SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JOSEPH J. JOZWIAK,

Petitioner,

VS.

ROBERT LEONARD, as Sheriff of Suwannee County, and SUWANNEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political subdivision of the State of Florida,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF

MICHAEL H. LAMBERT, P.A. Tanner and Lambert 630 North Wild Olive Avenue Suite A Daytona Beach, Florida 32018

E COURT

CASE NO.

Attorney for the Petitioner

INDEX

CITATIO	N OF	AUT	HORI	TY.	• • •	 • •	 • •	• •	• •	 • •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	 • •	•		• •	ii
SUMMARY	OF	ARGU	MENT	• • •	• • •	 	 	• •	• • •	 			• • •	• •		 	•	· • •		. 1
ARGUMEN	T			• • •	• • •	 • •	 		• • •	 			• •		• •	 	• •	• •		. 2
CERTIFI	CATE	OF	SERV	ICE	• • •	 	 			 						 				. :

CITATION OF AUTHORITY

(CASES CITED)	
Avallone v. Board of County Commissioners of	
Citrus County, So.2d (Fla.	
1986), Opinion filed July 10, 1986 {11 FLW	
312}	3
Levine v. Dade County School Board, 442 So.2d	
210 (Fla. 1983)	3
(OTHER AUTHORITIES)	
Florida Statute Section 286.28	3
Florida Statute Section 30.55	3
Florida Statute Section 768.28	7

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Brief submitted to this Court by the Respondent Leonard ignores the issue presented to this Court by the First District and alleges facts not contained within the record and which for the first time have been asserted.

ARGUMENT

The question certified by the First District Court of Appeal to this Court is:

"Does the Supreme Court's holding in Avallone v. Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County,

So.2d (Fla. 1986) Opinion filed July 10,

1986 {11 FLW 312}, that the purchase of liability insurance pursuant to section 286.28 constitutes a waiver of sovereign immunity up to the limits of insurance coverage negate the necessity of the plaintiff to comply with the notice provisions of section 768.28(6) found by this Court in Burkett v. Calhoun County, 441 So.2d 1108 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983)?"

Having reached the final tier of our appellate system, the Respondent now wishes to allege facts never before presented to the Trial Court or the First District Court of Appeal in an effort to have this Court favor him in its ruling. The first two points of the Respondent's three point Brief deal with issues never before presented, nor a part of the record. A determination of the issue presented cannot be based upon these new allegations since they have not been presented in a forum where they can be appropriately and adequately developed beyond the assertion of counsel for the Respondent. It is therefore, the Petitioner's intention to address them no further as they are not part of any of the proceedings that have been provided to this Court.

Point three of the Respondent's Brief further ignores the issue certified to this Court and this Court's opinion in

Avallone v. Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County,

So.2d (Fla. 1986), Opinion filed July 10, 1986 {11 FLW 312}. The Respondent ignores Florida Statute Section 286.28 and Florida Statute Section 30.55 when he cited to this Court the case of Levine v. Dade County School Board, 442 So.2d 210 (Fla. 1983). The Levine case dealt with an action brought pursuant to Florida Statute Section 768.28 and this Court therefore held that the notice requirement contained within that subsection, i.e. 768.28(6) was mandatory despite its wisdom or propriety. The issue certified to this Court deals with the purchase of liability insurance pursuant to Section 286.28 or Section 30.55 and if that would, in light of Avallone, negate the necessity of a plaintiff to comply with the notice provisions of Section 768.28(6).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by mail to Julius F. Parker, Esquire, Forum Building, 318 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, this 19th day of February, A.D., 1987.

MICHAEL H. LAMBERT,

Tanner and Lambert

630 N. Wild Olive Avenue

Suite A

Daytona Beach, Florida 32018

Attorney for the Petitioner