## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 68,421

JOSEPH CHARLES CRAFT, et al., Petitioners, vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[January 7, 1988]

## PER CURIAM.

We accepted jurisdiction to review <u>State v. Young</u>, 483 So.2d 31 (Fla. 5th DCA 1985), because of apparent conflict with, inter alia, <u>State v. Ducksworth</u>, 408 So.2d 589 (Fla. 2d DCA 1982). However, upon closer examination we do not find the express and direct conflict of decisions required by article V, section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution. Accordingly, we dismiss the petition for review as improvidently granted.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ENTERTAINED BY THE COURT.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Fifth District - Case Nos. 85-1395; 85-1396; 85-1397; 85-1398; 85-1399; 85-1400

Eric A. Latinsky, Daytona Beach, Florida, for Craft and Parnell; and Michael H. Lambert, P.A., Daytona Beach, Florida, for Young, Taylor, Burton and Franchini,

Petitioners

Stephen L. Boyles, State Attorney and John V. Doyle, Assistant State Attorney, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent