Supreme Court of Florida

ORGNAL

No. 80,298

DOUGLAS CAREY HAMILTON,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

[February 4, 1993]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Hamilton v. State</u>, 603 So, 2d 606 (Fla. 3d DCA 1992), based on certified conflict with <u>Tarawneh v. State</u>, 588 So. 2d 1006 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991), <u>review denied</u>, 598 So, 2d 78 (Fla. 1992), <u>disapproved</u>, <u>Hayles v. State</u>, 17 Fla. L. Weekly S601 (Fla. Oct. 1, 1992). We have jurisdiction. Art. v, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. Based on <u>Hayles</u>, the decision below is approved.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 91-3057
(Monroe County)

Bennett H. Brummer, Public Defender and Rosa C. Figarola, Assistant Public Defender, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

H , P

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Joan L. Greenberg, Assistant Attorney General, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent