Supreme Court of Florida

No. 66,081

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

ROBERT GENE DAVIS, Respondent.

[October 17, 1985]

OVERTON, J.

This is a petition to review <u>Davis v. State</u>, 458 So. 2d 42 (Fla. 4th DCA 1984), remanding respondent's case for resentencing because the trial court used both permissible and impermissible reasons to depart from the sentencing guidelines. The district court certified the following question as a matter of great public importance:

If the scoresheets make provision for prior convictions, can those convictions also constitute clear and convincing reasons for aggravated punishment outside the guidelines?

Id. at 44. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We answered that question in <u>Hendrix v. State</u>, No. 65,928 (Fla. Aug. 29, 1985), in which we held that, since prior convictions are already factored in as a part of the presumptive guidelines sentence, they may not be used as a clear and convincing reason for departure. <u>See also Deer v. State</u>, No. 66,532 (Fla. Aug. 29, 1985); <u>Gregory v. State</u>, No. 66,317 (Fla. Aug. 29, 1985).

Accordingly, we approve the decision of the district court.

It is so ordered.

BOYD, C.J., and ADKINS, McDONALD, EHRLICH and SHAW, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

pplication for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Fourth District - Case Nos. 84-87, 84-164, and 84-383

Jim Smith, Attorney General and Joan Fowler Rossin, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard L. Jorandby, Public Defender and Tatjana Ostapoff, Assistant Public Defender, Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent