

SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

No. 68,666

State of Florida, Petitioner,

vs.

Frank Kruse, Respondent

[March 19, 1987]

OPINION: PER CURIAM.

We originally accepted jurisdiction to resolve the narrow issue of whether an arrest subsequent to the date of an offense on trial may be used to impeach character testimony, as distinguished from testimony concerning truth and veracity. Holding subsequent arrests are inadmissible, the district court's decision is consistent with *Greenfield v. State*, 336 So.2d 1205 (Fla. 4th DCA 1976), and conflicts with no other case on this issue. Accordingly, we find no jurisdictional basis and dismiss the petition as improvidently granted.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW and BARKETT, JJ., and ADKINS, J. (Ret.), Concur.

Robert A. Butterworth, Jr., Attorney General, and Richard G. Bartmon, Assistant Attorney General,

for Petitioner.

Gwendolyn Spivey,

for Respondent.