

# Supreme Court of Florida

No. 70,157

HARRY LANE and  
ROSA LANE, his wife, Petitioners,

vs.

KOEHRING COMPANY, Respondent.

[December 3, 1987]

PER CURIAM.

We review Lane v. Koehring Co., 503 So.2d 364 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987), to answer two certified questions of great public importance. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. The certified questions are as follows:

- I. Should the legislative amendment of Section 95.031(2), Florida Statutes (1983), abolishing the statute of repose in product liability actions, be construed to operate retrospectively as to a cause of action which accrued before the effective date of the amendment?
- II. If not, should the decision of Pullum v. Cincinnati, Inc., 476 So.2d 657 (Fla. 1985), appeal dismissed, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_, 106 S.Ct. 1626, 90 L.Ed.2d 174 (1986), which overruled Battilla v. Allis Chalmers Mfg. Co., 392 So.2d 874 (Fla. 1980), apply so as to bar a cause of action that accrued after the Battilla decision but before the Pullum decision?

We recently answered the first question in the negative and the second question in the affirmative in Melendez v. Dreis and Krump Manufacturing Co., No. 70,225 (Fla. Oct. 15, 1987). We approve the decision below on the authority of Melendez.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court  
of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Third District - Case No. 86-282

Gary K. Silber, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, and Jane D. Fishman, Fort  
Lauderdale, Florida,

for Petitioners

Leanne J. Frank and James P. Murray of Corlett, Killian, Hardeman,  
McIntosh & Levi, P.A., Miami, Florida,

for Respondent