GAS FILED SKD J. WHITE SEP 24 1992 CLERK, SUPREME COURT By Chief Deputy Clerk

WALTER LEE PEARCE,

Petitioner,

v.

١

Case No. 80,379

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE SECOND DISTRICT STATE OF FLORIDA

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

BRIEF OF RESPONDENT ON JURISDICTION

ROBERT A. BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

DONNA A. PROVONSHA Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0768979 2002 North Lois Avenue, Suite 700 Tampa, Florida 33607-2366 (813) 073-4739

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

/ahp

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE NO.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
ARGUMENT	2
ISSUE	2
WHETHER DISCRETIONARY REVIEW SHOULD BE ACCEPTED IN THIS CASE TO REVIEW THE 1989 AMENDMENTS TO THE HABITUAL OFFENDER STATUTE	
CONCLUSION	4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	4

TABLE OF CITATIONS

PAGE NO.

<u>Crews v. State</u> , 17 F.L.W. D1955 (Fla. 2d DCA Aug. 21, 1992)	2
<u>Johnson v. State</u> , 589 So.2d 1370 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991)	2
McCall v. <u>State</u> , 583 So.2d 411 (Fla. 4th DCA 1992), <u>rev.</u> granted, 593 So.2d 1052 (Fla. 1992)	

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Florida	Rule	of	Appellate	e Procedure 9.140(g)2	
Section	775.0	84,	Florida	Statutes2	



SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

While this Honorable Court has jurisdiction to review the instant case, the State respectfully requests this Court decline to take jurisdiction in the interest of conserving limited judicial resources.

ARGUMENT

ISSUE

WHETHER DISCRETIONARY REVIEW SHOULD BE ACCEPTED IN THIS CASE TO REVIEW THE 1989 AMENDMENTS TO THE HABITUAL OFFENDER STATUTE

In the instant case, the basic issue presented to the Sixth Judicial Circuit Court under a Motion for Post Conviction Relief pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850 was whether the habitual felony offender statute applied and used to enhance Petitioner's sentence was constitutional at the time of his sentencing, citing Johnson v. State, 589 So.2d 1370 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991). Johnson is currently pending in this Honorable Court as State of Florida v. Cecil B. Johnson, Nos. 79,150 and 79,204 (oral argument scheduled to be held in November 1992). In denying Petitioner's Motion for Post Conviction Relief, the Circuit Court noted its awareness that section 775.084, Florida Statutes, has been found to violate the single subject prohibition, citing to Johnson, supra. Notwithstanding the above, Petitioner was, in the court's judgment, properly sentenced as a habitual offender.

The Second District Court of Appeal, pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure **9.140(g)**, affirmed Petitioner's sentence citing to <u>McCall v. State</u>, **583** So.2d 411 (Fla. 4th DCA 1992), <u>rev</u>. <u>qranted</u>, 593 So.2d **1052** (Fla. 1992). More recently the Second District Court of Appeal in <u>Crews v. State</u>, 17 F.L.W. D1955 (Fla. **2d** DCA Aug. **21, 1992)** specifically agreed with the Fourth District in <u>McCall</u>, supra and found "that the 1989

- 2 -

amendments to the habitual offender statute were not invalid as violative of the one subject provision of the Florida Constitution." Therefore, it would appear that this Honorable Court has jurisdiction based upon conflict but Respondent submits, however, that this Court should decline to accept jurisdiction in this case in the interest of conserving valuable limited judicial resources.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing arguments and citations of authority, Respondent respectfully requests this Honorable Court to refuse to exercise discretionary jurisdiction to review this case.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT **A.** BUTTERWORTH ATTORNEY GENERAL

MM.

DONNA A. PROVONSHA Assistant Attorney General Florida Bar No. 0768979 2002 N. Lois Avenue, Suite 700 Tampa, Florida 33607-2366 (813) 873-4739

OF COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true **and** correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to WALTER LEE PEARCE, #755135 1798, P. O. Box 1100, Avon Park Correctional Institution, Avon Park, Florida 33825-1100, this 22 ""bay of September, 1992.

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT OF

- 4 -