Supreme Court of Florida

No. 77,323

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

ORIGINAL

v.

MICHAEL MAUGERI,

Respondent.

[October 10, 1991]

McDONALD, J.

Pursuant to article V, section 3(b)(4), Florida Constitution, we review <u>State v. Maugeri</u>, 570 So.2d 1153 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990), in which the district court certified the following question:

> DOES AN AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION 893.135(4) AS AMENDED, WHEREBY A CONVICTED DRUG TRAFFICKER WILL RECEIVE A SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCED SENTENCE IN EXCHANGE FOR SETTING UP NEW DRUG DEALS, VIOLATE THE HOLDING IN STATE V. GLOSSON, 462 So.2d 1082 (Fla. 1985)?

We answered a similar question in <u>State v. Hunter</u>, No. 73,230 (Fla. Aug. 29, 1991), in the negative. Although <u>Hunter</u> discussed a sentence reduction plea under section 893.135(3), Florida Statutes (1985), our ruling in <u>Hunter</u> would also apply to an agreement under section 893.135(4), Florida Statutes (1989).

Accordingly, the decision under review is quashed and remanded for further consideration in accordance with Hunter.

It is so ordered.

SHAW, C.J. and OVERTON, BARKETT, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Fourth District - Case No. 89-1774

(Broward County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; Joan Fowler, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Chief, Criminal Law; and Dawn S. Wynn, Assistant Attorney General, West Palm Beach, Florida,

for Petitioner

Richard F. Rendina and Ian S. Seitel, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, for Respondent