## نې د د د د د د د د د د د

## Supreme Court of Florida

## ORIGINAL

No. 79,154

STEVEN PARMLEY, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[June 4, 1992]

PER CURIAM.

We review <u>Parmley v. State</u>, 590 So. 2d 1016 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991), in which the court certified as a question of great public importance the same question it had certified in <u>Williams v.</u> <u>State</u>, 559 So. 2d 680 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990). We have jurisdiction under article V, section 3(b)(4) of the Florida Constitution.

This Court recently answered the question certified to us in Williams. Williams v. State, 594 So. 2d 273 (Fla. 1992).

Therefore, we quash the opinion below and remand the case for reconsideration in light of our resolution of the certified question.

It is so ordered.

ېنې د د چ

SHAW, C.J. and OVERTON, McDONALD, BARKETT, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Second District - Case No. 90-02516

(Hillsborough County)

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and Stephen Krosschell, Assistant Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Charles Corces, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent