Ortoo To, Till St Eligio 330

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to ad valorem taxation; amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria for burden of proof in ad valorem tax assessment value challenges; deleting certain provisions relating to presumption of correctness of property appraiser's assessments; specifying burden of proof for property appraisers in actions challenging denial of an exemption or assessment classification; providing legislative intent relating to taxpayer burden of proof; amending s. 193.011, F.S.; expanding the factors that a property appraiser must consider in deriving just valuation; requiring consideration of the legally permissible use of property; requiring the consideration of zoning changes, concurrency requirements, and permits necessary to achieve the highest and best use of property; requiring consideration of external obsolescence of property; requiring consideration of physical deterioration and functional obsolescence of property; providing an effective date.

19 20

21

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2223

Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

2425

26

194.301 Presumption of correctness <u>and burden of proof in</u> ad valorem tax value assessment challenges.--

2728

29

30

(1) In any administrative or judicial action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the property appraiser shall have the burden of going forward and proving that his or her assessment was arrived at by complying

31	with s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices,
32	including mass appraisal practices, in which case the assessment
33	shall be presumed correct. The taxpayer shall have the burden of
34	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment
35	of value exceeds just value or that the assessment is based upon
36	appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal
37	practices generally applied to comparable property within the
38	same class. In any judicial action in which the property
39	appraiser challenges the value adjustment board's determination
40	of value, the property appraiser shall have the burden of
41	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment
42	established by the value adjustment board is less than just
43	value appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This
44	presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a
45	preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser
46	has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if
47	the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on
48	appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal
49	practices generally applied by the property appraiser to
50	comparable property within the same class and within the same
51	county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer
52	shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the
53	evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just
54	value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the
55	taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and
56	convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess
57	of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of
58	proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not
59	supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If
60	the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be

erroneous, the <u>value adjustment board</u> Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence <u>exists</u> in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011 <u>by applying professionally accepted appraisal practices</u>. If the record lacks such competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the <u>value</u> adjustment board Value Adjustment Board or the court.

(2) In any administrative or judicial action in which a denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving that his or her denial complies with the applicable laws governing such exemption or assessment classification.

Section 2. It is the express intent of the Legislature that a taxpayer shall not have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment and all cases setting out such a standard were expressly rejected legislatively on the adoption of chapter 97-85, Laws of Florida. It is the further intent of the Legislature that any cases of law published since 1997 citing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard are expressly rejected to the extent that they are interpretative of legislative intent.

Section 3. Sections 1 and 2 of this act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Section 4. Section 193.011, Florida Statutes is amended to read:

193.011 Factors to consider in deriving just valuation.—In arriving at just valuation of real and tangible personal

<u>property</u> as required under s. 4, Art. VII of the State Constitution, the property appraiser shall take into consideration the following factors:

- (1) The present cash value <u>in exchange</u> of the property, which is the amount a willing purchaser would pay a willing seller, exclusive of reasonable fees and costs of purchase, in cash or the immediate equivalent thereof in a transaction at arm's length;
- (2) The highest and best use to which the property can be expected to be put in the immediate future and the present use of the property, taking into consideration the legally permissible use of the property including any applicable judicial limitation, local or state land use regulation, or historic preservation ordinance, and any zoning changes, concurrency requirements, and permits necessary to achieve the highest and best use, and considering any moratorium imposed by executive order, law, ordinance, regulation, resolution, or proclamation adopted by any governmental body or agency or the Governor when the moratorium or judicial limitation prohibits or restricts the development or improvement of property as otherwise authorized by applicable law. The applicable governmental body or agency or the Governor shall notify the property appraiser in writing of any executive order, ordinance, regulation, resolution, or proclamation it adopts imposing any such limitation, regulation, or moratorium;
 - (3) The location of said property;
 - (4) The quantity or size of said property;
- (5) The cost of said property and the present replacement value of any improvements thereon, taking into account external obsolescence;

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

(6) The condition of said property, taking into account physical deterioration and functional obsolescence;

- (7) The income from said property; and
- (8) The net proceeds of the sale of the property, as received by the seller, after deduction of all of the usual and reasonable fees and costs of the sale, including the costs and expenses of financing, and allowance for unconventional or atypical terms of financing arrangements. When the net proceeds of the sale of any property are utilized, directly or indirectly, in the determination of just valuation of realty of the sold parcel or any other parcel under the provisions of this section, the property appraiser, for the purposes of such determination, shall exclude any portion of such net proceeds attributable to payments for household furnishings or other items of personal property.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall apply to assessments in 2009.