Supreme Court of Florida

No. 81,437

LLOYD A. STEELE, Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Respondent.

[October 28, 1993]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review <u>Steele v. State</u>, 616 So. 2d 60 (Fla. 5th DCA 1993). In rendering its decision, the district court of appeal cited as controlling authority <u>State v. Johnson</u>, 18 Fla. L. Weekly S55 (Fla. Jan. 14, 1993), which at the time was still pending on review before this Court. <u>See Steele v. State</u>, No. 92-411 (Fla. 5th DCA Feb. 12, 1993) (slip opinion). <u>Johnson</u> was subsequently corrected on denial of rehearing. <u>State v. Johnson</u>, 616 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1993). We therefore find that we have jurisdiction under article V, section 3(b)(3), Florida

Constitution. See Jollie v. State, 405 So. 2d 418 (Fla. 1981).

On the authority of <u>State v. Johnson</u>, the decision under review is quashed and the case is remanded to the district court for reconsideration in light of our final decision in <u>Johnson</u>.

It is so ordered.

BARKETT, C.J., and OVERTON, McDONALD, SHAW, GRIMES, KOGAN and HARDING, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION AND, IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct Conflict of Decisions
Fifth District - Case No. 92-411

(Marion County)

Lloyd A. Steele, pro se, Jasper, Florida; and Ronald E. Fox of Ronald E. Fox, P.A., Umatilla, Florida,

for Petitioner

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General and Barbara Arlene Fink, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, Florida,

for Respondent