IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

1

1 min whe

CASE NO. 75, 719

CBS Inc., Petitioner,

vs.

Kareem Jackson, Respondent

On Review from the District Court of Appeal of Florida, Fourth District

Respondent Cross Reply Brief

an S. Levine Alan H. Schreiber Public Defender 17th Judicial Circuit 201 S.E. 6th Street, Rm. 740 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301

9 L Saint

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ما والمسجر ما بعد

•...

.

.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
ARGUMENT	2
MOREJON DOES GOVERN THIS CASE	2
CONCLUSION	3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Page

a de la companya de la

MIAMI HERALD PUBLISHING CO. V. MOREJON, 15 Fla. L.W. S302 (Fla. May 18, 1990)..... 1-2

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

.

On May 18, 1990, this Court issued a decision in <u>Miami Herald</u> <u>Publishing Co. v. Morejon</u>, 15 Fla.L.W. S302 (Fla. May 18, 1990), holding that a journalist must "divulge information learned as a result of being an eyewitness to a relevant event in a criminal case -- i.e., the police arrest and search of the defendant." Id. at S302.

This memorandum will briefly discuss why the <u>Morejon</u> ruling does control the outcome in this case.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The respondent stands on the facts as stated in his answer brief. However, it must be noted that in the petitioners initial brief and reply brief the petitioner makes the assertion that "the respondent has not made a motion to suppress or any other motion alleging that his arrest was unlawful, that he was not read his <u>Miranda</u> warnings, that his Fourth Amendment rights were violated in any way, or that any specific event occurred subsequent to his apprehension which is critical to his defense". (Petitioner's Reply Brief at 4).

The respondent cannot bring such a motion until the respondent has grounds to do so. Such grounds cannot be established until the only known witness (other than the arresting officer) CBS, Inc., has provided Kareem Jackson's defense counsel with its eyewitness testimony of all witnessed events subsequent to Kareem Jackson's arrest.

1

ARGUMENT

,

MOREJON DOES GOVERN THIS CASE

Although the facts in <u>Morejon</u> differ from the case at bar in that the reporter for the Herald in <u>Morejon</u> witnessed the search and arrest of the defendant this Court stated in its opinion "We adhere to the district court's conclusion that there is no privilege, qualified, limited, or otherwise, which protects journalists from testifying as to their eyewitness observations of a relevant event in a subsequent court proceeding. The fact that the reporter in this case witnessed the event while on a newsgathering mission does not alter our decision." <u>Miami</u> <u>Herald v. Morejon</u>, S302 (Fla. May 18, 1990). Also, the Court found: "Unlike the factual situations in <u>Branzburg</u>, <u>Morgan</u>, and <u>Huffstetler</u>, there is no confidential source involved in this case which may 'dry up' if revealed." Id. at S304.

In the case at bar CBS was witness to observations (i.e., other possible witnesses at the scene not known to respondent, conduct of the police subsequent to arrest, statements, etc...) of what would be a relevant event in a subsequent court proceeding while on a news gathering mission. Further there are <u>no</u> confidential sources involved in this case.

The court also recognized the importance for the public to have the right to a man's evidence and that such an evidentiary privilege as represented by the petitioner are not favored - "even those rooted in the constitution must give way in proper circumstances." <u>Morejon</u> at S304 (citing, <u>Herbert v. Landa</u>, 441 U.S. 153, (1979).)

2

Lastly, the respondent re-asserts that if this court finds a privilege to exist, the respondent has overcome the privilege and as grounds would stand on the arguments expressed in Respondent's Answer Brief.

.

,

and the second of

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, as well as those stated in Respondent's Answer Brief, Kareem Jackson respectfully request that this Court enforce the subpoena and order directing CBS to produce the outtakes as requested.

Respectfully submitted,

• • • • • •

ALAN S. LEVINE, Bar No.: 836826 Alan H. Schreiber 17th Judicial Circuit 201 S.E. 6th Street Rm. 740 Ft. Lauderdale, F1. 33301 (305) 357-8650

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

and the terms of

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of this brief was mailed to Thomas R. Julin, Steele Hector and Davis, Attorney's for CBS Inc., 4000 Southeast Financial Center, Miami, Florida 33131-2398 and to Douglas P. Jacobs, Susanna P. Lowy, John W. Zucker, CBS Inc., 51 West 52nd Street, New York, N.Y. 10019, and the State Attorney's Office, Broward County Courthouse, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, this <u>6th</u> day of July, 1990.

ALAN S. LEVIN

.

ALAN S. LEVINL Assistant Public Defender Attorney for Defendant Broward County Courthouse 201 S. E. 6th Street, Suite 740 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Tel. No.: (305) 357-8834