## Supreme Court of Florida

## ORIGINAL

No. 79,219

STEVEN SALZMAN, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[July 16, 1992]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Salzman v. State</u>, 591 So. 2d 1107 (Fla. 2d DCA 1992), based on asserted direct and express conflict with <u>State v. Camp</u>, 579 So. 2d 763 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991), <u>approved</u>, 596 So. 2d 1055 (Fla. 1992).\*

Because we recently approved the Fifth District's decision in <a href="Camp">Camp</a>, we quash the decision below and remand for the dismissal of the counts for dealing in stolen property.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J. and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

<sup>\*</sup> We have jurisdiction pursuant to article V, section 3(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions

Second District - Case No. 91-00034 (Hillsborough County)

James Marion Moorman, Public Defender and Timothy A. Hickey, Assistant Public Defender, Tenth Judicial Circuit, Bartow, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Brenda S. Taylor, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Respondent