015

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 69,948

DON WHITE,

SID J. Write

Petitioner,

MAR 27 1987 -

vs.

CLERK, SUPPLEME COURT.

By Deputy Clerk

#### THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Respondent,

ON PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

### BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON JURISDICTION

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH Attorney General Tallahassee, Florida

CALVIN L. FOX, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
401 N.W. 2nd Avenue (Suite 820)
Miami, Florida 33128

(305) 377-5441

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
PREFACE	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	2
QUESTION PRESENTED	3
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT	5
CONCLUSION	6
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	7

# TABLE OF CITATIONS

	PAGE
Ansin v. Thurston, 101 So.2d 808 (Fla. 1985)	5
Jenkins v. State, 385 So.2d 1356 (Fla. 1980)	5
Rowe v. State, 496 So.2d 857 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986)	4, 5
White v. State, 499 So.2d 14 (Fla. 3d DCA 1986	1

#### PREFACE

The Petitioner, Don White, was the Appellant in the District Court of Appeal and the Defendant in the trial court. The Respondent, the State of Florida, was the Appellee in the District Court of Appeal and the prosecution in the trial court. In this brief, the parties will be referred to as they appear before the trial court.

The opinion of the District Court of Appeal herein a reported at White v. State, 499 So.2d 14 (Fla. 3d DCA 1986).

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Defendant's Statement of the Case is Correct.

# QUESTION PRESENTED

WHETHER THIS COURT SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION?

### III

### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

There is no conflict stated in the present opinion. However, if this Court modifies the decision in Rowe v. State, 496 So.2d 857 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986), which is presently under review in Supreme Court Case No. 69,606, the required conflict may then exist for jurisdiction in this Court.

#### ARGUMENT

There is no conflict stated between the decisions cited by the Defendant and the decision in the case at bar. The conflict jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Florida is limited to those cases in which there is a <u>real</u> and <u>embarassing</u> conflict of opinion and authority on the face of the decisions, of the District Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court. <u>See</u>, <u>Ansin</u> <u>v</u>. <u>Thurston</u>, 101 So.2d 808 (Fla. 1985).

In the present circumstance, the entire content of the present opinion is expressed by the Third District Court of Appeal, thus:

"Affirmed. See, Rowe v. State, 496 So.2d 857 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986); Bell v. State, 453 so.2d 478 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984)."

499 So.2d 14 (Fla. 3d DCA 1986).

Generally, this Court has no jurisdiction to review said opinion of the Florida Third District Court of Appeal. See, Jenkins v. State, 385 So.2d 1356 (Fla. 1980). However, since this Court has accepted review of Rowe v. State, 496 So.2d 857 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986), in Supreme Court Case No. 69,606 this cause may subsequently be properly accepted for reviewed as to the issue(s) in Rowe.

## CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, upon foregoing, the Respondent, THE STATE OF FLORIDA, submits that the exercise of discretionary jurisdiction may be appropriate herein.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, on this 25th day of March, 1987, at Miami, Dade County, Florida.

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH

Attorney General

CALVIN L. FOX, Esquire

Assistant Attorney General 401 N.W. 2nd Avenue (Suite 820)

Miami, Florida 33128

(305) 377-5441

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON JURISDICTION was caused to be served by mail upon DON WHITE 500 Orange Avenue Circle, Glades Correctional Institute, Bell Glade, Florida 33430 on this 25th day of March, 1987.

CALVIN L. FOX, Esquire

Assistant Attorney General

/dmc