## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 74,106

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

v.

ARDEN M. MERCKLE, Respondent.

[February 15, 19901

McDONALD, J.

We have for review Merckle v. State, 541 So.2d 1312 (Fla. 2d DCA 1989), based on express and direct conflict with Harris v. State, 520 So.2d 639 (Fla. 1st DCA), review denied, 536 So.2d 244 (Fla. 1988). We have jurisdiction, article V, section 3(b)(3), Florida Constitution, and quash Merckle.

A jury convicted Arden Merckle of bribery, receiving unlawful compensation, extortion by a state officer, and misbehavior in office. The trial court sentenced Merckle to five years in state prison for the first offense and placed him on consecutive terms of probation for the remaining offenses. The district court affirmed the convictions and sentences. Merckle v. State, 512 So.2d 948 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987), approved, 529 So.2d 269 (Fla. 1988). In a motion for postconviction relief, Merckle argued that his multiple punishments constituted a double jeopardy violation because all four of his convictions stemmed from a single criminal act and all required the same proof, relying on <u>Carawan v. State</u>, 515 So.2d 161 (Fla. 1987), which had not been decided at the time of his direct appeal. The district court reversed the trial court's denial of the motion. The question thus presented to this Court concerns whether <u>Carawan</u> may be retroactively applied on a motion for postconviction relief under rule 3.850, Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure.

In <u>State v. Glenn</u>, no. 73,496 (Fla. Feb. 15, 1990), we held that double jeopardy claims based on <u>Carawan</u> could not be applied retroactively on a postconviction motion. Therefore, we quash the district court's decision in <u>Merckle</u>, order the district court to reinstate the trial court's denial of the motion for postconviction relief, and approve <u>Harris</u>.

It is so ordered.

EHRLICH, C.J., OVERTON, SHAW and KOGAN, JJ., Concur BARKETT, J., Concurs in result only GRIMES, J., Did not participate in this case

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

-2-

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Confilct of Decisions

Second District - Case No. 89-00233 (Hillsborough County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Katherine V. Blanco, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Petitioner

2 L

Arden M. Merckle, In Proper Person, Denver, Colorado,

for Respondent