Supreme Court of Florida

No. 71,420

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

VS.

CLYDE GARLAND WAYNE, Respondent.

[September 22, 1988]

BARKETT, J.

We have for review <u>Wayne v. State</u>, 513 So.2d 689 (Fla. 5th DCA 1987), based on express and direct conflict with <u>Brooks v. State</u>, 478 So.2d 1052 (Fla. 1985); <u>Hill v. State</u>, 486 So.2d 1372 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986); <u>Lynch v. State</u>, 491 So.2d 1169 (Fla. 4th DCA 1986); and <u>Crosby v. State</u>, 487 So.2d 416 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

In the proceedings below, the district court held that a

defendant, having already been sentenced once, cannot constitutionally be sentenced a second time for the same offense merely because he has violated the probation appended to the lawful sentence of confinement.

Constitutional double jeopardy prohibits [petitioner] from being sentenced a second time for the same burglary and he must be released as to that charge.

Wayne, 513 So.2d at 691.

In <u>Poore v. State</u>, No. 70,397 (Fla. Sept. 22, 1988), however, we expressly disapproved the opinion below and held that when the original sentence is a period of confinement, none of

which is suspended, followed by probation,* resentencing to a greater prison term upon violation of probation does not violate double jeopardy or other constitutional provisions. Poore, slip op. at 3-4, 6-7. See Williams v. Wainwright, 650 F.2d 58, 61 (5th Cir. 1981); State v. Payne, 404 So.2d 1055 (Fla. 1981); Scott v. State, 326 So.2d 165, 166 (Fla.), cert. denied, 429 U.S. 836 (1976). Accord North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711 (1969).

Accordingly, we quash the opinion below and remand for proceedings consistent with our views in Poore.

It is so ordered.

EHRLICH, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

 $[\]mbox{\scriptsize *}$ For purposes of convenience, we have termed this a "probationary split sentence."

Application for Review of the Decision of the District court of Appeal - Constitutional Construction

Fifth District - Case No. 86-2133

James B. Gibson, Public Defender and Michael L. O'Neill, Assistant Public Defender, Seventh Judicial Circuit, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; and Kevin Kitpatrick Carson and Kellie A. Nielan, Assistant Attorneys General, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent