susan Skelton, her staff,
5	of a service that we can do Powerpoint and
6	conferencing on the Internet. So that it
7	would preclude the use of this much travel,
8	so we can get more participation as we go to
9	much more frequent meetings.
10	That's what we did, Chairman Bense.
11	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Okay. Are there any
12	questions about Chairman Story's committee
13	meeting? Many of you were there.
14	Okay. Since there are no questions, we
15	will move on.
16	Is there any unfinished business out
17	there? Anything to take care of?
18	New business. With respect to the
19	schedules for committees, just a heads up, I
20	want to remind you, you can meet weekly, you
21	can meet every other day if you want to as a
22	committee. It's up to the committee chair.

23	The last thing I want is for us to be
24	criticized for not having looked at as many
25	issues as we can within the time frame we

1	have to work in. So I try my best to attend
2	all conference calls, as many committee
3	meetings as I can, will continue to do that.
4	But be sure to coordinate though with Susan
5	Skelton if you want to have a committee
6	meeting, you need to we have time frames,
7	notifications, things like that. So be sure
8	to work with Susan, Director Skelton on when
9	you want to have those meetings.

Next, we passed out a proposed public hearing schedule for travels around the State. You -- we have suggested that we -- well, you see it, Jackson on September 20; Tampa on the 4th of October; Orlando the 5th of October; Fort Myers the 10th, Miami the 11th; Fort Lauderdale the 12th and Fort Walton Beach on the 19th. That covers sort of the larger broader parts of the state.

The Fort Lauderdale area, and I can't take credit, but chairman -- Commissioner

Scott was lobbying to have more meetings in southeast Florida, because there are a lot

23	of people in southeast Florida, clearly.
24	So this not cast in stone. We can look
25	at it for a while longer. We're in

1	that's two months from now, September 20
2	meeting. We would like to get your feedback
3	on it.
4	Is there anyone that despises this
5	concept of where we're going to meet?
6	Let's talk about first of all the where.
7	Does anyone wish to add more locations on
8	there at all?
9	Commissioner McKee.
10	MR. McKEE: Mr. Chairman, if we're
11	looking to meet any particular demographic
12	to have present at our meetings, I would
13	suggest perhaps we could host one in the
14	Village. If turnout is an issue, I can
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: We'll get there.
16	MR. McKEE: I can assure you, about 1000
17	people
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's pretty close.
19	How far is that from Orlando? It's an hour
20	away from Orlando.
21	MR. McKEE: Forty-five minutes.
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Forty-five minutes

23	away. Let's take that under advisement
24	right now. I do know that there is a huge
25	population there, what, fifty, sixty

1	thousand people there.
2	MR. McKEE: I watched, as we all have,
3	some of the other tax related public
4	meetings around the State this past year and
5	the turn out was far from exceptional.
6	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I'm not worried about
7	turnout in the Village.
8	MR. McKEE: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: We'll take that under
10	advisement, if that's okay with the other
11	members.
12	What about times? These dates? Does
13	anyone have problems with these dates?
14	Commissioner Hogan? We're doing
15	Jacksonville.
16	MR. HOGAN: I saw that first off too.
17	Very happy with that.
18	The Fort Myers, Miami and Fort
19	Lauderdale, they're not really that close
20	together. Is there a reason why Fort Myers
21	is lumped in there?
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Well, originally we

23	were going to do one in north Dade County as
24	I recall, we only had two. Technically to
25	meet in Fort Myers, you jump on 75, get on

```
the -- take the Alligator --
 2
                 MR. HOGAN: How many hours?
                 CHAIRMAN BENSE: About two hours.
 3
 4
            Something like that. Is that right, you
 5
            all?
 6
                 MR. LACASA: Yeah.
 7
                 CHAIRMAN BENSE: About two and a half
 8
            hours. Come on, you can do it.
9
                 MR. HOGAN: Windshield time.
                 CHAIRMAN BENSE: It's all four lane
10
11
            highway.
                 MR. HOGAN: Is there a toll road over
12
13
            there?
14
                 CHAIRMAN BENSE: If you don't leave at
            3:00. That 3:00 to 6:00 traffic on I-75
15
16
            around Naples is pretty bad.
17
                 Fort Myers to Miami, basically. You
            have to go through Naples to get to I-75.
18
            Do you have a problem, Commissioner Scott?
19
20
                 MR. SCOTT: No.
21
                 CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Rouson.
22
                 MR. ROUSON: Thank you very much.
```

23	thought about Gainesville as an option. I
24	was thinking that the University of Florida
25	has a tax program there that might be

T	something good for the students as well as
2	the professors as well as other residents in
3	that area. I don't know whether or not that
4	was something
5	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Are there comments?
6	Are there comments on that? How about if we
7	do as we did with the Village? Take that
8	under advisement and see if we can't squeeze
9	it in there.
10	Commissioner Mathis.
11	MR. MATHIS: Chairman, I wanted to know
12	if we had considered having some of these
13	public hearings in more rural areas. I
14	think we've covered the more urban areas in
15	our state very well. And don't hold this
16	against me, but Pensacola, which I think has
17	a totally different feel, and maybe there
18	are some other one or two rural areas that
19	we may want to bring this issue.
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Well, Fort Walton I
21	live in Panama City, so I kind of thought
22	you go off in both communities there to

23	cover you'll get Washington, Holmes
24	County, they'll all go to Fort Walton Beach
25	for that meeting. But there are you

1	know, when you look at Columbia County,
2	probably, down in central Florida, Henry
3	County, those Highlands County, those are
4	sort of left out. And how about if we take
5	that under advisement as well, if that's
6	acceptable to everybody.
7	Commissioner Story?
8	MS. STORY: One thing I would recommend,
9	I like the point of rural, the rural areas
10	of critical economic concern, the three
11	major rural regions in the state have
12	meetings now. It might be that we can find
13	out Enterprise, Florida and once a year or
14	twice a year they actually meet together in
15	the three rural areas, northeast, north
16	central and the southern central part.
17	So it may be to coordinate a meeting
18	like that where we could have a hearing, we
19	could really get rural input from several of
20	the rural areas without going to one
21	location.
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Very, very good point.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: Very, very good point.

23	We'll take Gainesville, the Village and
24	rural areas under advisement.
25	So we have a minimum of seven trips

1	scheduled already, and we'll take a look at
2	the other ones. And Susan Skelton and I
3	will review it.
4	Yes, sir, Chairman Lacasa.
5	MR. LACASA: Would it be possible to
6	swap Fort Lauderdale dates with Fort Myers?
7	In other words, put Fort Myers on Friday and
8	Fort Lauderdale on Wednesday? Because of
9	travel time and going into the weekend.
10	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I don't have any
11	does anyone have a problem with that?
12	We'll swap them.
13	MR. LACASA: Thank you.
14	MR. R. MILLER: What was the swap?
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Fort Lauderdale goes to
16	Wednesday, Fort Myers goes to Friday. Is
17	that correct? Chairman Lacasa, is that
18	correct?
19	MR. R. MILLER: Fort Myers is on Friday?
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.
21	MR. R. MILLER: We'll have to fly to
22	Atlanta to get back to Tallahassee from Fort

23	Myers?
24	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's a problem,
25	Chairman Lacasa. You can't fly to fly to

1	Fort Myers, you've got to go to Atlanta.
2	MR. LACASA: Okay, not a problem.
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I did not think about
4	that, and it will be a problem. There are
5	direct flights from Miami to Fort Lauderdale
6	to Tallahassee. A lot of folks are from
7	this part of the world. So we'll flip it
8	back.
9	Any more comments on travel? And we
10	will leave those three dates that we talked
11	about that are mentioned. We'll take it
12	under advisement.
13	MR. R. MILLER: Mr. Chair, as far as
14	starting off with the Jacksonville meeting,
15	what time of day do you expect to get
16	started, and what is the expected length?
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Let's talk about that.
18	Let's talk about that. We've all been to
19	public meetings before, public hearings, a
20	lot us have. Do we want to do it after
21	work, so people don't have to miss work to
22	go to these meetings, or can we do it at

23	lunchtime	? What's	your t	houghts?	L	et's
24	debate it	. Chairm	an Scot	t.		
25	MR.	SCOTT: I	don't	think	I	don't

	chillik chac you can do roit hadderdare on a
2	Friday night, unless you're going to have it
3	out on the beach at the bars.
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Good point.
5	MR. SCOTT: I mean, seriously. I mean,
6	Friday night is not necessarily even
7	Fridays are a little tough for these public
8	hearings. But if you have to, do it in the
9	morning down there. But the others it's
10	totally flexible with me, I mean as far as
11	the
12	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Noon time, mid
13	afternoon meetings, or because that does
14	get you back the same day. If we meet at
15	night at 7:00 and it runs until 10:00 or
16	11:00, it's a bit difficult for you to get
17	back that day. Or you can spend the night
18	in that city and fly back in the morning.
19	Chairman Hogan Commissioner Hogan.
20	MR. HOGAN: I can tell you Jacksonville
21	folks like them at night. They even require
22	city council to continue the meetings in the

23	evenings, a few city councils where that's
24	done. Of course we're serving the whole
25	northeast Florida region, so we'll be

serving Lake City and Gainesville right now,

2	unless that's considered St. Augustine.
3	I don't think you're going to find a
4	perfect time, you do it in the day or the
5	evening, we'll probably get some kind of
6	complaint. But Jacksonville I do know that
7	citizens like the afternoon, so they can get
8	off work
9	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Why don't we try to
10	split them up. Why don't we have some
11	during the day and some in the evening.
12	That way we can appeal to everyone.
13	Obviously more senior citizens are going to
14	attend the daytime meetings easier and might
15	prefer a daytime meeting rather than an
16	evening meeting.
17	So why don't we just split them up and
18	we'll figure out how we'll apportion them
19	between Susan and I, if that's acceptable to
20	the members of the commission.
21	Okay, because you're right, Jacksonville
22	does like the night meetings.

23	Moving on, discussion of the procedure
24	for introduction of proposals of the
25	commission.

We're to the point now where our
committees are fully engaged. And just to
rehash sort of a game plan that we came up
with to get to May 8, this month and next
month continue to gather information, listen
to experts, Jim Zingales of the world, et
cetera, to learn more about what we have.
And then in September and October, obviously
we travel to get feedback from people. But
let's talk about how we propose how I
envision an issue moving its way through
this commission.
Let's just take an example of

Let's just take an example of

Commissioner Yablonski's conservation issue.

I'm not sure what the details of it are, but
he has an issue that deals with conservation
easements, that deals with taxation in some
way or fashion, or how we fund them. My
vision of how that issue would bubble up and
move forward is Commissioner Yablonski would
more or less sponsor that proposal. Much
like a bill in Legislature, a bill is

23	assigned a bill number. I think we assign
24	these proposals, we give these proposals a
25	number. If there are two or three members

1	that have the same proposals, hopefully I
2	can encourage them to get together and work
3	on one joint proposal.

Let's just take portability, for example. We all know Ken, Commissioner Wilkinson, is going to have something on portability, there may be others that want something on portability. Hopefully we can get them together to have one proposal that moves forward.

But back on to Commissioner Yablonski's conservation easement, at some point in time Commissioner Yablonski would present that proposal to the relevant committee, much like you would present a bill to a committee. And if you've done your homework in terms of research, econometric models or whatever it might take to help persuade members of that committee to be in favor of your issue, I think that the committees then take up that issue and they would vote it up are down.

23	If a majority of the members of that
24	committee want to send that bill, recommend
25	that bill to the commission, the full

1	commission, then Chairman Commissioner
2	Yablonski moves forward to the next level,
3	to the commission

I envision during the months of
September, October, November, December,
committees in addition to gathering
information, you're going to be seeing
individual proposals from commissioners.

And I would suspect at some point in time
those special interest groups that are out
there will probably be lobbying with members
of the commission to be the mother of their
-- the sponsor of their proposal.

And I think this is a fair way to move issues through, because I do want to make sure they get heard and modified. I think these proposals should be in writing, much like a bill is in writing. And during the committee process, if someone would like to make a change to that proposal, I don't think it should be a verbal change, I think it should be reduced to writing, so we know

23	exactly what we're voting on as far along
24	this process as we can.
25	Again, assume that bill is recommended

1	to the commission favorably, then the
2	commission and my visions are in January,
3	February and March, maybe as late as April,
4	we are taking up these issues and we vote
5	them up or down. That's where it takes the
6	2/3 vote to actually vote up or down the
7	proposal.
8	So, having said that and I'm not sure
9	if today we would want to get into the
10	individual nuances of how you could amend
11	the bill and, you know, is it timely or not
12	timely, all of those issues, but as a
13	concept of how we move forward, those are my
14	ideas. They're not cast in stone, and I
15	bring them up for you to discuss and debate.
16	Chairman Commissioner Barnett, you're
17	recognized.
18	MS. BARNETT: I generally like that
19	approach. I think model it after a
20	legislative process is one people are
21	familiar with and it will be very helpful.
22	Two comments. I think it would be

23	appropriate for us to ask our staff to try
24	to draft up some language so that it's
25	clearly articulated what the process is.

1	And the second comment is I believe our
2	current rules contemplate the full
3	commission could take up a matter that a
4	committee votes considered and recommended
5	that it not be approved. The full
6	commission could still take that up.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That's correct. That's
8	correct. Commissioner Chairman Lacasa,
9	you're recognized.
10	MR. LACASA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
11	sincerely appreciate your approach to this
12	and I'm very happy you were able to address
13	it today, because the things are starting to
14	bubble up, no question about it.
15	I have two questions, sir: First, with
16	respect to drafting specific proposals, will
17	we have staff available to us?
18	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes.
19	MR. LACASA: So, we would feed them
20	information. Much as we would be drafting
21	an
22	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Yes, exactly.

23	MR. LACASA: And with respect to the
24	financial impact analysis associated with
25	these proposals, who would we get for that?

1	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That would be let's
2	say it's a very, very complicated issue that
3	would require experts, independent experts,
4	like a Deloitte & Touche. While you would
5	request that of staff, frankly as Chair I
6	think I should make that call, in terms of
7	who we should hire. Because I don't think
8	we need to have 10 different people hiring
9	different consultants. I think it needs to
10	be funneled through one person. And I'll be
11	fair about it. But I do think we need to
12	keep a handle on it.
13	That's how I envision. We will use as
14	much staff as we can and staff hopefully
15	will utilize the work that the House and
16	Senate and Governor's office have done.
17	OWB has for example on portability,
18	OWB has a wealth of information on numbers
19	and they could do the \$300,000, \$400,000,
20	\$500,000 number as well.
21	So I would like to see us utilize what's
22	out there, without having to pay for it, but

23	there are some independent analysis that I
24	think needs to be done outside of the
25	legislative process.

1	MR. LACASA: It would be my hope,
2	Mr. Chairman, that we could use as much of
3	the legislative stuff as possible and
4	administrative staff as possible. The
5	staff, that's all they do.
6	My last question, with respect to the
7	Sunshine Law, while I completely agree with
8	you that there are members that are going to
9	want to cosponsor similar member's measures,
10	it becomes very, very tenuous when we try to
11	coordinate those efforts, given that we have
12	the Sunshine Law.
13	I would like some guidance from counsel
14	or the Chair on that issue.
15	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I think you would go
16	through Susan Skelton. She is your clear
17	answer. If you want again, you cannot
18	talk to another member about any issue that
19	will come before this commission, so I think
20	you would use Susan Skelton as a sounding
21	board, so to speak. That would be my

recommendation.

23	Commissioner Miller.
24	MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I understand
25	where you're going with this, the amendment

з.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I was just talking to Commissioner Barnett and she's been around for -- I 4 shouldn't say it a while, but we've talked about the rules. And the rules in a way address the anticipation of having these 7 proposals. I would suggest that staff puts together the proposals, with the process of how we do these. And in the proposal, how we do the process.

> You've been around, you know the process can get sometimes very convoluted. have some bumps in the road. And that we vote on those should be also included in our I think they must be included in our rules. If we're going to have a process on rules. how we're going to do proposals, it should be within the rules.

> I think we're going to then have to vote on the process, and then vote on it to go further.

22 So, I think that that's the proper way.

23	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I think that's what
24	Commissioner Barnett recommended.
25	MS. BARNETT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BENSE: And I agree.

2	Chairman Commissioner Hogan, you're
3	recognized.
4	MR. HOGAN: Thank you, Chairman. Just a
5	quick question, because you know we served
6	in the House before and we were restricted
7	to three bills. So is it three ideas that
8	we're going to be restricted? Or are we
9	going to use the Senate's rules and just as
LO	many ideas as they we would like to propose?
11	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Miller
12	said you're not going to meet in the Senate
13	building, you can have as many as you want.
L 4	No, let's talk about that for just a
15	minute. It's part of my discussion and it
16	comes at an appropriate time. At some point
١7	we have to take a look at how many proposed
18	constitutional limits this commission wants
19	to generate. Do we want to do we want to
20	put 30 items on the ballot? And I think we
21	do. I could be wrong. Or do we want to
22	keep it to two or three? And obviously,

23	getting to $2/3$ votes initially are going to
24	be tough anyway, any issue. It's going to
25	be very difficult. I think because there

1	are a lot of free thinkers around here and
2	none of us are slouches on this commission.
3	So we need to be and I will tell you, I
4	will confess, I'm not trying to set the
5	record for the number of times we amend the
6	Constitution here through this commission.
7	Don't interpret that as nothing is going to
8	happen, I'm just saying I want to make sure

we're careful.

Also members, it's a 60 percent threshold. Even if it gets through this commission, it's 60 percent threshold to go past the people. That's a pretty sporty threshold too.

So, I'm not sure -- I think we need to be careful and I would advise committee chairs to be careful of how much time you spend on an issue that ain't going to make it, you know. I mean, there are some that are just -- you know, you have to push hard to get the 60 percent. I don't want to stifle, don't interpret that as saying I

23	don't want anything to come up that's
24	controversial, I just want to make you
25	mindful of the fact that I think we need to

L	be care	ful about	cluttering	up	and	having
2	too man	y issues	up there.			

For example, if we have seven or eight separate property tax proposals that come on the ballot, at some point folks are going to say look, if these all pass, what's the fiscal impact? So I'm going to vote against them all. I'm just saying we need to be very careful of that.

And my instincts tell me, as we hit in the February, March, April period, things are going to get pretty warm around here.

And we're going to be hearing from a lot of our friends that you haven't heard from in a long time. They're going to be calling you up, you know, buddy, oh buddy, old pal, remember me. So that's the way the -- that's nature of this commission.

So, be cognizant of if you do have issues you want to push, you know -- and frankly, folks, it should be difficult to pass an issue. It should be difficult to

23	amend the Constitution of Florida.
24	So, I don't want to stifle you, but I
25	want to make sure, you know, that we follow

1	the	ru]	Les	

And we're going to hear some issues from
the public I would anticipate, September and
October, that we're going to say man, that's
a good idea. We need to -- we need to push
that one.

So, Commissioner Miller.

MR. R. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, just a point of clarification now. When you talk about a proposal being similar to a bill, you know we have a Style and Drafting Committee. You're not talking about the final language to be placed on the ballot, you're talking about the concept that would come through, with enough detail in it to understand how it will impact the tax system or the budgeting system of the state. And then you as the chairman would be the person who would assign it to the appropriate committee; is that correct?

Unless there is an objection. I don't know.

I would think so.

CHAIRMAN BENSE:

- 23 I don't know.
- MR. R. MILLER: I would think that would
- 25 be appropriate.

1	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Commissioner Barnett?
2	MS. BARNETT: I'm not sure I understand
3	completely what Randy is asking you. I
4	believe that at some point early in the
5	process, language in the proposed amendment
6	is critical. And you know, the difference
7	between an "a" and a "the"
8	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Oh, yes.
9	MS. BARNETT: is a very important
10	difference. And so Style and Drafting
11	certainly can clean up and make sure the
12	language is consistent and that the
13	amendment itself, which may contain several
14	different proposals, you know, is
15	technically and accurately correct. But I
16	think it's the burden of the commissioner or
17	the committee to actually have the language
18	that we're going to consider. Because
19	otherwise
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Oh, yes.
21	MS. BARNETT: you may be voting on
22	something conceptually, but when put into

23	words is different than a different
24	impact.
25	CHAIRMAN BENSE: In my feedback from

some of the previous TBRC commissions and
revision are that the final product was a
little bit different than what some had
envisioned it would be, either in terms of
recommendations, or what ultimately went on
the ballot. So I would think we want to
make sure that what goes on the ballot is in
fact what is intended to go on the ballot.

I think -- let me clarify this,

Commissioner, at what point in time are you suggesting that the Style and Drafting

Committee get involved? Is it initially, when the commission member has the idea or thought, or is it after that person has drafted a proposal and say it's gone through the committee process, perhaps Style and Drafting kind of cleans it up in between committee and commission, or is it after it's voted out by the commission, before we actually put it on the ballot, if that's when you envision the Style and Drafting

Committee moving forward? What are your

23	thoughts	?		
24	MS.	BARNETT:	Well, certa	ainly starting
25	from the	back end.	You know,	if it's going

1	to go on the ballot, that is the primary
2	responsibility of Style and Drafting, to
3	make sure that that language not only
4	reflects what the commission actually
5	passed, but then meets all the technical
6	requirements of the constitution, the
7	statute. So that I think that is a primary
8	responsibility.
9	At the other end of initiating a
10	proposal, I think that's something that our
11	staff can do, working with individual
12	committees and commissioners.
13	Whether Style and Drafting gets involved
14	before the full commission has taken a vote
15	on it or not, I'd want to hear some
16	discussion about. But I would suspect that
17	its role would be more limited to actually
18	the language for the either the
19	Legislature, if it's a statutory change, or
20	the constitution.
21	CHAIRMAN BENSE: That was my concept of
22	the Style and Drafting Committee.

23	Think about this too, after it leaves
24	Style and Drafting does it go back before
25	the commission so they can have one last

1	look at it, or does it go straight to the
2	ballot? What I worry about, getting a
3	Ping-Pong going, where it leaves Style and
4	Drafting, comes back to the commission and
5	commission members say no, that's not what
6	was intended, but I think the commission
7	should have last say, so let's debate that
8	for a minute.
9	Commissioner Barnett.
10	MS. BARNETT: I think that it's very
11	important that the document that ultimately
12	gets presented, particularly for
13	constitution purposes, and I feel the same
L 4	about statutory, is that the commission take
15	a final vote on that document in the words
L6	that are actually going to go on the ballot.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I'm fine with that.
L8	MS. BARNETT: So at some point the
L9	commission needs to say this is
20	CHAIRMAN BENSE: This is
21	MS. BARNETT: And you've got the
22	appropriate number of votes.

