Supreme Court of Florida

No. 68,528

JACK ECKERD CORPORATION and TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, Petitioners,

vs.

\$_____ •

WILLIAMSON CADILLAC LEASING, INC., et al, Respondents.

[June 4, 1987]

PER CURIAM.

We review <u>Jack Eckerd Corp. v. Williamson Cadillac</u> <u>Leasing, Inc.</u>, 485 So.2d 485 (Fla. 3d DCA 1986), because of direct and express conflict with <u>Blue Cross and Blue Shield of</u> <u>Florida, Inc. v. Matthews</u>, 498 So.2d 421 (Fla. 1986). Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

The trial court granted summary judgment to respondents, defendants below, on the ground that section 627.7372, Florida Statutes (1981), barred petitioners' suit in subrogation. The district court affirmed. We decided this issue contrary to the lower courts' rulings in <u>Matthews</u>. We quash the decision below and remand for proceedings consistent with <u>Matthews</u>.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED. Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 85-1404

Raymond T. Elligett, Jr. and Charles P. Schropp of Shackleford, Farrior, Stallings & Evans, Tampa, Florida,

for Petitioners

. م ÷

Michael J. Murphy of Gaebe and Murphy, Coral Gables, Florida; Frank R. Gramling of Fertig and Gramling, Fort Lauderdale, Florida; and Joseph M. Loughren and Shelley H. Leinicke of Wicker, Smith, Blomqvist, Tutan, O'Hara, McCoy, Graham & Lane, Fort Lauderdale, Florida,

for Respondents