IN THE SUPREME C	COURT OF FLORIDA
THOMAS HANKEY,	Chief Deputy Clerk
Petitioner,	$\langle $
vs.	CASE NO. 66,320
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Respondent.	

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

JIM SMITH ATTORNEY GENERAL

ELLEN D. PHILLIPS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 125 N. Ridgewood Avenue Fourth Floor Daytona Beach, Florida 32014

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TOPICAL INDEX

PAGE

AUTHORITIES CITEDii	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS 1	
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2	
ARGUMENT:	

	WHETHER THE DECISION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, IN THE INSTANT CASE, IS IN CONVLICT WITH MISCHLER V. STATE, 9 F.L.W. 2205 (4th DCA, October 17, 1984), SO AS TO WARRANT CONFLICT JURISDICTION IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT3,4
CONCLUSION	5
CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE 5



AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE
<u>Jenkins v. State</u> , <u>385 So.2d 1</u> 356, (Fla. 1980)	3
Mancini v. State, 312 So.2d 732, (Fla. 1975)	3
Mischler v. State, 9 F.L.W. 2205 (Fla. 4th DCA, October 17, 1984)1	, 3
<u>Nielson v. City of Sarasota,</u> 117 So.2d 731 (Fla. 1960)	3
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Article X, § 3(b)(3) Fla. Const	3

STATEMENT OF CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts the statement of case and facts of petitioner, but would note that the "clear and convincing reason for departure" accepted by the appellate court was the trial court's finding that "the emotional trauma to the victim was as severe as if inflicted with 'fists or sticks or guns or knives'."

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENI

No conflict is demonstrated between the instant case and <u>Mischler v. State</u>, 9 F.L.W. 2205 (Fla. 4th DCA, October 17, 1984), because the reason for departure affirmed in the instant case ("emotional trauma to the victim") was not disapproved in <u>Mischler</u>.

ARGUMENT

WHETHER THE DECISION OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, IN THE INSTANT CASE, IS IN CONFLICT WITH MISCHLER V. STATE, 9 F.L.W. 2205 (4th DCA, October 17, 1984), SO AS TO WARRANT CONFLICT JURISDICTION IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT.

Conflict jurisdiction pursuant to Article X, Section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution cannot be invoked merely because of disagreement with a factual determination in the lower court. <u>Mancini v. State</u>, 312 So.2d 732 (Fla. 1975). Rather, there must be express and direct conflict as to the legal significance of those facts. <u>Jenkins v. State</u>, 385 So.2d 1356 (Fla. 1980); <u>Nielsen v. City of Sarasota</u>, 117 So.3d 731 (Fla. 1960). The opinion of the Fifth District Court of Appeal gives the following reason for affirming the guidelines departure:

> There was no error in departing from the suggested guideline sentence, because of the articulated reason that the crime imposed a severe and long lasting economic and emotional hardship on the victim. The trial court found that the emotional trauma to the victim was as severe as if inflicted with "Fists or sticks or guns or knives" and we find this to be a clear and convincing reason for departure.

Thus, the reason for departure found acceptable in the instant case is emotional trauma to the victim, as found by the trial court. <u>Mischler v. State</u>, 9 F.L.W. 2205 (4th DCA, October 17, 1984), does not dispute the validity of emotional trauma as a reason for departure. In fact, <u>Mischler</u> specifically reserves "the question of how we would react to a more harrowing tale."

_-3-

The trial judge in Mischler made no finding of emotional trauma, as did the trial judge here. Thus, this case and Mischler are not dealing with the same set of ultimate facts, and no conflict exists between them.

CONCLUSION

No conflict has been demonstrated to warrant certiorari jurisdiction.

Respectfully submitted,

JIM SMITH ATTORNEY GENERAL

leal

ELLEN D. PHILLIPS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 125 N. Ridgewood Ave., 4th Floor Daytona Beach, Florida 32014 (904) 252-2005

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the above and foregoing Respondent's Brief on Jurisdiction has been furnished, by delivery, to David A. Henson, Assistant Public Defender for Petitioner (1012 S. Ridgewood Avenue, Daytona Beach, Florida 32014), this <u>IF</u> day of January, 1985.

ELLEN D. PHILLIPS

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT