## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 70,814

TERESA CARROLL, Petitioner,

vs.

♥OŁKSWAGEN OF AMERICA, INC., etc., et al., Respondents.

[January 28, 1988]

PER CURIAM.

We review <u>Carroll v. Volkswagen of America, Inc.</u>, 508
So.2d 556 (Fla. 4th DCA 1987), to answer two certified questions of great public importance. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. The certified questions are as follows:

- I. DOES THE SUPREME COURT DECISION IN PULLUM APPLY RETROACTIVELY SO AS TO BAR CAUSES OF ACTION THAT ACCRUED BEFORE THE DATE OF THAT DECISION?
- II. SHOULD THE ABOLITION OF THE 12-YEAR STATUTE OF REPOSE BY THE LEGISLATURE BE CONSTRUED TO OPERATE RETROACTIVELY TO PRESERVE CAUSES OF ACTION THAT ACCRUED PRIOR THERETO?
- Id. We recently answered the first question in the affirmative and the second question in the negative in <u>Melendez v. Dreis & Krump Manufacturing Co.</u>, 515 So.2d 735 (Fla. 1987). We approve the decision below on the authority of <u>Melendez</u>.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED. Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Fourth District - Case No. 85-2734

Law Offices of Phillip Houston, West Palm Beach, Florida; Edna L. Caruso and Phillip M. Burlington of Edna L. Caruso, P.A., West Palm Beach, Florida; and James A. Bonfiglio, Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Peter L. Wechsler, Myron Shapiro and Wendy F. Lumish of Rumberger, Wechsler & Kirk, Miami, Florida; and Michael Hoenig of Herzfeld and Rubin P.C., New York, New York,

for Respondents