

0 & 7w/app

12-20  
**FILED**

SID J. WHITE

NOV 27 1991

CLERK, SUPREME COURT.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Deputy Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JOSE REINALDO AIRA, )  
 )  
 Petitioner/Appellant, )  
 )  
 versus )  
 )  
 STATE OF FLORIDA, )  
 )  
 Respondent. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

S.C.T. CASE NO. 78,486

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM  
THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

MERIT BRIEF OF PETITIONER

JAMES B. GIBSON  
PUBLIC DEFENDER  
SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

✓ KENNETH WITTS  
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER  
Florida Bar No. 0473944  
112-A Orange Avenue  
Daytona Beach, FL 32114  
Phone: 904-252-3367

COUNSEL FOR  
APPELLANT/PETITIONER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	
THE LOWER COURT ERRED BY MULTIPLYING LEGAL CONSTRAINT POINTS BY THE NUMBER OF OFFENSES FOR WHICH PETITIONER WAS BEING SENTENCED.	3
CONCLUSION	4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES CITED:

PAGE NO.

Aira v. State

583 So.2d 419 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991)

1

Flowers v. State

16 F.L.W. S637 (Fla. October 3, 1991)

3

OTHER AUTHORITIES:

Rule 3.701(d)(6), Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure

3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Petitioner, Jose Aira, was charged with resisting an officer with violence (R17). Petitioner entered a plea of no contest to the charge, and to possession of cocaine in another case (R23). Petitioner was sentenced for both crimes at a single sentencing procedure (R2-11).

At the sentencing hearing, defense counsel objected to scoring legal constraint points twice (R6). The additional thirty six points for a single legal constraint, raised the recommended sanction from the second to the third cell (R27). Petitioner was sentenced to two years community control, which is within the permitted range of the third cell (R39-41).

Petitioner appealed the double scoring of legal constraint points. The Fifth District Court of Appeal affirmed the use of the legal constraint multiplier, Aira v. State, 583 So.2d 419 (Fla. 5th.DCA 1991). The Fifth, in its opinion, recognized conflict with the Second D.C.A..

This Court accepted jurisdiction, and this appeal follows.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Petitioner argues that it was error for the lower court to score legal constraint points for each offense Petitioner was being sentenced on.

POINT

THE LOWER COURT ERRED BY  
MULTIPLYING LEGAL CONSTRAINT POINTS  
BY THE NUMBER OF OFFENSES FOR WHICH  
PETITIONER WAS BEING SENTENCED.

The question presented by this case is whether legal constraint points are to be assessed for each offense committed while under legal constraint. This Court has recently answered this question in the negative, Flowers v. State, 16 FLW S637 (Fla. October 3, 1991).

The Florida Sentencing Guidelines Commission has also made it clear that it never intended to allow for multiple legal constraint points. Paragraphs eight through ten of the attached petition in this Court's case number 76,854 deal with the issue. The proposed Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.701(d)(6) included in the petition reads:

Legal constraint points are to be assessed where forms of legal constraint existed at the time of the commission of the offenses scored as primary or additional offenses at conviction. Legal status points are to be assessed only once whether there are one or more offenses at conviction.

The comment to this section reads:

The purpose of this revision is to clarify the original intent that legal constraint is a status consideration and is not to be considered a function of the number of offenses at conviction.

Petitioner asks this court to follow its own reasoning and the intent of the guidelines commission, vacate Petitioner's sentence and remand for resentencing.

CONCLUSION

BASED UPON the reasons expressed herein, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court vacate Petitioner's sentence and remand for resentencing.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. GIBSON  
PUBLIC DEFENDER  
SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

*Kenneth Witts*

\_\_\_\_\_  
KENNETH WITTS  
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER  
Florida Bar No. 0473944  
112 Orange Avenue, Suite A  
Daytona Beach, Florida 32114  
Phone: 904/252-3367

COUNSEL FOR  
APPELLANT/PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the Honorable Robert E. Butterworth, Attorney General, 210 N. Palmetto Avenue, Suite 447, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114, in his basket at the Fifth District Court of Appeal; and mailed to Jose Reinaldo Aira, Lincoln Arms Hotel, #221, 434 W. Church Street, Orlando, Florida 32801, on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 1991.

*Kenneth Witts*

\_\_\_\_\_  
KENNETH WITTS  
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

JOSE REINANDO AIRA,            )  
                                  )  
      Appellant/Petitioner,    )  
                                  )  
vs.                                ) S.CT. CASE NO.  
                                  )  
STATE OF FLORIDA,             )  
                                  )  
              Respondent.       )  
\_\_\_\_\_                          )

A P P E N D I X

Aira v. State, 583 So.2d 419 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991)           1  
  
Petition of Florida Sentencing Guidelines Commission       2