## Supreme Court of Florida

No. 80,954

CHRISTOPHER LYNN PORTER, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent

[December 23, 1993]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Porter v. State</u>, 609 So. 2d 640 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), in which the district court of appeal certified the same question we recently answered in the negative in <u>Seabrook v. State</u>, No. 80,953 (Fla. Dec. 16, 1993). We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. For the reasons expressed in <u>Seabrook</u>, we once again answer the question in the negative and approve the decision below.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance
First District - Case No. 92-1053

(Bay County)

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and Carl S. McGinnes, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General; James W. Rogers, Bureau Chief, Criminal Appeals and Charlie McCoy, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent