Supreme Court of Florida

No. 75,529

PANNELL KERR FORSTER,

Petitioner,

vs.

VAUGHN DURHAM, et al.,

Respondents.

[September 13, 19901

PER CURIAM.

We accepted review of <u>Durham v. Palm Court, Inc.</u>, 558 So.2d 59 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990), based on express and direct conflict with <u>First Florida Bank, N.A. v. Max Mitchell & Co.</u>, 541 So.2d 155 (Fla. 2d DCA 1989), <u>guashed</u>, 558 So.2d 9 (Fla. 1990), and <u>Gordon v. Rtue. Wardlaw & Co.</u>, 511 So.2d 384 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), <u>disapproved</u>, First Florida Bank, 558 So.2d at 16. We now find that the basis of conflict jurisdiction has been eliminated by our opinion in First Florida Bank. Moreover, we find the result reached by the district court below to be consistent with the analysis in <u>First Florida Bank</u>. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for lack of conflict jurisdiction.

It is so ordered.

•

SHAW, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, EHRLICH, BARKETT, GRIMES and KOGAN, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ENTERTAINED BY THE COURT,

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Fourth District - Case No. 88-3012

(Palm Beach County)

Alberto A. Macia and Allen P. Reed of Shea & Gould, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Michael A. Hanzman and Sally R. Doerner of Floyd, Pearson, Richman, Greer, Weil, Zack & Brumbaugh, P.A., Miami, Florida,

for Respondents