

Supreme Court of Florida

CORRECTED OPINION

No. 67,582

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

JAMES DOUGHERTY, Respondent.

[May 1, 1986]

OVERTON, J.

This is a petition to review Dougherty v. State, 474 So. 2d 11 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985), which directly conflicts with our decision in State v. Jackson, 478 So. 2d 1054 (Fla. 1985).*

We quash the decision of the district court and remand with directions to apply Jackson.

It is so ordered.

BOYD, C.J., and ADKINS, McDONALD and BARKETT, JJ., Concur
EHRlich, J., Concur specially with an opinion, in which SHAW, J.
Concurs.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF
FILED, DETERMINED.

*We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

EHRlich, J., concurring specially.

I concur because of this Court's decision in State v. Jackson, 478 So.2d 1054 (Fla. 1985), but I adhere to the views expressed in my dissent therein.

SHAW, J., Concur

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of
Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

First District - Case No. BC-316

Jim Smith, Attorney General and Patricia Conners, Assistant
Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Michael E. Allen, Public Defender and P. Douglas Brinkmeyer, Assistant
Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent