#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 65,181

FILED
SID J. WHITE
NAY 9 1984

CLERK, SUPREME COURT

Chief Deputy Char

ADRIANA BACARDI,

Petitioner,

vs.

ROBERT B. WHITE, Trustee, and LUIS FACUNDO BACARDI,

Respondents.

RESPONDENT R.B. WHITE'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO JURISDICTION

STEVEN NACLERIO 2100 Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33137

(305) 573-8511

Attorney for R.B. White

# TOPICAL INDEX TO BRIEF

		PAGES
TABLE	OF AUTHORITIES	ii
ı.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE GILBERT AND BACARDI DECISIONS CAN BE FACTUALLY DISTINGUISHED	2
III.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	3

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGES
Gilbert v. Gilbert,  So. 2d (Fla. 2d DCA 1984),	1, 2
9 FLW 290 Waterbury vs. Munn	1
159 Fla. 754, 32 So. 2d 603 (1947)	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 65,181

ADRIANA BACARDI,

Petitioner,

vs. : RESPONDENT R.B. WHITE'S BRIEF
IN OPPOSITION TO JURISDICTION

ROBERT B. WHITE, Trustee, and LUIS FACUNDO BACARDI,

Respondents.

\_\_\_

I.

#### INTRODUCTION

Respondent R.B. White submits this Brief in opposition to Petitioner's Brief in Support of Jurisdiction. The decision of the Third District Court of Appeals, in our view, expressly follows established precedent and can be factually distinguished from Gilbert v. Gilbert \_\_\_So. 2d. \_\_\_ (Fla. 2d DCA 1984) 9 FLW 290.

II.

The Third District Court of Appeals decision in White v.

Bacardi conforms to this Court's decision in Waterbury v. Munn 159

Fla. 754, 32 So. 2d 603 (1947) which upholds the validity of spendthrift trusts in this state. Until the Gilbert decision was rendered earlier this year, there were no published decisions which permitted an invasion of a spendthrift trust.

The Third District Court of Appeals quite correctly recognized that no "special" or "exceptional" circumstances were presented

in <u>White v. Bacardi</u> which might possibly defeat the clear purpose of a spendthrift trust. 9FLW259 fn. 7 and 8. In essence, this case arose from a short-lived marriage between two persons who made a contract and later assumed the relationship of debtor and creditor. As regard the trust, there is simply no reason to give any special status to Mrs. Bacardi's position as opposed to any other creditor (we know of none) which the beneficiary may have.

The <u>Gilbert</u> case may present facts whereby the Court may wish to consider a prospective exception to the <u>Waterbury</u> rule. There it appears that the wife is a multiple sclerosis victim and her former husband has stopped paying her medical expenses. When a wife is likely to become a ward of the state, this Court may wish to consider adjusting ordinary creditor restraints. No such decision could be reached from a consideration of the <u>White</u> v. Bacardi facts.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Naclerio 2100 Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33137

(305) 573-8511

By: Wan Walland

Steven Naclerio

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Brief in Support of Jurisdiction and Appendix thereto was served by mail upon Roger D. Haagenson, Esquire, 601 Cumberland Building, 800 East Broward Boulevard, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301 and Joe N. Unger, P.A., 606 Concord Building, 66 West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida 33130, this 8th day of May, 1984.

Steven Norlevo