0 A 11-8-84

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA SID J. WHITE

Tallahassee, Florida

AUG 13 1984

CLERK, SUPPHIME COURT By\_ Chief Deputy Clerk

CASE NO. 64,887 🦯

ALAN M. WAGSHUL, ETC., et al,

Petitioners,

vs.

RALPH LIPSHAW, ETC., et al.,

Respondents.

ROBERT F. CULLEN, ETC., et al,

Petitioners,

vs.

RALPH LIPSHAW, ETC., et al.,

Respondents.

DADE COUNTY PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, ETC., et al.,

Petitioners,

vs.

CASE NO. 65,004

CASE NO. 64,898

RALPH LIPSHAW, ETC., et al.,

Respondents.

BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE, THE ACADEMY OF FLORIDA TRIAL LAWYERS, IN SUPPORT OF POSITION OF RESPONDENTS

THE ACADEMY OF FLORIDA TRIAL LAWYERS

By: Larry Klein Suite 503 - Flagler Center 501 South Flagler Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (305) 659-5455

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I

	Page
Issue	1
Argument	
Issue WHETHER A SEPARATE WRONGFUL DEATH ACTION MAY BE BROUGHT BY A DECEDENT'S SURVIVORS BASED UPON THE ALLEGEDLY NEGLIGENT DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF THE DECEDENT, WHERE THE DECEDENT'S OWN CLAIM FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE WAS BARRED BY THE APPLICABLE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.	1-3
Conclusion	3
Certificate of Service	4

# TABLE OF CITATIONS

Cases	Page
Hudson v. Keene Corporation, 445 So.2d 1151 (Fla. 1st DCA 1984)	2,3
Variety Childrens Hospital v. Perkins, 445 So.2d 1010 (Fla. 1983)	1,2,3

### ISSUE

WHETHER A SEPARATE WRONGFUL DEATH ACTION MAY BE BROUGHT BY A DECEDENT'S SURVIVORS BASED UPON THE ALLEGEDLY NEGLIGENT DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF THE DECEDENT, WHERE THE DECEDENT'S OWN CLAIM FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE BY THE APPLICABLE BARRED STATUTE OF WAS LIMITATIONS.

#### ARGUMENT

A review of the petitioners' brief demonstrates that they have no authority whatsoever for their position other than the argument that this Court's decision in <u>Variety</u> <u>Childrens Hospital v. Perkins</u>, 445 So.2d 1010 (Fla. 1983), which involves different facts, controls. This Court's statements in that opinion, however, are probably the most compelling arguments which can be made to demonstrate the distinctions between the two cases and the reasons why the opinion of the Third District in the present case should be affirmed. Almost every statement of this Court in <u>Variety</u> is grounded upon the fact that a lawsuit was brought, recovery was made, and the tortfeasor paid. None of those occurred in the present case.

Petitioners have cited no authority from any jurisdiction which would support their position.

Certainly, situations are foreseeable wherein the injury will appear so slight at the time of the accident that the plaintiff will decide it is not worth the trouble to sue. If, five years later, this injury causes a death, there is no logical reason for the decedent's survivors to be deprived of the cause of action which does not accrue, under the wrongful death statute, until death.

There is good reason for the distinction made where suit is brought during the decedent's lifetime and recovery had, because in that lawsuit, plaintiff will theoretically recover all of his damages, including a shortening of his life expectancy.

The Florida cases involving the wrongful death statute which clearly demonstrate the distinction between <u>Variety</u>, supra, and the present case, were cited in Justice Ehrlich's concurring opinion in <u>Variety</u>. Anything we would add to that discussion would be merely repetitious.

The only authority cited by either petitioner in support of its argument is <u>Hudson v. Keene Corporation</u>, 445 So.2d 1151 (Fla. 1st DCA 1984), in which the First District gave no reasons for its decision other than it found no

distinction between that case and <u>Variety</u>. That decision is, therefore, not persuasive.

#### CONCLUSION

The opinion of the Third District in the present case should be approved and the opinion of the First District in Hudson v. Keene, supra, should be disapproved.

THE ACADEMY OF FLORIDA TRIAL LAWYERS

By: LARRY KLEIN Suite 503 - Flagler Center 501 South Flagler Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (305) 659-5455

hu Then you LARRY KLEIN

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copy of the foregoing has been furnished, by mail, this  $9 \pm h$  day of August, 1984, to:

THORNTON & HERNDON 720 Biscayne Building 19 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33130

GEORGE BUNNELL P. O. Drawer 22988 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33335

MOTTLAU & WAKEFIELD Suite 511, Biscayne Bldg. 19 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33130

HORTON PERSE & GINSBERG 410 Concord Bldg. 66 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33130

LEE SCHULTE MURPHY & COE 800 Peninsula Federal Bldg.

200 Southeast First Street Miami, FL 33131

NANCY LITTLE HOFFMAN HOFFMAN AND BURRIS 644 Southeast Fourth Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 JEANNE HEYWARD 300 Roberts Building 28 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33120

LAW OFFICE OF MILLARD GLANCY 450 N. Park Rd, 4th Floor Hollywood, FL 33021

RATINER & GLINN 60 S.W. 13th Street Miami, FL 33130

STEPHENS LYNN CHERNAY KLEIN & ZUCKERMAN Suite 2400 One Biscayne Tower Miami, FL 33131

PHILLIP BLACKMON PYSZKA, KESSLER & ADAMS

2699 South Bayshore Drive Miami, FL 33133

SAMS, GERSTEIN, WARD, NEWMAN & BECKHAM, P.A. 707 Concord Building 66 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33130

men There you LARRY KLEIN