23	MR.	LACASA:	Mr.	Chairman.
24	CHAI	RMAN BENS	SE:	Chairman Lacasa.
25	MR.	LACASA:	That	's very similar to a

1	second and third reading process that the
2	Legislature
3	CHAIRMAN BENSE: The only difference is
4	with respect to the Style and Drafting
5	Committee, they're coming in after it's
6	still on the third reading and pass, and
7	then it goes to the Governor and whatnot.
8	In this case, we're submitting it to one
9	more committee and then back to the body
10	itself.
11	So, again, you all think about that.
12	Again, I don't think we have to put that in
13	writing in stone right now, but I think
14	that's a fair way for us to make we don't
15	want to get sued, I don't think the Supreme
16	Court can tinker with things that we come up
17	with, but people can file some suits.
18	MR. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Chairman Wilkinson.
20	MR. WILKINSON: I think some issues are
21	further along than others, so it would be
22	more an individual basis, probably, how it

23	gets	through.
24		I'm going to have to apologize
25		CHAIRMAN BENSE: We're about done.

1	MR. WILKINSON: I had prior plans.
2	CHAIRMAN BENSE: We're about done.
3	MR. LEVINE: The only
4	CHAIRMAN BENSE: Chairman Levine, I'm
5	sorry, you're welcome to join us down here,
6	if you want. What time did you get here?
7	MR. LEVINE: It's kind of nice being in
8	the back row.
9	The only concern I would have about
10	having it go to Style and Drafting and then
11	not come back here, is one could make an
12	argument, technically, that the commission
13	never voted on that language. And it would
14	be unconstitutional. So there might be
15	legal reasons why it really should come back
16	here.
17	CHAIRMAN BENSE: I'm inclined to head
18	that way. I just want to make sure that you
19	folks have thought about it. Because I
20	think now is the time to think about that.
21	We don't want to come up with that, solve
22	that problem in January or February.

23	Commissioner Scott?
24	MR. SCOTT: I think you're totally on
25	the right track. And I think Style and

1	Drafting, the way we did it on
2	constitutional revision, was, you know, you
3	got you can see that you had the support
4	to do something on the commission, and then
5	they went over it with a fine looked it
6	over, this is what we had to do to make that
7	change and this is the way it should be
8	worded and then it came back for final final
9	vote for it to go to the I think that's
10	something that we will deal with when we get
11	along.

I think that what you need for proposals, doesn't have to be because we don't have the staff or the time to do like in the Legislature, where there is 3000 bills filed or 800 and every one of them is sort of done by bill drafting letter perfect. I think if you go with a concept to amend the Constitution, you know, to do so and so, and then we find out maybe, I don't want to say half of the ones that are proposed don't really have enough support to

23	get into that level.
24	So I think it's just going to be a
25	judgment thing as you go along, how many you

1	get and the concepts. And then before
2	they're voted very far along, they get
3	drafted properly, like Martha says. And
4	they're you've got the you know,
5	everything is set forth. So I think that
6	will work.
7	CHAIRMAN BENSE: We will come up with a
8	proposal in the next couple of weeks, as
9	Commissioner Barnett recommended, in terms
10	of procedurally how we go forward, from this
11	step forward. But I'm envisioning
12	something, generally speaking, about like
13	what we talked about today. Feel free to
14	change it, modify it, do whatever you want
15	to do with that.
16	Any more issues? Are we everyone
17	have a good feel now for how the process
18	moves along and and I think it also
19	should help the public figure out how we
20	operate and find a good sponsor and things
21	like.

22 Any more items to come before this

23	commission	?				
24	Good,	then	Commissioner	Barnett	moves	we
25	adjourn.					

1	(Proceedings	concluded	at	1:54	p.m.)
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	COUNTY OF LEON)
6	
7	I, MICHELLE C. JONES, Registered
8	Professional Reporter, certify that the foregoing
9	proceedings were taken before me at the time and
10	place therein designated; that my shorthand notes
11	were thereafter translated under my supervision;
12	and the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 52 are
13	a true and correct record of the aforesaid
14	proceedings.
15	I further certify that I am not a
16	relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of
17	the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of
18	any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected
19	with the action, nor am I financially interested
20	in the action.
21	DATED this 2nd day of August, 2007.
22	

23	MICHELLE C. JONES, RPR, CRR Notary Public
24	850-878-2221
25	