## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 79,362

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

v.



CHRISTINE HOLLY BARNES, Respondent.

[July 23, 1992]

PER CURIAM.

In <u>Barnes w. State</u>, 592 So.2d 1127, 1128 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992), the district court certified the following question as being of great public importance:

Where the trial court finds that a peremptory challenge is based upon racial bias, is the sole remedy to dismiss the jury pool and start voir dire over with a new jury pool, or may the trial court exercise its discretion to deny the peremptory challenge if it cures the discriminatory taint; for example, must the jury panel be stricken if the discriminatory challenge has been made outside its presence?

We addressed this question recently in <u>Jefferson v. State</u>, 595 So.2d 38 (Fla. 1992), and Brooks v. Mazaheritehrani, 595 So.2d 37 (Fla. 1992). Therefore, we quash <u>Barnes</u> and remand for reconsideration in light of Jefferson and Brooks.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J. and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

Third District - Case No. 90-1154 (Dade County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Charles M. Fahlbusch, Assistant Attorney General, Hollywood, Florida,

for Petitioner

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Beth C. Weitzner, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent