## Supreme Court of Florida

## ORIGINAL

No. 79,237

MICHAEL LENARD HALL, Petitioner,

vs .

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[December 3, 1992]

OVERTON, J.

We have for review <u>Hall v. State</u>, 588 So. 2d 1089 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991), in which the district court certified the same questions we recently answered in the negative in <u>Tillman v. State</u>, No. 78,715 (Fla. Nov. 19, 1992). For the reasons expressed in <u>Tillman</u>, we approve the decision of the district court.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES and HARDING, JJ., concur. KOGAN, J., dissents with an opinion, in which BARKETT, C.J., concurs.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{AND}},$  If FILED, DETERMINED.

KOGAN, J., dissenting.

1 dissent on the basis of my dissenting opinion in <u>Tillman</u>
v. State, No. 78,715 (Fla. Nov. 19, 1992). The petitioner has only been convicted of one violent crime and therefore cannot be a habitual violent felony offender.

BARKETT, C.J., concurs.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

First District - Case No. 91-581(Duval County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and Kathleen Stover, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

٠ . .

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; and James W. Rogers, Bureau Chief, Assistant Attarney General and Edward C. Hill, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent