FILED
SID J. WHITE

JAN 4 1993

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

LEROY TOOMBS,

Appellant,

- -

v. :

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

NANCY A. DANIELS PUBLIC DEFENDER SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE NO. 80,835

JOHN R. DIXON
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER
FLORIDA BAR NO. 930512
LEON COUNTY COURTHOUSE
FOURTH FLOOR NORTH
301 SOUTH MONROE STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 488-2458

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE(S)
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS	ii
I STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS	2
II SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	3
III ARGUMENT	
ISSUE	
WHETHER THE DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THIS CASE EXPRESSLY AND DIRECTLY CONFLICTS WITH THE DECISION OF THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN BONNER V. STATE, 599 SO. 2D 599 (FLA. 2D DCA 1992) ON THE SAME	
QUESTION OF LAW. (Restated)	4
IV CONCLUSION	5
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	5

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASE	PAGE(S)
Anderson v. State, 592 So. 2d 1119 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991), review pending, case no. 79,535	4
Bonner v. State, 599 So. 2d 599 (Fla. 2d DCA 1992)	4
Hodges v. State, 596 So. 2d 481 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), review pending, vsdr no. 79,728.	4

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Petitioner,

vs.

CASE NO. 80,835

LEROY TOOMBS,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON JURISDICTION

I STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

Respondent accepts petitioner's statement of the case and facts.

II SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Respondent does not oppose review by this Court.

III ARGUMENT

ISSUE:

WHETHER THE DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THIS CASE EXPRESSLY AND DIRECTLY CONFLICTS WITH THE DECISION OF THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN BONNER V. STATE, 599 SO. 2D 599 (FLA. 2D DCA 1992) ON THE SAME QUESTION OF LAW. (RESTATED)

The issue in the present case is currently pending review by this Court in numerous cases, including Anderson v. State, 592

So. 2d 1119 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991), review pending, case no. 79,535 and Hodges v. State, 596 So. 2d 481 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), review pending, case no. 79,728. Because a decision in the foregoing cases will control this case, respondent does not oppose review by this Court.

IV CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, respondent does not oppose the exercise of this Court's discretion to review this case.

Respectfully submitted,

NANCY A. DANIELS PUBLIC DEFENDER SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

JOHN R. DIXON
ASSISTANT PUBLIC DEFENDER
FLORIDA BAR NO. 930512
LEON COUNTY COURTHOUSE
FOURTH FLOOR, NORTH
301 SOUTH MONROE STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 488-2458

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing has been forwarded by hand delivery to James W. Rogers, Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Appeals Division, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 this 4M day of January, 1993.

Ohn W. Hoe for JOHN R. DIXON)