Supreme Court of Florida

ORIGINAL

No, 79,743

LEE ROBERTSON HAYLES,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent,

[October 1, 1992]

KOGAN, J.

We have for review <u>Hayles v. State</u>, 596 So.2d 1236 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), which certified conflict with <u>Tarawneh v. State</u>, 588 So.2d 1006 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

Lee Robertson Hayles was convicted and sentenced for solicitation of first-degree murder in violation of section 777.04(2), Florida Statutes (1989). The trial court used a category 1 scoresheet under Florida's sentencing guidelines, resulting in a sentence of seventeen years' imprisonment followed by thirteen years' probation. The district court affirmed, but noted that <u>Tarawneh</u> had held that a category 9 scoresheet should be used in such situations.

The guidelines provide that a category 1 scoresheet must be used in all cases of murder or manslaughter except first degree murder and alcohol-related manslaughter charges. Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.701(c). A category 9 scoresheet is used for any felony not placed in any other category. Id. Inchoate offenses are included within the category of the offense attempted, solicited, or conspired to, Id. (committee note).

The offense actually committed here was a violation of Florida's inchoate offense statute, because Hayles solicited a first-degree murder. We thus do not consider it dispositive that the guidelines expressly exclude first-degree murder from category 1. There is an obvious purpose underlying the exclusion. Under Florida law, the only possible penalties for first-degree murder are death and life imprisonment. Applying the guidelines to this context would serve no purpose.

The same is not true in the present case. Here, Hayles committed a solicitation in violation of section 777.04(2), Florida Statutes, Strictly speaking, he committed no offense

under section 782.04(1)(a). His penalty could be less than life imprisonment, and the guidelines thus serve a function here. Because section 777.04(2) is not excluded from category 1, a solicitation falls under category 1 whenever the object is to commit a murder or manslaughter of any kind. The solicitation was intended to effectuate a murder here, and so Hayles falls under category 1 of the guidelines.

The result reached below is approved. We disapprove Tarawneh to the extent it is inconsistent with our views here.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

First District - Case No, 91-1014
(Escambia County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and Kathleen Stover, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and James W. Rogers, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent