

Supreme Court of Florida

No. 65,020

THE FLORIDA BAR, Complainant,

vs.

ALBERT G. SIEGEL, Respondent.

[January 24, 1985]

PER CURIAM.

This attorney discipline proceeding is before us on the complaint of The Florida Bar and the report of the referee. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 15, Fla. Const.

The Bar filed a complaint against the respondent alleging three violations of Disciplinary Rule 6-101(A)(3), one violation of Disciplinary Rule 1-102(A)(4), and one violation of Disciplinary Rule 1-102(A)(6) of The Florida Bar Code of Professional Responsibility. The referee recommended that the respondent be found guilty of all violations alleged in the complaint and suspended from the practice of law for a period of three years.

We adopt the uncontested recommendations of the referee. We hereby suspend the respondent from the practice of law for three years and, in accordance with the referee's recommendation, condition the respondent's readmission upon proof of rehabilitation which must include reimbursement of the respondent's former clients for losses incurred due to the

respondent's negligence or dishonesty. The suspension shall be effective thirty days from the filing of this opinion.

Judgment for costs in the amount of \$563.09 is hereby entered against respondent, for which let execution issue.

It is so ordered.

OVERTON, Acting Chief Justice, ALDERMAN, McDONALD, EHRLICH and SHAW, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED. THE FILING OF A MOTION FOR REHEARING SHALL NOT ALTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SUSPENSION.

Original Proceeding - The Florida Bar

John F. Harkness, Jr., Executive Director and John T. Berry,
Staff Counsel, Tallahassee, Florida; and Paul A. Gross, Bar
Counsel, Miami, Florida,

for Complainant

No Appearance,

for Respondent