IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

WENDALL J. CHATMAN,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

)

Case No. 66,211 D SID J. V. F. 12

CLERK, SUPREIVIE COURT

Chief Deputy Clerk

PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

JAMES R. WULCHAK
CHIEF, APPELLATE DIVISION
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER
1012 South Ridgewood Ave.
Daytona Beach, FL 32014-6183
(904) 252-3367

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	1
ISSUE	
WHETHER EXPRESS AND DIRECT CONFLICT EXISTS FOR THIS COURT TO REVIEW THE INSTANT CASE PURSUANT TO ARTICLE	
V, SECTION (3)(b)(3), FLORIDA CONSTITUTION	3
CONCLUSION	4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	Λ

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES CITED:	PAGE NO
Fike v. State No. 83-568 (Fla. 5th DCA September 13, 1984 [9 FLW 1932]	2,3
<u>Jollie v. State</u> 405 So.2d 418 (Fla. 1981)	3
OTHER AUTHORITIES:	
Article V, Section (3)(b)(3), Florida Constitution	n 3
Section 893.13(1)(a)(2), Florida Statutes	1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

WENDALL J. CHATMAN,)		
Petitioner,)		
vs.)	CASE NO.	66,211
STATE OF FLORIDA,)		
Respondent.)))		

PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Wendall J. Chatman was charged by information with a violation of Section 893.13(1)(a)(2), Florida Statutes, by the unlawful sale or delivery of cannabis. (R47) The information did not allege an amount for the marijuana, nor did it allege that the delivery was for consideration. (R47) Following a no contest plea, the defendant was placed on one year's probation. (R48)

Following revocation of his probation and the imposition of a five-year prison sentence, the defendant appealed his case to the Fifth District Court of Appeal. On Appeal, the defendant challenged the Circuit Court's jurisdiction based upon an information which alternatively alleged the commission of a misdemeanor or felony.

On November 1, 1984, the District Court of Appeal,
Fifth District, (Judge Cowart dissenting with opinion), held
as follows:

PER CURIAM:

AFFIRMED. <u>See Fike v. State</u>, No. 83-568 (Fla. 5th DCA Sept. 13, 1984) [9 FLW 1932].

(See Appendix "A" attached hereto.)

A Notice to Invoke Discretionary Jurisdiction, based upon express and direct conflict was filed November 27, 1984. This brief follows.

ISSUE

WHETHER EXPRESS AND DIRECT CONFLICT EXISTS FOR THIS COURT TO REVIEW THE INSTANT CASE PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V, SECTION (3)(b)(3), FLORIDA CONSTITUTION.

The Fifth District Court of Appeal affirmed

Petitioner's conviction on the authority of Fike v. State,

No. 83-568 (Fla. 5th DCA September 13, 1984)[9 FLW 1932].

Discretionary review of Fike, supra, by this Court is presently pending, Supreme Court Case No. 66,024.

A district court of appeal per curiam opinion which cites as controlling authority a decision that is pending review in the Supreme Court of Florida constitutes prima facie express conflict and allows the court to exercise its jurisdiction. Jollie v. State, 405 So.2d 418, 420 (Fla. 1981).

Accordingly, should this court exercise the discretionary jurisdiction in Fike, that same jurisdiction should be exercised to resolve the same question of law in the instant case.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the argument and authority cited herein, this Court is requested to exercise the jurisdiction that clearly exists.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

JAMES R. WULCHAK

CHIEF, APPELLATE DIVISION
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER
1012 South Ridgewood Avenue
Daytona Beach, FL 32014-6183
(904) 252-3367

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been delivered by mail to: The Honorable Jim Smith, Attorney General, 125 N. Ridgewood Avenue, Daytona Beach, FL 32014 and Mr. Wendall J. Chatman, Inmate NO. 712009, P. O. Box 699, Sneads, FL 32460 on this 7th day of December, 1984.

JAMES R. WULCHAK

CHIEF, APPELLATE DIVISION ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER