Supreme Court of Florida

No. 67,419

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

MARIO DELGADO-SANTOS, Respondent.

[October 30, 196]

OVERTON, J.

This is a petition to review <u>Delgado-Santos v. State</u>, 471 So. 2d 74 (Fla. 3d DCA 1985), in which the district court reversed the trial court for admitting as substantive evidence a prior inconsistent statement made by respondent's alleged accomplice during a police interrogation. We find conflict with <u>Robinson v. State</u>, 455 So. 2d 481 (Fla. 5th DCA 1984). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

The issue requires interpretation of section 90.801(2)(a), Florida Statutes (1981), to determine whether this provision of our evidence code permits admission, as substantive evidence at trial, of a trial witness's prior inconsistent statement made during police interrogation. Prior to the evidence code's adoption in 1978, such evidence was inadmissible. <u>See Tomlinson</u> <u>v. Peninsular Naval Stores Co.</u>, 61 Fla. 453, 55 So. 548 (1911). The evidence code, under section 90.801(2)(a), now permits such prior inconsistent statements that are "given under oath subject to the penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition." In the instant case, the district court thoroughly reviewed this provision's history and its construction and interpretation by other courts. In accordance with the holding of courts in other jurisdictions and the view of commentators addressing the identical provision, the district court determined that a police interrogation was not intended to be an "other proceeding." We approve the district court decision and adopt its opinion as our own. In so doing we disapprove <u>Robinson</u>.

It is so ordered.

,

•

McDONALD, C.J., and ADKINS, BOYD, EHRLICH, SHAW and BARKETT, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 83-2031

Jim Smith, Attorney General, and Charles M. Fahlbusch, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

۰. ۲

Arthur Carter and John H. Lipinski, Special Assistant Public Defenders, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent