## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 70,043

THE FLORIDA BAR, Complainant,

vs.

BAILEY M. WELDEN, Respondent.

[September 24, 1987]

PER CURIAM.

This disciplinary proceeding is before the Court for consideration of a referee's report finding professional misconduct and recommending a public reprimand pursuant to the respondent attorney's conditional guilty plea for a consent judgment.

Based on the respondent's conditional guilty plea approved by The Florida Bar and providing for a public reprimand, the referee found respondent guilty of the following ethical violations:

Disciplinary Rule  $1-102(A)(4)^*$  (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation);

Disciplinary Rule 5-101(A) (accepting employment where the exercise of professional judgment on behalf of client will or reasonably may be affected by his financial, business, property, or personal interest, without consent of client after full disclosure);

Disciplinary Rule 5-104(A)(entering into a business transaction with a client where they

<sup>\*</sup> Disciplinary Rules cited are found in the former Florida Bar Code of Professional Responsibility.

have differing interests and the client expects the lawyer to exercise professional judgment for the protection of the client, without

consent after full disclosure);

Disciplinary Rule 5-105(A)(accepting proffered employment where the exercise of independent professional judgment will or is likely to be adversely affected);

Disciplinary Rule 5-105(B) (continuing

multiple employment where the exercise of independent professional judgment will or is likely to be adversely affected by representation of another client).

We approve the referee's report. We hereby reprimand attorney Bailey M. Welden.

The costs of this proceeding are assessed against the respondent. Judgment is entered against the respondent in the amount of \$1,821.23, for which sum let execution issue.

It is so ordered.

And the second

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

-2-

Original Proceeding - The Florida Bar

John F. Harkness, Jr., Executive Director and John T. Berry, Staff Counsel, Tallahassee, Florida; and David R. Ristoff, Assistant Staff Counsel, Tampa, Florida,

for Complainant

Richard T. Earle, Jr. of Earle and Earle, St. Petersburg, Florida,

for Respondent