IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

JAMES F. BAKER,

Petitioner,

vs.

Case No. 79,054

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

_____/

DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FLORIDA DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL SECOND DISTRICT IN LAKELAND, FLORIDA

BRIEF OF THE RESPONDENT ON THE MERITS

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

WILLIAM I. MUNSEY, JR. ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL FLORIDA BAR NO. 0152141 WESTWOOD CENTER, SUITE 7000 2002 NORTH LOIS AVENUE TAMPA, FLORIDA 33607-2366 AC 813 873-4739

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

.

x

*

.

*

PAGE NO.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT2
ARGUMENT
CONCLUSION
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

TABLE OF CITATIONS

.

.1

4

Allen v. State, Fla. No. 77,321 (pending)2, 4
Burdick v. State, So.2d , 17 FLW S88, 1992 WL 18563 (Fla. No. 78,466)(Opinion filed 2/6/92)
<u>Donald v. State,</u> 562 So.2d 792 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990), <u>review</u> <u>denied</u> , 576 So.2d 291 (Fla. 1991)4
Donald v. State, 562 So.2d 792 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990)2, 5, 3
<u>Jenkins v. State</u> , <u>So.2d</u> , 17 FLW D420, 1992 WL 21089 (Fla. 1st DCA No. 01997)(Opinion on Motion for Rehearing filed 2/5/92)3
<u>Sheffield v. State,</u> 585 So.2d 396 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991)3
<pre>Sheffield v. State, So.2d , 17 FLW S148, 1992 WL 34830 (Fla. No. 78,650)(Opinion filed 2/27/92)</pre>
<u>State v. Brown</u> , 530 So.2d 51 (Fla. 1988)3
<pre>State v. Eason, So.2d, 17 FLW S97, 1992 WL 18579 (Fla. No. 78,508)(Opinion filed 2/6/92)</pre>
<pre>State v. Washington, So.2d , 17 FLW S98, 1992 WL 18588 (Fla. No. 77,626)(Opinion filed 2/6/92)</pre>
<pre>Walsingham v. State, Fla. No. 79,399 (pending)4</pre>
OTHER AUTHORITIES:
§775.084, Fla. Stat

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts the statement of the case and facts as set forth by Petitioner. In Respondent's brief on jurisdiction, Respondent recognized the conflict of holdings certified by the Second District. This Court, on March 2, 1992, rendered an Order accepting jurisdiction and dispensing with oral argument. Respondent was furnished with Petitioner's brief this past March 11, 1992.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

In <u>Burdick</u>, this Court has determined that sentencing under the habitual offender statute is discretionary. The trial court has determined that sentencing at bar was mandatory and not permissive. The Second District has affirmed the trial court. In light of <u>Burdick</u>, this Court may be inclined to remand for the trial court to reconsider Baker's sentence in light of this Court's holding that sentencing under the habitual offender statute is permissive rather than mandatory.

ARGUMENT

In light of this Court's decision in <u>Burdick v. State</u>, _____ So.2d ____, 17 FLW S88, 1992 WL 18563 (Fla. No. 78,466)(Opinion filed 02/06/92)[disapproving <u>Donald</u> and holding sentencing under the habitual offender statute to be permissive], Petitioner's claim is not completely without merit. There has been confusion in the district courts of appeal as to whether the 1988 amendment of §775.084, Florida Statutes altered this Court's ruling in <u>State v. Brown</u>, 530 So.2d 51 (Fla. 1988) which hold that the Florida Legislature intended sentencing under §775.084(4)(a) to be permissive as stated in <u>Donald v. State</u>, 562 So.2d 792 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990).

Subsequent to Burdick, this Court has addressed the issue in State v. Eason, So.2d , 17 FLW S97, 1992 WL 18579 (Fla. No. 78,508) (Opinion filed 02/06/92)[rejecting Florida's interpretation of the habitual offender statute held the statute to be permissive]; State v. Washington, So.2d , 17 FLW S98, 1992 WL 18588 (Fla. No. 77,626) (Opinion filed 02/06/92)[disapproving Donald to the extent it is inconsistent with Burdick]; and, Sheffield v. State, So.2d , 17 FLW 34830 S148, 1992 WL No. 78,650)(Opinion filed (Fla. 02/27/92) [approving Sheffield v. State, 585 So.2d 396 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991), on the authority of Burdick]¹

-3-

¹ As an aside, the First District in <u>Jenkins v. State</u>, <u>So.2d</u> , 17 FLW D420, 1992 WL 21089 (Fla. 1st DCA No. 90-01997)(Opinion on Motion for Rehearing filed 02/05/92) followed <u>Donald v. State</u>, 562 So.2d 792, 795 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990), <u>review</u> <u>denied</u>, 576 So.2d 291 (Fla. 1991) holding that our legislature

Your undersigned understands from the Clerk of this Court that <u>Allen v. State</u>, Fla. No. 77,321 (pending) and <u>Walsingham v.</u> <u>State</u>, Fla. No. 79,399 (pending) have yet to be decided on this issue.² This Court has disapproved <u>Donald v. State</u>, 562 So.2d 792 (Fla. 1st DCA 1990), <u>review denied</u>, 576 So.2d 291 (Fla. 1991) in <u>Burdick</u>. This Court holds that sentencing under the habitual offender statute is discretionary. The Second District must be given an opportunity to allow the trial court to reconsider its opinion in light of this Court's determination in <u>Burdick</u> that sentencing under the habitual statute is discretionary.

intended a life sentence under §775.084, Florida Statutes to be mandatory. Your undersigned has spoken with the clerk of the First District and has been informed that the <u>Jenkins</u> opinion was withdrawn this past March 2, 1992.

² In fact, the Second District has reassessed its holding in Walsingham v. State, 576 So.2d 365 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991); State v. Allen, 573 So.12d 170 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991); and, State v. Davis, 559 So.2d 1279 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990). See, King v. State, _____ So.2d

^{, 17} FLW D663 (Fla. 2d DCA No. $91-0003\overline{6}$)(En Banc Opinion filed $\overline{03/04/92}$). In light of <u>King</u>, the Second District would appear to be inclined to reassess its position as it has recognized that a trial judge does have discretion to exercise lieniency even after determining a defendant to be an habitual offender.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing reasons, argument, and authority, Respondent would urge this Court to consider a remand so that the trial court might reconsider Baker's sentence in light of this Court's determination in <u>Burdick</u> that sentencing under the habitual offender statute is discretionary.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

WILLIAM (I. MUNSEX/ JR. Assistant Attorney Seneral Florida Bar No. 152141 Westwood Center, Suite 700 2002 North Lois Avenue Tampa, Florida 33607-2366 AC 813 873-4739

PEGGY A. QUINCE

Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 261041 Westwood Center, Suite 700 2002 North Lois Avenue Tampa, Florida 33607-2366 AC 813 873-4739

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to John S. Lynch, Ass't Public Defender, Office of the Public Defender, P.O. Box 9000--Drawer PD, Bartow, FL 33830 on this 20^{-10} day of March, 1992.

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT OF