## Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1991

IN RE: ESTATE OF HARVEY S. WARWICK, Deceased.



CASE NO. 74,349

District Case No. 88-0806 (Fourth District)

Upon petition for rehearing, we withdraw our prior opinion in this case and substitute the following as the opinion of the Court.

JB

A True Copy

TEST:

Sid J. White Clerk Supreme Court. cc: Marilyn N. Beuttenmuller, Clerk Hon. Daniel T.K. Hurley, Chief Judge John B. Dunkle, Clerk James E. Weber, Esquire Louis L. Hamby III, Esquire Rohan Kelley, Esquire William S. Belcher, Esquire Harry G. Carratt, Esquire Samuel S. Smith, Esquire J. Thomas Cardwell, Esquire

## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 74,349

IN RE: ESTATE OF HARVEY S. WARWICK, Deceased

[October 3, 1991]

OVERTON, J.

۲.

Petitioner, Julia W. Carswell, co-personal representative and beneficiary of the estate of Harvey S. Warwick, deceased, seeks review of the Fourth District Court of Appeal's decision in <u>In re Estate of Warwick</u>, 543 So. 2d 449 (Fla. 4th DCA 1989). Petitioner challenges the attorney's fee computed solely on a percentage of Warwick's \$1,890,000 estate. We find conflict with <u>Standard</u> Guaranty Insurance Co. v. Quanstrom, 555 So. 2d 828 (Fla. 1990); <u>DeLoach v.</u> Westman, 506 So. 2d 1142 (Fla. 2d DCA 1987); and <u>Brady v. Williams</u>, 491 So. 2d 1160 (Fla. 2d DCA 1986).<sup>1</sup>

The attorney for the estate set a fee based on 2 1/2 to 3% of the value of the estate, or \$54,000. The attorney testified that he had spent approximately 120 to 130 hours on the estate, although he could give no breakdown as to how his hours had been spent. The attorney testified that a 3% fee would equal \$57,000. However, he reduced the fee to \$54,000, based on approximately 2 3/4% of the value of the estate.

Petitioner, in challenging the fee, presented an expert who testified that a reasonable fee would be between \$20,000 and \$30,000. He computed this fee by determining a reasonable number of hours for each service rendered. He then used the lodestar method set forth in <u>Florida Patient's Compensation Fund</u> <u>v. Rowe</u>, 472 So. 2d 1145, 1150 (Fla. 1985), in assessing attorney's fees.

Both the trial court and the district court of appeal rejected the use of the lodestar method. The district court held:

Considering the gross value of the estate, the attorney's exposure to potential liability, the <u>local bar's</u> customary practice of charging a fee based upon a percentage of an estate's gross value, and the expert testimony as to the reasonableness of the fee awarded, we find no abuse of discretion.

In re Estate of Warwick, 543 So. 2d at 449 (emphasis added). The district court also expressly held that the lodestar method of <u>Florida Patient's Compensation</u> <u>Fund v. Rowe</u>, 472 So. 2d 1145 (Fla. 1985), was not applicable in this type of proceeding.

<sup>1</sup> We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const.

-2- -

For the reasons expressed in our clarified decision in <u>In re Estate of</u> <u>Platt</u>, No. 74,793 (Fla. Oct. 3, 1991), we quash the decision of the district court of appeal in the instant case. Accordingly, we direct that this cause be remanded for further proceedings in accordance with the views expressed in our Platt decision.

It is so ordered.

٠

SHAW, C.J. and BARKETT, GRIMES, and KOGAN, JJ., concur. McDONALD, J., dissents.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

> Fourth District - Case No. 88-0806 (Palm Beach County)

Louis L. Hamby III of Alley, Maass, Rogers & Lindsay, P.A., Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

٩.

James E. Weber of James E. Weber, P.A., West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Respondent

Rohan Kelley of Rohan Kelley, P.A., Ft. Lauderdale, Florida; and William S. Belcher of Belcher & Fleece, P.A., St. Petersburg, Florida,

Amicus Curiae for The Real Property, Probate and Trust Law Section of The Florida Bar

J. Thomas Cardwell of Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, Orlando, Florida,

Amicus Curiae for Florida Bankers Association