Supreme Court of Florida

ORIGINAL

No. 77,134

STATE OF FLORIDA

.

Petitioner,

vs.

RAFAEL FONSECA,

Respondent.

[April 2, 1992]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Fonseca v. State</u>, 570 So.2d 424, 425 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990), which certified the same question of great public importance answered in <u>Smith v. State</u>, No. 76,235 (Fla. Apr. 2, 1992):

Should Pope v. State[, 561 So.2d 554 (Fla. 1990),] be applied retroactively to sentences imposed prior to April 26, 1990?

We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. As in <u>Smith</u>, the certified question is answered in the affirmative. While we find the district court's conclusions consistent with

our views in <u>Smith</u>, we nevertheless quash the opinion under review and remand for reconsideration in light of <u>Jones v. State</u>, 559 So.2d 204 (Fla. 1990). We do not address the other issues raised by the parties.

It is so ordered.

ĩ

<u>-</u>7

SHAW, C.J. and OVERTON, McDONALD, BARKETT, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

> Third District - Case No. 89-2541 (Dade County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Charles M. Fahlbusch, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

1

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Robert Burke, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent