005

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

SEP 19 1991
CLERK, SUPREME COURT.
By
Chief Deputy Clerk

JOSE REINALDO AIRA,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 78,486

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

JURISDICTIONAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENT

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

DAVID S. MORGAN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Florida Bar No. 651265 210 N. Palmetto Avenue Suite 447 Daytona Beach, FL 32114 (904) 238-4990

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

This court has jurisdiction to review the instant case.

ARGUMENT

THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION.

"[F]or this Court to possess subject-matter jurisdiction . . . there must be some statement or citation in the opinion that hypothetically could create conflict if there were another opinion reaching a contrary result." The Florida Star v. B.J.F., 530 So.2d 286, 288 (Fla. 1988), construing Art. V, §3(b)(3), Fla. Although the decision below merely "note[d]" conflict Const. with Lewis v. State, 574 So.2d 245 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991), the decision in Lewis does conflict with the holding in Walker v. State, 546 So.2d 764 (Fla. 5th DCA 1989), regarding multiplication of legal constraint points. Because of the actual conflict between the instant decision and Lewis, this court may properly exercise its discretionary jurisdiction. As noted by the petitioner, the legal constraint multiplier issue is currently before this court in Flowers v. State, case no. 76,854.

CONCLUSION

This court may properly exercise its discretionary jurisdiction.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

DAVID S. MORGAN

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Florida Bar No. 651265

210 N. Palmetto Avenue

Suite 447

Daytona Beach, FL 32114

(904) 238-4990

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy hereof has been furnished to Kenneth Witts, Assistant Public Defender, 112-A Orange Ave., Daytona Beach, FL 32114, by interoffice delivery on this day of September, 1991.

DAVID S. MORGAN

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL