Supreme Court of Florida

No. 74,176

RUTH ANN BLANKENSHIP, ET AL., Petitioners,

VS .

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[February 15, 19901

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Blankenship v. State</u>, 545 So.2d 908, 908 (Fla. 2d DCA 1989), to answer the following certified question:

DOES SECTION 893.13, FLORIDA STATUTES (1987) VIOLATE THE ONE SUBJECT RULE OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION?

We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. We have answered this question in the negative in <u>Burch v. State</u>, No.

73,826 (Fla. Feb. 15, 1990). We approve the decision below.

It is so ordered.

EHRLICH, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., Concur

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Second District - Case Nos. 88-01349, 88-01353, 88-01354, 88-01356, 88-01357, 88-01359, 88-01419, 88-01421, 88-01430, 88-01431, 88-01432, 88-01433, 88-01553, 88-01554, 88-01555, 88-01557, 88-01559, 88-01560, 88-01602, 88-01694, 88-01715, 88-01716, 88-01799 and 88-01801

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and Lawrence D. Shearer, Assistant Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Petitioners

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and David R. Gemmer, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent