Supreme Court of Florida

No. 80,561

WOODROW WILSON ALLEN, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[March 18, 1993]

OVERTON, J.

We have for review <u>Allen v. State</u>, 604 So. 2d 934 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), in which the district court certified the same question we recently answered in the negative in <u>Tillman v.</u> <u>State</u>, 609 So. 2d 1295 (Fla. 1992). For the reasons expressed in Tillman, we approve the decision of the district court.

It is so ordered.

McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES and HARDING, JJ., concur.

KOGAN, J., concurs with an opinion, in which BARKETT, C.J., concurs. BARKETT, C.J., concurs in result only,

, ³

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION $\operatorname{AND},$ if filed, determined.

KOGAN, J., concurring.

2

I concur only because <u>Tillman v. State</u>, 609 So. 2d 1295 (Fla. 1992), has become the law of this State. However, I still maintain that one may not be a habitual violent felony offender when one has been convicted of only a single violent felony. BARKETT, C.J., concurs. Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

> First District - Case No. 91-02898 (Duval County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and P. Douglas Brinkmeyer, Assistant Public Defender, Chief, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

ы., ł

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; James W. Rogers, Bureau Chief, Criminal Law, and Charlie McCoy, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent