IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JUN 12 1985

CLERK, SUPREME COURT /

FILE

8y__ that & paty Clerk

JAMES CLARIN GREGORY,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

CASE NO. 66,317

Pet's

RESPONDENT'S REPLY BRIEF

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

DANIEL J. SCHAFER ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32014 Phone: 904/252-3367

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
ARGUMENT IN REPLY TO THE STATE'S CONTENTION THAT THE DISTRICT COURT DID NOT ERR IN AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT'S DECISION TO DEPART FROM THE RECOMMENDED GUIDE- LINES SENTENCE.	1
CONCLUSION	4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF CITATIONS

PAGE NO.

1

CASE CITED

Hendrix v. State (Florida Supreme Court Case No. 65,928)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JAMES CLARIN GREGORY,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

CASE NO. 66,317

ARGUMENT

IN REPLY TO THE STATE'S CONTENTION THAT THE DISTRICT COURT DID NOT ERR IN AFFIRMING THE TRIAL COURT'S DECISION TO DEPART FROM THE RECOMMENDED GUIDE-LINES SENTENCE.

In the answer brief in this cause, Respondent claims that the trial judge's personal views concerning the sentencing guidelines were <u>not</u> his reason for departure from the guidelines. Respondent argues that Petitioner's sentence should be affirmed even if <u>Hendrix v. State</u>, (Fla. S.Ct. Case No. 65,928) is reversed, due to "Petitioner's extensive criminal history and the nature of that record." Respondent supports this argument, stating that, "throughout the sentencing hearing, the state argued the extensive prior criminal record of Petitioner and the extent of similar crimes as a basis for departure." Petitioner has three brief points to make in reply.

First, this case cannot possibly be affirmed if

- 1 -

<u>Hendrix</u> is reversed. Both the <u>number</u> and <u>character</u> of Petitioner's prior convictions were taken into account by the guidelines scoring system. The scoresheet shows 27 points for "Prior record" and <u>30 points</u> because these prior convictions were all in the "theft, forgery and fraud" category (R46).

Second the trial judge's personal views concerning the guidelines <u>do</u> appear to be the basis for his decision to depart. The judge clearly feels sentences are just not long enough under the guidelines for defendants with prior records. Petitioner is confident this Court will agree with this assessment after reading all of Judge Perry's remarks in context (R103-112).

Finally, Petitioner would point out that the state clearly did <u>not</u> argue "throughout the sentencing hearing" for any particular reasons for departure. In fact, the state's only comment concerning departures during the sentencing hearing was as follows:

> THE COURT: Mr. Butler, which is it? Do you want me to depart from them or disregard them?

MR. BUTLER: [State Attorney] Depart from them, Your Honor.

(R97).

If the sentencing guidelines system is to survive and achieve its goal of reducing unwarranted disparity in sentencing, trial judges must either stay within the guiderange or state clear and convincing reasons for departure.

- 2 -

Neither was done in this case, therefore Petitioner's sentence must be reversed.

CONCLUSION

Based on the arguments and authorities cited herein and in the initial brief, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court reverse the decision of the Fifth District Court of Appeal in this cause and remand the case for resentencing with appropriate instruction.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON PUBLIC DEFENDER SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER 112 Orange Avenue, Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32014 Phone: 904/252-3367

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been mailed to the Honorable Jim Smith, Attorney General at 125 North Ridgewood Avenue, Fourth Floor Daytona Beach, Florida 32014 and to Mr. James Clarin Gregory Inmate No. A030480, 3876 Evans Rd. Box 50, Polk City, Florida 33868 on this 11th day of June 1985.

DANIEL J. SCHAFER ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER

- 4 -