

# Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 66,051  
\_\_\_\_\_

THE FLORIDA BAR, Complainant,

vs.

WILLIAM RODRIGUEZ, Respondent.

[March 7, 1985]

PER CURIAM.

Upon a conditional guilty plea for consent judgment by respondent this Court appointed a referee to conduct a hearing regarding Rodriguez' alleged misconduct. Rodriguez acknowledged his violation of article XI, Rules 11.02(2), 11.02(3)(a), 11.02(4) and Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A)(1), 1-102(A)(4), 1-102(A)(6), 9-102(A) and 9-102(B)(4). The referee recommended that Rodriguez be found guilty in accordance with his conditional plea and that he be given a public reprimand.

Neither side contests the referee's report which we hereby adopt. Publication of this opinion in Southern Reporter will serve as the public reprimand.

Judgment for costs in the amount of \$1,048.55 is hereby entered against respondent, for which let execution issue.

It is so ordered.

ADKINS, Acting Chief Justice, OVERTON, ALDERMAN, McDONALD and EHRLICH, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Original Proceeding - The Florida Bar

John F. Harkness, Jr. and John T. Berry, Staff Counsel, Tallahassee, Florida; and David M. Barnovitz, Bar Counsel, Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Complainant

William Rodriguez, in proper person, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent