Supreme Court of Florida

No. 77,252

TWONDY GAIL HENDERSON, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[July 11, 1991]

BARKETT, J.

We have for review <u>Henderson v. State</u>, 572 So.2d 972 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990), in which the district court certified conflict with <u>Sikora v. State</u>, 551 So.2d 613 (Fla. 4th DCA 1989).

 $^{^{1}}$ We have jurisdiction pursuant to article V, section 3(b)(4) of the Florida Constitution.

The issue presented in this case is whether, pursuant to the principles enunciated in <u>Carawan v. State</u>, 515 So.2d 161 (Fla. 1987), a defendant may be convicted and sentenced for theft and uttering a forged instrument when both offenses arise from a single transaction and the defendant actually receives the property of another. The district court in <u>Henderson</u> did a thorough analysis of the issue and concluded that the convictions were properly imposed for separate acts under the facts in this case, consistent with <u>Carawan</u>. We approve the district court's conclusion and adopt its rationale as our own. For the reasons expressed in Henderson, we disapprove <u>Sikora</u>.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, C.J. and OVERTON, McDONALD, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

 $^{^{2}}$ We do not comment on any other issue discussed by the district court.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 90-350 (Dade County)

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Rosa C. Figarola, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Joan L. Greenberg, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent