## Supreme Court of Florida



**No.** 80,244

HERMAN ROTH,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent,

[February 4, 1993]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Roth v. State</u>, 601 So. 2d 613 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992), based on certified conflict with <u>Tarawneh v. State</u>, 588 So. 2d 1006 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991), <u>review denied</u>, 598 So. 2d 78 (Fla. 1992), <u>disapproved</u>, <u>Hayles v. State</u>, 17 Fla. L. Weekly S601 (Fla. Oct. 1, 1992). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. Based on <u>Hayles</u>, the decision below is approved.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, MCDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

ъ.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 91-1793 (Dade County)

Herman Roth, pro se, Belle Glade, Florida,

for Petitioner

<. <

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Leslie Schreiber, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent