Supreme Court of Florida

ORGINAL

No. 81,099

STATE OF FLORIDA, Petitioner,

vs.

JAMES TOMMY PEEK, Respondent.

[April 8, 19931

SHAW, J.

We have for review <u>Peek v. State</u>, 610 So. 2d 5 (Fla. 1st DCA 1992), wherein the district court certified a question of great public importance. We have jurisdiction. Art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const. We have since answered the question in <u>State v. Rucker</u>, 18 Fla. L. Weekly 593 (Fla. Feb. 4, 1993). We quash <u>Peek</u> and remand for proceedings consistent with <u>Rucker</u>.

It is so ordered.

 $\mbox{\bf BARKETT},$ C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, GRIMES, KOGAN and $\mbox{\bf HARDING}$, $\mbox{\bf JJ.,}$ concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION $\ensuremath{\mathrm{AND}},$ If filed, determined.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified Great Public Importance

First District - Case No. 91-2872

(Escambia County)

Robert A. Butterwosth, Attorney General; and James W. Rogers, Bureau Chief - Criminal Appeals, and Carolyn J. Mosley, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Petitioner

Nancy A. Daniels, Public Defender and P. Douglas Brinkmeyer, Assistant Public Defender, Second Judicial Circuit, Tallahassee, Florida,

for Respondent