

# Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 70,913  
\_\_\_\_\_

AVERY HIGHSMITH, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[January 28, 1988]

BARKETT, J.

We have for review Highsmith v. State, 508 So.2d 1289 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), affirming petitioner's convictions and sentences and certifying the same question set out in VanTassell v. State, 498 So.2d 649 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986), quashed, 512 So.2d 181 (Fla. 1987), as a question of great public importance. The certified question is:

Does a trial court's statement, made at the time of departure from the sentencing guidelines, that it would depart for any one of the reasons given, regardless of whether both valid and invalid reasons are found on review, satisfy the standard set forth in Albritton v. State?

512 So.2d at 182.

We answered the question in the negative in Griffis v. State, 509 So.2d 1104 (Fla. 1987). Accordingly, we quash the decision below and remand to the district court for review in light of our decision in Griffis.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, C.J., and OVERTON, EHRLICH, SHAW, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ.,  
Concur  
NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED,  
DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of  
Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

First District - Case No. BN-495

Michael E. Allen, Public Defender and Pamela D. Presnell, Assistant  
Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Gary L. Printy,  
Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent