## Supreme Court of Florida

ORGNAL

No. 80,729

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs .

MICHAEL FULLER, Respondent.

[April 8, 1993]

SHAW, J.

We have for review <u>Fuller v. State</u>, 605 So. 2d 1307 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), wherein the district court certified a question of great public importance. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. We have since answered the question in State v.

 $\underline{\text{Rucker}}$ , 18 Fla. L. Weekly 593 (Fla. Feb. 4, 1993). We quash  $\underline{\text{Fuller}}$  and remand for proceedings consistent with  $\underline{\text{Rucker}}$ .  $^1$ 

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  We decline to address the other certified question in  $\underline{\text{Fuller}}$  since neither party raised or briefed the issue.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

First District - Case No. 90-2421 (Okaloosa County)

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; and Richard Parker and Edward C. Hill, Assistant Attorneys General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and Kathleen Stover, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent